Dedication

This work is dedicated to the soul of my father, My mother, my family and my friends.

Acknowledgment

First I thank Allah for helping me to complete this research,
also I thank my super visor prof .Abdelhameed A.M. Elfadil who
helped me, and for his continuous support. Also thanks go to the
staff of the Ministry of Animal Resource and Fisheries'

Laboratory, Elfasher, andanyone who contributed in the
execution of this study, abattoir staff, cattle owners, my
colleagues.

Contents

No	Contents	page
	Dedication	I
	Acknowledgement	II
	Contents	III
	List of tables	VIII
	List of figures	X
	Abstract	XI
	Arabic abstract	XII
	Introduction	
	Background	1
	The objective of the study	4
	Chapter One:Literature Review	
1.1	Definition	5
1.2	Epidemiology	6
1.3	Taxonomy and classification	16
1.4	morphology	16
1.5	transmission	17
1.6	Life cycle	17
1.7	Pathogenesis and pathology	19

No	Contents	page
1.8	Clinical finding	21
1.9	Diagnosis	22
1.10	Public health significant	23
1.11	Economic importance	24
1.12	Prevention and control	25
	Chapter Two: Materials and Methods	
2.1	Study area	28
2.2	The climate	28
2.3	The soil	29
2.4	Sampling method	29
2.5	Study design	30
2.51	Ante mortem andPost-mortem inspection	32
2.5.2	Fecal sample collection and examination	31
2.5.3	Statistical analysis	32
	Chapter Three: Results	
3.1	Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis examined	33
	by post-mortem	

No	Contents	age
3.2	Prevalence ofbovine fasciolosis examined by fecal examination	33
3.3	Over all prevalence of fasciolosis examinedby post- mortem and fecal examination	34
3.4	Post-mortem results	34
3.4.1	The effect of age on fasciolosis	34
3.4.2	The effect of sex on fasciolosis	35
3.4.3	The effect of breed on fasciolosis	35
3.4.4	The effect of body condition on fasciolosis	35
3.4.5	The effect of source of animal on fasciolosis	36
3.4.6	The effect of grazing on fasciolosis	36
3.4.7	The effect of use of anthlemintics of fasciolosis	36
3.4.8	The effect of rain fall on fasciolosis	37
3.4.9	The effect of water bodies on fasciolosis	37
3.4.10	The effect of vegetation on fasciolosis	38

NO	Contents	page
3.5	Fecal examination result	38
3.5.1	The effect of age on fasciolosis	38
3.5.2	The effect of sex on fasciolosis	39
3.5.3	The effect of breed on fasciolosis	39
3.5.4	The effect of body condition on fasciolosis	39
3.5.5	The effect of source of animal on fasciolosis	40
3.5.6	The effect of grazing on fasciolosis	40
3.5.7	The effect of use antihelmensis on fasciolosis	41
3.5.8	The effect of rain fall on fasciolosis	41
3.5.9	The effect ofwater bodies on fasciolosis	41
3.5.10	The effect of vegetations on fasciolosis	42
3.9	Results of multivariate analysis	52

NO	Contents	page
3.9.1	Postmortem Results	52
3.9.2	Fecal examination Results	52
	Chapter Four: Discussion	
4.1	Discussion	57
4.2	Conclusion	62
4.3	Recommendations	62
	References	63
	Appendix 1	70
	Appendix 2	74
	Appendix 3	78
	Appendix 4	82
	Appendix 5	85
	Appendix6	89
	Appendix7	92

List of tables

NO	Table	page
3.1	Prevalence of fasciolosis in 302 cattle examined through post-	33
	mortem in North Darfur state.	
3.2	Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in 302 cattle examined	33
	through fecal examination in North Darfur state.	
3.3	Over all prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in 302 cattle	34
	examined through post mortem and fecal examination in North	
	Darfur state.	
3.4	Frequency distribution of 302 cattle examined for bovine	43
	fasciolosis in North Darfur state according to potential risk	
	factors investigated	
3.5	Cross tabulation of bovine fascilosis diagnosed through post -	44
	mortem with potential risk factors in 302 cattle slaughtered at	
	Elfasher abattoir, North Darfur.	
3.6	Cross tabulation of bovine fascilosis diagnosed through fecal	46
	examination with potential risk factors in 302 cattle slaughtered	
	at Elfasher abattoir, North Darfur.	
3.7	Univariate analysis for the association between fasciolosis	48
	diagnosed through post-mortem examination and potential risk	
	factors in 302 cattle examined in North Darfur using Chi-	
	square	
3.8	Univariate analysis for the association between fasciolosis	50
	diagnosed through fecal examination in 302 cattle examined in	
	North Darfur using Chi-square	

No	Table	page
3.9	Multivariate analysis for the association between fasciolosis	53
	diagnosed through postmortem examination and potential risk	
	factors in 302 cattle examined in North Darfurfasciolosis using	
	logistic regression	
3.10	Multivariate analysis for the association between fasciolosis	55
	diagnosed through fecal examination and potential risk factors	
	in 302 cattle examined in North Darfur fasciolosis using	
	logistic regression	

List of figures

Figure. No		page
1	Life cycle of fasciola spp	19
2	Map of the study area north Darfur state in Sudan	89
3	Fasciola spp on the liver surface	89
4	Affected liver with fasciolosis	90
5	Fecal examination in the Ministry of Animal Resource and Fisheres Elfasher.	91

Abstract

A cross-sectional epidemiological study was conducted from May 2013 to august 2013 to determine the prevalence and investigate risk factors of fasciolosis in cattle slaughtered at Elfashir abattoir, North Darfur. Out of 302 cattle examined at post mortem examination 30 (9.9 %) were positive for fasciolosis and 6 (1.9 %) were positive at fecal examination by sedimentation technique. The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis at postmortem examination (9.9%) was found to be significantly associated ($p \le 0.05$) with age, sex, source of animals, using drug, grazing, rain falland vegetation. However, breed and body condition were not found significantly associated (p > 0.05) with fasciolosis. The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis at fecal examination was found to be significantly associated ($p \le 0.05$) with age, source of animals, using drug, rainfall and vegetation. However, the risk factors sex, breed, body condition and grazing were not found significantly associated (p > 0.05), with fasciolosis.

ملخص البحث

أجريت دراسة مقطعية وبائية في الفترة من مايو 2013م حتى أغسطس 2013م لتحديد معدل انتشار وعوامل الخطر المرتبطة بمرض الفاشيولا للابقار المذبوحة بسلخانة ولاية شمال دارفور الفاشر .302راس من الابقار تم فحصهم بواسطة الفحص بعد الذبح للحوم في السلخانة وبواسطة تحليل البراز لفحص بيض الفاشيولا بطريقة الترسيب فوجد ان 30 راس من الابقار مصابه بمرض الفاشيولا بمعدل 9.9%من خلال فحص اللحوم و6 راس من الابقار مصابة بمرض الفاشيولا بمعدل %9.1بواسطة فحص البراز اظهرت الدراسة ان نسبة انتشار مرض الفاشيولا بمعدل %9.1بواسطة فحص البراز اظهرت الدراسة ان نسبة انتشار مرض الفاشيولا 9.9% من خلال الفحص الروتيني للحوم له علاقة معنوية تحت قيم معنوية اقل من او يساوى \$0.00مع عوامل الخطر التالية :عمر الحيوان, جنس الحيوان, مصدر الحيوان, استخدام الدواء, طريقة الرعي, وجود الامطار ووجود الاعشاب اما عوامل الخطر مثل سلالة الحيوان, والصحة الجسميه ليست لهم علاقة معنويه مع حدوث مرض الفاشيولا و تحت قيم معنويه اكبر

ايضا اظهرت الدراسه ان نسبة انتشار مرض الفاشيو لا 9.1% من خلال فحص البراز له علاقة معنوية تحت قيم معنويه اقل من اويساوى0.05 مع عوامل الخطر التالية: عمر الحيوان, مصدر الحيوان, استخدام الدواء, وجود الامطار ووجود الاعشاب لكن عوامل الخطر من جنس الحيوان, سلالة الحيوان, الصحة الجسمية, وطريقة الرعى ليس لهم علاقة معنوية مع حدوث المرض تحت قيم معنوية اكبر من 0.05