

CONTENTS

	Page No.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS-----	i
ABSTRACT-----	ii
ARABIC ABSTRACT-----	iv
LIST OF PLATES-----	vii
LIST OF TABLES-----	viii
LIST OF FIGURES-----	x
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION-----	1
CHAPTER TWO: LITRATURE REVIEW	
2.1 <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Monech-----	4
2.1.1 History-----	4
2.1.2 Taxonomy-----	4
2.1.3 Botanical description-----	5
2.1.4 Central origin and distribution-----	5
2.1.5 Chemistry-----	6
2.1.6 Toxicity-----	7
2.1.7 Uses-----	7
2.1.8 Folk medicine-----	8
2.1.9 Yields and economics-----	8
2.1.10 Disease and weeds-----	9
2.1.11 Common sorghum pests-----	10
2.2 Sorghum midge, <i>Stenobdoplosis sorghicola</i> (Coquillett)-----	17
2.2.1 History-----	19
2.2.2 Taxonomy status-----	19
2.2.3 Description-----	20
2.2.4 Distribution-----	20
2.2.5 Host plant status-----	20
2.2.6 Recognition of damage-----	35
2.2.7 Extent losses-----	36
2.2.8 Biology and life cycle-----	36
2.2.9 Ecology and behaviour-----	38
2.2.10 Natural enemies-----	39
2.2.11 Toxicity of insecticides to sorghum midge-----	42
2.2.12 Control methods-----	42

CAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Page No.

3.1	Effect of sorghum cultivars,	47
3.1.1	Gedarif , season2001.	47
3.1.2	Gedarif, season 2002.	47
3.1.3	Damazin, season 2002	47
3.2	Effect of different numbers and intervals of diazinon applications	47
3.2.1.	Gedarif, season 2001	48
3.2.2.	Gedarif, season 2002	49
3.2.3.	Damazin, season 2002	49
3.3.	Combined effect of sorghum and different intervals and nnbers of diazinon applications	49
3.3.1.	Gedarif, season 2001	49
3.3.2.	Gedarif, season 2002	49
3.3.3.	Damazin, season 2002	49

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS

4. 1	Effect of sorghum cultivars on the number of adult midge, visual midge damage ratings and percentage of yield loss.	57
4.1.1	Gedarif-season 2001	57
4.1.2.	Gedarif- season 2002	59
4.1.3	Damazin- season 2002.	61
4.1.4	Comparison between seasons	61
4.1.5	Comparison between locations	63
4.2.	Effect of different diazinon intervals and numbers of applications	66
4.2.1.	Gedarif- season 2001	66
4.2.2	Gedarif-season 2002.	69
4.2.3	Damazin- season 2002	71
4.2.4	Comparison between seasons	73
4.2.5	Comparison between locations	77
4.3	Combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and numbers of diazinon applications	80
4.3.1	Gedarif-season 2001	80
4.3.2	Gedarif-season 2002	83
4.3.3	Damazin-season 2002	85
4.3.4	Comparison between seasons	88
4.3.5	Comparison beteen locations	93

CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSIONS AND CONCLUSION

Discussion	97
Conclusion	105
	106

REFERENCES

APPENDICES	130
------------	-----

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
1. Adult sorghum midge on seed head -----	52
2. Visual midge damage ratings scale, 5= 41-50% damaged kernels -----	53
3. Visual midge damage ratings scale, 9> 80% damaged kernels -----	53
4. Five covered panicles per plot -----	54
5. Undamaged panicle by midge protected during anthesis -----	55
6. damaged panicle by midge unprotected during anthesis -----	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page No.
4.1.1. Effect of sorghum cultivars on the mean number of adult midges/panicle, visual mean midge damage ratings (MDR)/ panicle and percentage mean of yield loss/ panicle at gedarif during 2001 rainy season-----	58
4.1.2. Effect of sorghum cultivars on the mean number of adult midges/ panicle,visual midge rating(MDR) and mean percentage yield loss/panicle,at Gedarif during 2002 rainy season.-----	60
4.1.3: Effect of sorghum cultivars on the mean number of adult midges/panicle, visual mean midge damage ratings (MDR)/ panicle and percentage mean of yield loss/ panicle at Damazin during 2002 rainy season-----	62
4.1.4 Comparison of effect of sorghum cultivars on number of adult midges/panicle, visual midge damage rating/panicle and mean percentage of yield loss,between 2001 and 2002,Gedarif.-----	64
4.1.5 Comparison of effect of sorghum cultivars on the mean number of adult midges/panicle, visual mean midge damage ratings (MDR)/panicle and percentage mean of yield loss/ panicle, between Gedarif and Damazin.-----	67
4.2.1 Effect of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on the mean number of adult midges/panicle, visual mean midge damage ratings (MDR)/ panicle and percentage mean of yield loss/ panicle,at Gedarif, 2001 rainy season.-----	70
4.2.2 Effect of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on the mean number of adult midges/panicle, visual mean of midge damage ratings (MDR)/ panicle and percentage mean of yield loss/ panicle, at Gedarif, 2002 rainy season.-----	72
4.2.3 Effect of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on number of adult midges/panicle, visual midge damage ratings and percentage yield loss,atDamazin,2002 rainy season.-----	74
4.2.4 Seasons comparison means of number of adult/ panicle, visual midge damage ratings (DR), and percentage yield loss/ panicle for diazinon applications, numbers and intervals,between 2001 and 2002 seasons,Gedarif.-----	75
4.2.5 Locations comparison means of number of adult per panicle, visual midge damage ratings per panicle, and percentage yield loss per panicle for different intervals and number of diazinon applications,between Gedarif and Damazin,2002.-----	78

4.3.1	Combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and number of diazinon applications on mean number of adult midges per panicle, visual midge damage ratings per panicle, and percentage yield loss per panicle, at Gedarif 2001 rainy season.-----	82
4.3.2	Combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and numbers of diazinon applications on mean number of adult midges/ panicle, visual midge damage ratings/panicle and percent meanyield loss/ panicle, at Gedarif 2002 rainy season.-----	86
4.3.3	Combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and numbers of diazinon applications on mean number of adult midges/panicle, and percentage yield loss/panicle,at Damazin200ainyseason-----	89
4.3.4	Seasons comparison means number of adult midges/ panicle, visual midge damage rating(MDR) and mean of percentage yield loss, for combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and numbers of diazinon applications,between 2001 and 2002 rainy seasons,Gedarif-----	91
4.3.5	Locations comparison means of adult number of midges/panicle., visual midge damagr rating (MDR) and percentage yield loss, for combined effect of sorghum cultivars and different intervals and numbers of diazinon applications,between Gedarif and Damazin,2002.-----	95

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page No
1. Comparison of the effect of sorghum cultivars on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between season 2001 –2002 at Gedarif -----	65
2. Comparison of the effect of sorghum cultivars on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between Gedarif and Damazin (2002) -----	68
3. Comparison of effect of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between season 2001 –2002 at Gedarif -----	76
4. 3. Comparison of effect of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between Gedarif and Damazin (2002) -----	79
5. Comparison of the combined effect of sorghum cultivars of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between season 2001 –2002 at Gedarif -----	92
6. Comparison of the combined effect of sorghum cultivars of different intervals and number of diazinon applications on midge (MDR) and % yield loss relating to midge density between Gedarif and Damazin (2002) -----	96