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ABSTRACT

Friction is the worst enemy of machinery. Combustion engine friction and wear on moving parts, flaking of bearings and cylinder scuff cause a reduced life of engines. In the present investigation a model has been built, for the first time at Sudan University of Science and Technology / College of Engineering, to measure wear characteristics in twin crankshaft engine which considered as one of the solutions to reduce friction in engine cylinders. The model was manufactured considering the same design equations used for conventional engines. Preliminarily tests showed that the engine is capable to carry out tests to study wear characteristics in internal combustion engines.
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NOTATION

\begin{align*}
\text{a} & \quad \text{piston acceleration, m/s}^2 \\
A_{bc} & \quad \text{rod’s small end loading area, m}^2 \\
A_{N,N} & \quad \text{shank l cross-section area, m}^2 \\
A_p & \quad \text{cylinder cross-section area, m}^2 \\
C & \quad \text{static load, N/m}^2 \\
C_b & \quad \text{belt center distance, m} \\
c_F & \quad \text{surface condition factor} \\
c_p & \quad \text{the elastic coefficient} \\
D & \quad \text{cylinder diameter, m} \\
D_{bp} & \quad \text{outer diameter of the main pin, m} \\
D_r & \quad \text{inner diameter of big end pin, m} \\
D_i & \quad \text{inner diameter of the main pin, m} \\
D_o & \quad \text{rod’s big end inner diameter, m} \\
d_{rp} & \quad \text{rod’s big end outer diameter, m} \\
d_{os} & \quad \text{rod’s small end outer diameter, m} \\
d_p & \quad \text{pitch diameter, m} \\
D_{PL} & \quad \text{outer diameter of the big end pin} \\
F & \quad \text{net face width, m} \\
F_g & \quad \text{gas force, N/m}^2 \\
f_i & \quad \text{inertia force N} \\
F_k & \quad \text{piston force, N} \\
f_s & \quad \text{load factor} \\
h & \quad \text{horsepower} \\
H & \quad \text{life time for the bearing, hours} \\
K_B & \quad \text{rim-thickness factor} \\
K_m & \quad \text{load distribution factor} \\
K_o & \quad \text{overload factor} \\
K_i & \quad \text{shape factor} \\
K_k & \quad \text{dynamic factor} \\
L & \quad \text{L} \quad \text{the length between the centers of the big} \\
& \quad \text{rod and small parts, m} \\
L_{PL} & \quad \text{the length of the big end pin, m} \\
L_o & \quad \text{Rod’s small end length, m} \\
L_p & \quad \text{Rod’s big end length, m} \\
m & \quad \text{mass of the piston and piston’s pin, kg} \\
N & \quad \text{speed, rpm} \\
P & \quad \text{gas pressure, N/m}^2 \\
P_b & \quad \text{dynamic load applying on bearings} \\
P_d & \quad \text{diametric pitch, (1/in)} \\
r & \quad \text{radius of crankshaft, m} \\
r_p & \quad \text{radius of the big pulley m} \\
t_s & \quad \text{Rod’s small end thickness, m} \\
\omega & \quad \text{angular velocity, rad/s} \\
\vartheta & \quad \text{angle of crank arm, deg} \\
\sigma_b & \quad \text{load stress, N/m}^2 \\
\sigma_c & \quad \text{compression stress, N/m}^2 \\
\sigma_s & \quad \text{contact stress for the gear, N/m}^2
\end{align*}
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1. INTRODUCTION

Combustion engine friction and wear on moving parts, flaking of bearings and cylinder scuff cause a reduced life of engines.

Friction is the force that resists the motion of one object along the surface of another. Friction depends partly upon how much surface an object has friction and also depends upon the type of surface an object has. Ordinarily, all objects have a certain amount of friction because nothing is perfectly smooth.

Friction is the worst enemy of machinery. It wears out metal, wastes power and generates heat [1]. IC engines have been around for more than a century. Due to inherent high efficiency and low cost, IC engines continue to dominate many commercial markets, from passenger cars to ocean going vessels to on-site power generation. Because of its very high efficiency, the IC engine is industry’s leading prime mover, and will likely remain so for the foreseeable future [2].

In conventional internal combustion engines, each piston drives a single crankshaft through a single connecting rod extending between a wrist pin centrally located in the piston and a crankshaft pin. This arrangement has problems with balance, noise and sidewall thrust on the piston resulting in undesirable friction. About 10% of the potentially useful power is lost to mechanical friction and this represent 50% of the total engine friction loss [3]. Even though improvements in friction performance have been made via design optimizations and lubricant improvement, no significant engine redesign has been attempted in order to re-capture friction energy.

When the reduction of friction loss is deemed worthwhile, relatively simple design changes make it possible. Rubbing of the piston rings against the cylinder walls is an example of friction loss. This particular source of loss is most severe during the combustion stroke when the hot gasses push down on the piston, which is the aim of the present investigation.

The connecting rod that links the piston to the crankshaft makes an angle with the axis of the piston so that as the piston pushes down it is itself pushed to one side, hard against the cylinder wall. By simply moving the crankshaft a bit to one side, the connecting rod can be made more upright during the combustion stroke so that the force of the piston against the cylinder wall is smaller and hence friction is reduced [3,4].

The engine under investigation is an attempt to overcome the problems associated with the conventional internal combustion engines, where in general relates to system using two crank shafts, contra-rotating, geared together, connected to a piston through two connecting rods each driven by one of the crankshafts. The model was tested and showed that the engine is capable to carry out tests to study wear characteristics in internal combustion engines cylinders.

2 ENGINE MODEL COMPONENTS DESIGN [4,5]

In order to design and manufacture a small and simple model, to carry out experimental work, the same calculations procedures used for the design of the conventional internal combustion engines are used to design the model under investigation.

2.1 Connecting rod

Force acting on connecting rod (Figure 1) is the resultant of two forces, the pressure of gas and inertia forces.

\[ F_s = F_g + f_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ F_g = P A_p = P \pi r^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The force component (Figure 1) which acting on the connecting rod is:

\[ F_c = F_s / \cos \phi \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ f_i = m^a \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

There are three parts in the connecting rod, Figure 2, the small end, the big end and the connecting rod shank.
2.1.1 Small end and big end:
The standard dimensions for small and big ends, Figures 2, 3, 4, are based on cylinder diameter (D), and given by:
\[
\begin{align*}
d_{os} &= (125 \text{ to } 165) \times d_{is} \\
d_{is} &= (022 \text{ to } 028) \times D \\
L_{s} &= (028 \text{ to } 032) \times D \\
t_{s} &= (016 \text{ to } 027) \times d_{is}
\end{align*}
\]
(5)
The stress load applying on the small end could be calculated from the equation:
\[
\sigma_{b} = \frac{F_{\text{net}}}{A_{b}}
\]
\[
F_{\text{net}} = F_{g} - f_{i}
\]
(6)
Low carbon steel was selected with allowable shear of 100 MPa, and allowable tensile stress of 165 MPa.
The big end design standard dimensions are:
\[
\begin{align*}
d_{op} &= (056 \text{ to } 175) \times D \\
L_{s} &= (045 \text{ to } 095) \times d_{op}
\end{align*}
\]
(7)
The max loading stress is:
\[
\sigma_{b} = \frac{F_{\text{net}}}{A_{b}}
\]
\[
F_{\text{net}} = F_{g} - f_{i}
\]
(8)
\[
A_{b} = L_{p} \times d_{op}
\]
2.1.2 Shank:
Connecting rod shank is working under compression and buckling stresses which are calculated using the following equations.
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{c} &= \frac{F_{\text{net}}}{A_{b}c} \\
F_{\text{net}} &= F_{g} - f_{i} \\
AN-N &= 2bxt + (h_{\text{min}} - 2t) \times a \\
h_{\text{min}} &= (05 \text{ to } 055) \times d_{os} \\
b &= (05 \text{ to } 06) \times L_{s}
\end{align*}
\]
(9)
The Buckling stress:
\[
\sigma_{b} = \frac{f_{s} \times K_{s} \times F_{\text{net}}}{A_{B} \times B - B} = \frac{f_{s} \times K_{s} \times (d_{op}/2)}{J}
\]
(10)
The two connecting rods overlap during a portion of their motion, so one of the two connecting rods use a forked configuration, with a single big end connected to the crankshaft and a double small end connected to the wrist pin. The other connecting rod has a single flattened, blade-like configuration to pass between the two spaced second ends of the forked connecting rod. Figure 4 shows the two connecting rods.

2.2 Crank Shaft
2.2.1 Crank big end pin:
\[
\begin{align*}
D_{PL} &= (055 \text{ to } 07) \times D \\
L_{PL} &= (045 \text{ to } 065) \times D_{PL} \\
D_{ip} &= (06 \text{ to } 08) \times D_{PL} \\
L &= (11 \text{ to } 125) \times D
\end{align*}
\]
(11)
The torque \( T_{e} = \sqrt{(M^{2} + T^{2})} \)
Maximum shear stress \( \tau_{\text{max}} = \frac{Te \times (d_{PL}/2)}{J} \)
\[
J = \frac{(\pi/32) \times D_{PL}^{4}}{1 - (D_{i}/D_{PL})^{4}}
\]
(12)
222 Crank bearing pin

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{bp} &= (06 \text{ to } 08) \times D \\
D_{i} &= (06 \text{ to } 08) \times D_{bp} \\
\tau_{\text{max}} &= T_e \times (d_{bp}/2)/J \\
J &= (\pi/32) \times D_{bl}^{-4} \times \{1-(D_{i}/D_{bp})^4\}
\end{align*}
\] (13)

Figure 4: The two connecting rods dimensions

2.2.3 Crankshaft flange:

A flange was used instead of the crank arm in this model with dimensions to fit the arrangement shown in the model assembling, Figures 7, 8, 9.

2.3 Gears

For simplicity of manufacturing a spur gear type, Figure 5, was selected to gear the two crankshafts together. The main parameters in gear design are the wear and bending stresses. These stresses are given by the following equations.

Wear stress:

\[
\sigma_c = c_p \times (W^* K_o \times K_s \times (K_m/d_p)) \times (c_p/l)
\] (14)

Bending stress

\[
\sigma = W^* \times K_o \times K_i \times (P/F) \times (K_m K_\theta / J)
\] (15)

Figure 5: Gear dimensions

24 Liner

An aluminum liner was used with dimension according to design calculations, selection of aluminum is to show a significant wear compared to alloy cast iron liner used in conventional engines.

2.5 Piston

In this model a Honda generator engine piston used, and it has the following dimensions:

Piston diameter = 67 mm
Wrist pin diameter = 15 mm

2.6 Pulley

Different speed of piston is one of the operating variables; this was met by using two pulleys instead of using a gearbox to achieve the variable speed required.

2.7 Bearing selection

The main parameters playing a major part in the selection of engines bearing are:
Load, Life time and shaft diameter which are calculated by using the following equations:

Life time,

\[ H = \frac{1000000*p_b^3}{(60*N*C_b^3)} \]  

(16)

Bearing No6202 was selected to meet the calculated values.

2.8 Motor Selection

In order to motivate the model single vase motor of one horsepower was adapted with two variables speeds.

2.9 Belt Selection

To find the length of the belt:

\[ L = 2(AB + BC + CD) \]

\[ L = 2 \left\{ \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha \right] R + \sqrt{c^2 - (R-r)^2} \right\} + \left\{ \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \right] r \right\} \]

\[ \alpha = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{R-r}{c} \right) \]

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENGINE MODEL

The engine, Figure 7, basically comprises two crankshafts that are connected together in a contra-rotating relationship, crankshafts connected to the piston wristpin (10) with first (4) and second (11) connecting rods, which cross between corresponding crankshaft and wristpin. Any suitable connecting means may connect the two crankshafts to provide the desired rotation in opposite directions, such as gears, timing belts, chains or the like. Preferably, the crankshafts are geared together to assure positive equal contra-rotation. The gears (1) may be in the form of meshing single axial gears on each crankshaft. The connecting rod means may have a single first connecting rod and a single second connecting rod spaced with the connecting rods spaced longitudinally along the length of the crankshafts to prevent interference. However, for best results, the first crankshaft means will consist of a pair of spaced connecting rods and the second connecting means will consist of a single connecting rod extending between the two first connecting rods in the required crossed relationship. This provides superior balance and piston stability. The strokes in twin crankshaft arrangement are not equal, because of the offset crankshaft, possessing expansion and compression strokes of differing length. The twin crankshaft configuration may typically have an expansion stroke length greater than the compression stroke length, Figure 8. This characteristic has benefits in providing improved cylinder filling with and air-fuel charge and an extended power stroke for a longer, more complete and cleaner burn.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In the present investigation a model has been built to measure wear characteristics in twin crankshaft engine. The model was manufactured considering the same design equations used for conventional engines. Preliminarily tests showed that the engine is capable to carry on tests to study wear characteristics in internal combustion engines
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Drawing description:
1 Gear  7 Rings
2 Flywheel  8 Bearing
3 Crank pin  9 Driver shaft
4 Connecting rod 1  10 Wrist pin
5 Cylinder  11 Connecting rod 2
6 Piston

Figure 7: Engine assembly

Figure (8) Piston displacement.