# **DEDICATION**

To

The spirit of the Late Director General of SMEA Nyala,

Engineer Mohamed Hassan Hammed

I plead God to bless and accept him

To

My family

8

All the people who helped me

Abbas

### **ACKNOWLEDEMENTS**

#### First

I would like to thank very much project manager of Nyala Idd- Alfurssan road Dr. Awad Alkarim Mustafa for his great effort and positive support

Dr. Sami A Osman for his great advice and cooperation

Dr. Selma Yahiya Mohamed for her comments and orientation

The Supervisor AlHaj Dr.Magdi Zumrawi for his continuous advice, comments and his patient with me to come up with this work.

### Secondly

I would like to thank everyone who helped with this research. A special thanks to the Center for Engineering and Technical Studies (CETS) management and Staff for cooperation and for facilitated access to the web sites.

### **ABSTRACT**

In road evaluation it is essential to determine the in-situ soil strength and compaction level under field conditions for different soils strata.

The Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) is a popular in-situ test method commonly used to estimate soil strength. It gives immediate results of indirect CBR values promptly at various locations in the field.

The main objectives of the study is to analyze the DCP test data and then to predict in-situ soil strength in terms of either CBR value or Mechanistic Resilient Modulus(MR) for the purpose of road pavement structural design, and also to apply the DCP to soil strength characteristics for road pavement.

The data analysis in this study was carried out by using Microsoft Excel for DCP computation and Computer software (UKDCP version 3.1) for soil layers strength analysis.

The study results indicated that the DCP can be applied to predict in-situ soil strength, preliminary site soil investigation, pavement guide design, structural evaluation of existing pavements and compaction control. The research recommends assessment of DCP to soil strength characteristics.

### مستخلص البحث

إن تحديد قوة التربة الموضعية ومستوى الدمك تحت الظروف الحقلية لمختلف الطبقات يعتبر جو هرياً في تقيم اعمال الطرق.

جهاز الاختراق المخروطى المتحرك (DCP) هو الطريقة الاكثر استخداماً في اختبارات تربة الحقل الموضعى و المستخدمة لقياس نسبة تحمّل كالفورزيا (CBR) ويعطى نتائج سريعة غير مباشرة لقياس نسبة تحمّل التربة لعدة مواقع في الحقل.

الهدف الرئيسي لهذا البحث هو تحليل بيانات اختبارات جهاز الاختراق الهخروطي المتحرك ومنها تقدير قوة التربة الموضعي الحقلي بدلالة نسبة تحمّل كالفورني ا(CBR) او ميكنيكية معامل الرجوعية (MR) لغرض التصميم الانشائي لطبقات الرصف المرن للطرق وكما يهدف البحث ايضاالي تطبيقات جهاز الاختراق الهخروطي المتحرك في تقييم خصائص التربة لطبقات رصف الطريق

تحليل البيانات التى استخدمت فى هذة الدراسة تم بواسطة برنامج الحاسوب مايكرو سوفت إكسل فى حسابات معدل الاختراق و برنامج العقل الإلكترونى ( UKDCP version 3.1 ) فى تحليل قوة طبقات التربة

نتائج الدراسة تؤشر الى ان جهاز الاختراق المخروطى المتحرك يمكن تطبيقة فى تقدير قوة التربة موضعياً وإستكشافات التربة الاولية وتصميم و تقييم طبقات رصف الطرق المشيدة وضبط مستوى الدمك.

يوصى البحث باستخدام جهاز الاختراق المخروطى المتحرك (DCP) في ايجاد خصائص قوة التربة.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description	Page number
Dedication	I
Acknowledgements	II
Abstract	III
Abstract in Arabic	IV
Table of Content	V
List of Abbreviations	VII
List of Figures	VII
List of Tables	VIII
List of Plates	X
Chapter one: Introduction	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Research Problem	1
1.3Objectives	2
1.4 Significance of the Study	2
1.5 Research Methodology	3
1.6 Structure of Thesis	4
Chapter two: Literature Review	
2.1 General	5
2.2 Soil Strength	6
2.1.1Stresses & Strains	6
2.2.2 Definitions	6
2.2.3 Concept of soil strength	7
2.2.4 In-Situ soil Field conditions	7
2.2.5 In-Situ soil investigation and tests	8
2.3 California Bearing Ratio (CBR)	
2.3.1 Historical Back Ground	24
2.3.2 Definition	25
2.3.3 Basic CBR Test	26
2.3.4 CBR Measurements	32
2.3.5 CBR Correlations	32
2.3.6 Assignment of CBR Value (Strength)	36
2.3.7The Factors Affecting CBR	40
2.4 Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP)	
2.4.1 General	41
2.4.2 DCP Historical Background	42

Continued Table of Contents	
2.4.3 Definition & Terms	54
2.4.4 DCP Test Procedure & Operation	55
2.4.5 DCP Correlations	58
2.4.6 DCP Application	64
2.3.7Advantages & limitations of DCP	67
2.4.8 Factors Affecting DCP	68
2.5 Factors Affecting the Estimate of Soil Strength	69
2.6 Summary	69
Chapter three: Field Work	
3.1 General	70
3.2 Projects Description	70
3.3Data Collection	74
3.4Data Analysis	75
Chapter four: Results & Discussion	
4.1General	104
4.2 Test Results	104
4.3 Discussion	113
Chapter five: Conclusion & Recommendations	
5.1 Summary	117
5.2 General Recommendations	118
5.3 Recommendations for Future Studies	118
References	120
Appendices	
Appendix - A Dynamic Cone Penetrometer	
Appendix- B DCP test field form	
Appendix- C DCP correlation charts	
Appendix -DUK-DCP3.1 Computer software	-
Appendix -E DCP classification & compaction Specification	
Appendix-F Layers strength property Tables	
Appendix -G Modulus values	

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway
	&Transportation officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing Material
A.S.	Australian Standard
B.S.	British Standard
CBR	California Bearing Ratio
CSIR	Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, South Africa
DCP	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
MDD	Maximum dry density
OMC	Optimum moisture content
Mn/DOT	Minnesota Department of Transportation
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
TRL	Transport & Research Laboratory
T.R.R.L	Transport &Road Research Laboratory
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
3.1	Gazeera DCP Test Results Example -2 Plot	78
3.2	Gazeera Layers property (Using UKDCP 3.1)	79

# LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Spacing of Bore hole	9
2.2	CBR test standard load	26
2.3	USCS Soil Typical CBR Ranges	33
2.4	Sub-grade CBR estimation of British soils	33
2.5	Design moisture contents and soaking (Austroads, 2001)	38
2.6	DCP-CBR Correlations	58
2.7	DCP-CBR Correlations	60
2.8	Classification for granular soils using DCP (Huntley, 1990)	63
2.9	Cassification for Cohesive soils using DCP (Huntley, 1990)	63
2.10	CBR Adjustment Factors	64
3.1	Summary of the DCP test data collected	74
3.2	Algazeera DCP In-situ field test	76
3.3	DCP Processed Data Al-Gazeera Change 5.000	77
3.4	Algazeera DCP Plotting Result Chainage 5.000	78
3.5	DCP Computer software Gazeera Ch. 5+000	79
3.6	AlGazeera Street Layer strength property	80
3.7	AlGazeera Street effective strength category	81
3.8	AlGazeera Street resilient &elastic modulus	82
3.9	Shakan Street Layer strength property	83
3.10	Shakan Laboratory Test Result (Base)	85
3.11	Shakan Laboratory Test Result (Sub-base)	85
3.12	Shakan Laboratory Test Result CBR value	86
3.13	Shakan Comparative Analysis of Base	86
3.14	Shakan Comparative Analysis of Sub-Base	86
3.15	Alsteen Street Layer strength property	87
3.16	Alsteen Street First Layer strength & Compaction Level	88
3.17	Ali Dinar Layer Strength property	88
3.18	Altigany Almahy Layer Strength Property	89
3.19	Babker Badry Street Layer Strength Property	91
3.20	Osman Digna Street Layer Strength Property	92
3.21	Abugarga Street Street Layer Strength Property	93
3.22	Atbra Street Layer Strength Property	95
3.23	Elzubeir Basha Street Layer Strength Property	96
3.24	Barlaman Street Layer Strength Property	97

	Continued List of Tables	
3.25	Konti Street Layer Strength Property	98
3.26	Konti Street Laboratory Test Summary	100
3.27	Konti Street Comparison between Labs with DCP Test	101
3.28	Alestibalia Street Layer Strength Property	102
3.29	Alestibalia Street First Layer Compaction	103
4.1	summary of the analysis of AlGazeera Street	105
4.2	Shakan Comparative Analysis of Base	106
4.3	Shakan Comparative Analysis of Sub-Base	107
4.4	summary of the analysis of Alsteen Street	108
4.5	Konti Street Analysis of Test Point 0.500	112
4.6	Konti Street Analysis of Test Point 0.700	112
4.7	Summary of the Analysis of Alestibalia Street	113

# LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Title	Page
2.1	Typical diagram in-situ surface & sub-surface condition	8
2.2	In-situ geological environment	8
2.3	Speedy Moisture Testing Kit	11
2.4	Rapid Control Device (RCD)	12
2.5	Electrical Density Gauge	13
2.6	Electrical Density Gauge in Operation	13
2.7	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer	16
2.8	Soil Stiffness Gauge	16
2.9	Trailer mounted FWD (TMD)	18
2.10	15 Active Sensor Capabilities FWD	18
2.11	Vehicle Mounted Deflectometer	18
2.12	Nuclear Moisture Density Gauges	19
2.13	Soil sampling robot in a typical field test	20
2.14	Core of Soil sampling mechanism	21
2.15	Garmin GPSMAP 76S receiver and GA 29 antenna	22
2.16	Heavy Vehicle Simulator Model	22
2.17	Heavy Vehicle Simulator	23
2.18	Heavy Vehicle Simulator test section	24
2.19	CBR sample	26
2.20	CBR Standard methods ASTM D1883, AASHTO T193	27
2.21	Laboratory CBR Machine	27
2.22	CBR in-situ equipment setup	30
2.23	DCP characterize in-situ foundation	41
2.24	TRL DCP Components	44
2.25	DCP software data collection system	44
2.26	South Africa DCP	47
2.27	Automated DCP in operation in field	51
2.28	Modified DCP device	52
2.29	An example of modified DCP testy	52
2.30	Automatic DCP data acquisition system	53
2.31	Connection of DCPDAS and DCP	53
3.1	Al-Gazeera proposed project centre line	71