

DEDICATION

**This thesis is dedicated to those whom pushed me beyond the limits,
to my mother.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, my heartfelt thanks to Allah for giving me strength and will power to complete this task.

My utmost appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor:

professor **Mohammed abdel salam abdalla**, for the continuous supervision, guidance, suggestions which led to completion of my mvsc study.

My appreciation and thanks to the owners of poultry slaughterhouse, who allowed me to conduct this study.

Lastly, my heart full appreciation and thanks to my colleagues, my friends, my family members for their support and encouragement.

Abstract

Microbial contamination of poultry carcasses can be influenced by many factors during slaughtering and processing. The current study was conducted to investigate bacteria encountered in the contamination of broiler carcasses during slaughtering in semiautomatic poultry abattoir in Khartoum State. However forty five swab samples were collected randomly from chicken carcasses and worker's hands. The samples were taken after following processing steps: defeathering, evisceration, spray wash, chilling and from workers hands. Total Viable Count (TVC) of contaminating bacteria was performed besides isolation and identification of bacteria. The result revealed that there was statistically significant difference after defeathering ($p \leq 0.05$). The highest contamination level was recorded after evisceration on the legs, 8.16 ± 0.11 , back, 8.68 ± 0.25 and the breast, 9.18 ± 0.13 . The contaminating bacteria isolated were *Escherichia spp*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, *Shigella spp.* and *Salmonella spp.* High levels of microbial contamination can be carried by bad evisceration and poor hygienic managements, but better facilities and processing units with better hygiene make broiler meat have a concern for suppliers, consumers and public health officials.

المستخلص

التلوث الميكروبي لذبائح الدواجن يمكن أن يتأثر بالعديد من العوامل أثناء عملية الذبح والتجهيز . قد أجريت الدراسة الحالية للتقصي عن الجراثيم الملوثة التي يمكن العثور عليها على زبائح فراخ اللحم أثناء الذبح في مسلخ دواجن شبه لوتوماتيكي في ولاية الخرطوم . تم جمع خصبة وأربعين عينة مسحة عشوائيا من ذبائح الدجاج وأيلي العمل . وتم أخذ العينات بعد خطوات عملية الذبح التالية : تق الريش , إزالة الأحشاء , الغسيل الرزازي، التبريد ومن أيلي العمل . وقد تم حساب إجمالي العدد الحي (TVC) من البكتيريا الملوثة إلى جانب العزل والتعرف على أنواع البكتيريا . وكشفت نتيجة الدراسة أن هناك اختلاف إحصائي بعد عملية تق الريش ($ع \geq 0.05$) . وسجل أعلى مستوى للتلوث بعد إزالة الأحشاء على الساقين ، 0.11 ± 8.16 ، الظهر ، 0.25 ± 8.68 و الصدر ، 9.18 ± 0.13 . أنواع البكتيريا الملوثة كانت أنواع الإيشريكية ، أنواع الزائفة، أنواع الشيقلية. وأنواع السالمونيلا. المستوى العالي من التلوث الميكروبي كل بسبب سوء عملية إزالة الأحشاء وضعف إتباع الإرشادات الصحية ، ولكن تجويد مرافق المجزر و وحلت الذبح والمعالجة مع النظافة تجعل لحوم الدواجن ذات أهمية صحية للموردين والمستهلكين ومسؤولي الصحة العامة .

Table of contents

Item No.	Contents	Page No
1	Dedication	I
2	Acknowledgments	II
3	Abstract	III
4	Arabic abstract	IV
5	Table of contents	V
6	list of table	VIII
7	Introduction	1
1	CHAPTER ONE LITERATURE REVIEW	4
1.1	History of The Poultry In Sudan	4
1.2	Poultry processing:	4
1.2.1	Slaughterhouse	5
1.2.2	Location and Site:	5
1.2.3	Area and Size	6
1.2.4	Design and main facilities	6
1.2.5	Floors	6
1.2.6	Walls	7
1.2.7	Roof and ceilings	7
1.2.8	Lighting	7
1.2.9	Ventilation	7
1.2.10	Water supply and waste disposal	8
1.2.11	Drainage	8
1.3	Chicken slaughtering process	9
1.3.1	Chicken handling in farm	9
1.3.2	Chicken transportation from farm to slaughterhouse	10
1.3.3	Chicken handling in slaughterhouse	10
1.3.4	Refreshing chicken before slaughtering	10
1.3.5	Antemortem examination	10
1.3.5.1	Ante mortem examination toward chickens	11
1.3.5.2	Recommendations of antemortem examination result	11
1.3.6	Chicken slaughtering with stunning	12
1.3.7	Slaughtering chicken without stunning	14
1.3.8	Scalding	14
1.3.9	Defeathering	14
1.3.10	Plucking	15
1.3.11	.Evisceration	15
1.3.12	Postmortem examination	15
1.3.13	Carcass washing and chilling/rinsing	16
1.3.14	Carcass packaging and transporting	16
1.4	Bacteriology of poultry meat	17

1.5	Bacterial genera involved in poultry meat	19
1.5.1	The genera of Gram-positive bacilli:	20
1.5.1.1	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	20
1.5.1.2	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	20
1.5.1.3	<i>Bacillus</i>	21
1.5.2	Gram-positive bacteria	21
1.5.2.1	Streptococcus	21
1.5.2.2	Staphylococcus	21
1.5.3	The genera of Gram-negative bacilli	22
1.5.3.1	Escherichia	22
1.5.3.2	Pseudomonas	22
1.5.3.3	Shigella	23
1.5.3.4	Salmonella	23
1.6	Meat hygiene and safety	25
1.7	Conception of HACCP System:	25
1.7.1	From farm to table	26
1.7.2	History of HACCP	26
1.7.3	Principle of The HACCP system	28
1.7.4	Application of the HACCP	28
2	CHAPTER TOW MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
2.1	Samples of the Study	30
2.1.1	Source of Sample	30
2.1.2	Collection of Sample	30
2.1.3	method of collection	30
2.1.4	Type of Samples	30
2.1.5	Submission of Sample	30
2.1.6	Preservation of the Samples	30
2.2	Media	31
2.2.1	Semisolid Media	31
2.2.1.1	Blood Agar Medium {Oxoid Cm 271}:	31
2.2.1.2	Macconkey'S Agar Medium {Oxoid Cm 115}:	31
2.2.1.3	Plate count agar medium {oxoid cm 325}	31
2.2.1.4	Nutrient agar {oxoid cm 3	31
2.2.1.5	Hugh and leifson's {OF} medium	32
2.2.1.6	MR-VPmedium {glucose plate medium} oxoid cm 43	32
2.2.1.7	Simmon citrate agar {oxoid cm 155}:	32
2.2.2	Broth media	32
2.2.2.1	Peptone water	32

2.2.2.2	Nutrient broth {oxoid, cm1}:	33
2.2.2.3	Peptone water sugar {Davis, etal, 1984}	33
2.3	Indicator:	33
2.3.1	Andrade, s indicator	33
2.3.2	Hydrogen peroxide3 % {3% H_2O_2 }:	33
2.3.3	Kovac's reagent	33
2.3.4	Methyl Red Indicator:	33
2.3.5	α 5% -Naphthole	34
2.3.6	Potassium hydroxide4 % { kOH}:	34
2.4	Solution	34
2.4.1	Distilled Water	34
2.4.2	Normal Saline	34
2.5	Culture Methods	34
2.5.1	Streaking	34
2.5.2	Purification of culture	34
2.5.3	Preservation of Isolates	34
2.5.4	Identification of Isolate Bacteria	34
2.5.4.1	Morphology and Staining	34
2.5.4.1.1	Preparation of Smear	35
2.5.4.1.2	Gram's Stain Method	35
2.5.4.1.3	Motility	35
2.5.4.1.4	Aerobic and Anaerobic Growth	35
2.5.4.1.5	Catalase Test	35
2.5.4.1.6	Oxidase Test	35
2.5.4.1.7	glucose break down	36
2.5.4.1.7	Oxidation Fermentation Test	36
2.5.4.1.8	Indole production	36
2.5.4.1.9	Methyl red test	36
2.5.4.1.10	Vogus Proskauer Test (VP-Test)	36
2.5.4.1.11	Citrate Utilization Test	36
3	CHAPTER THREE RESULTS	37
4	CHAPTER FOUR DISCUSSION	40
4.1	Recommendation	42
5	CHAPTER FIVE REFERENCES	43

LIST OF TABLES

Item No.	content	page no
Table (1)	Comparison of mean total viable count of bacteria (\log_{10} CFU cm^{-2}) \pm Sd at different operational points at different sites on poultry carcasses	37
Table (2)	Bacteria isolated and identified from broiler carcasses.	38
Table (3)	Number and percentage of bacteria isolated and identified in different	39

	operational points	
--	--------------------	--

VIII

IX