

:قال تعالى

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

[قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلِمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية 32

To my wonderful parents who strongly supported me all throughout.

To my beloved brothers and adorable sisters.

To all those whom I always love, care and respect.

Acknowledgments

First of all I thank Allah for giving me the strength and patience to perform this work. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Abdalbagi Elnagi Mohamed for his invaluable advice, constructive criticism, continuous guidance, encouragement and patience throughout the period of this study. Also grateful thanks must go to Dr. Mogahid M. El Hassan, Mr. Mohammed Mossad, Mr. Moutakil Y. Mohamed and Ms. Asgad M. Mukhtar for their cooperation and guidance. I would like to thank the staff of the research laboratory for helping to complete this work. Special thanks are due to my parents, brothers and sisters for their continuous support and encouragement. Last, but not least I would like to thank all my friends and colleagues for their unlimited support and strong advice throughout this study.

Abstract

This study was carried out in Khartoum Teaching Hospital (KTH) during the period from November 2008 to March 2009. The main aim of this study was to determine the sensitivity and resistance patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from clinical specimens against gentamicin, carbenicillin and ciprofloxacin. 50 isolates of *Ps. aeruginosa* were recovered from wound, urine and ears at the rates of 21 (42%), 23 (46%) and 6 (12%) respectively, indicated that the wounds were common sites for pseudomonal infections. These isolates were subjected to *in vitro* sensitivity testing using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion technique. The age of patients examined were subdivided into five age groups. Carbenicillin was shown to be the most effective drug (80% sensitivity), while gentamicin was the least effective agent (34% resistance), followed by ciprofloxacin (28% resistance). However, while 6% of the *Ps. aeruginosa* isolates were resistant to all antimicrobial drug used in this study (multi-drug resistance), all *Ps. aeruginosa* isolates from wound infections were shown to be 100% susceptible to carbenicillin. The age group 16-30 years (30%) was found to be more exposed group to pseudomonal infections, while age group 41-60 years (8%) was found to be the less exposed one.

In conclusion, while most of the *Ps. aeruginosa* isolates were from wound infections, carbenicillin was show to be the drug of choice. The results of sensitivity testing of *Pseudomonas* clinical isolates indicate that multi-drug resistance is a major clinical problem that needs further in-depth study to be resolved.

مقدمة

اجريت هذه الدراسة في مستشفى الخرطوم التعليمي أثناء الفترة من نوفمبر/تشرين الثاني 2008 إلى مارس/شباط 2009. الهدف الاساسي لهذه الدراسة تقييم اشكال الحساسيه والمقاومه لسلامات الزائفة الزنجارية المعزوله من عينات سريرييه. 50 عينه سريرييه لسلامات الزائفة الزنجارية استخلصت من الجروح، البول ومسحات الأذن وكانت نسبهم 21 (24%) ، 23 (43%) و 6 (12%) علي التوالي، وهي تؤشر الى ان الجروح اكثراً المواقع اصابة بالزائفة الزنجارية. كل العينات السريرييه لسلامات الزائفة الزنجارية اختبرت خارجياً للحساسيه بواسطه إس تعامل تفنيه إنتشار قرص كيربي - بماور. الكاربنسيلين هو المضاد الاكثر فعالية (الحساسيه 72%)، بينما الجنتميسين هو المضاد الاقل فعالية (المقاومه 34%)، يليه السبروفلوكاسين (المقاومه 28%). عموماً 66% من سلامات الزائفة الزنجارية التي تم عزلها كانت مقاومه لجميع المضادات التي استخدمت في هذه الدراسة (المقاومة المتعددة للمضادات الحيويه)، وكانت كل سلامات الزائفة الزنجارية التي عزلها من الجروح 100% حساسه للكاربنسيلين تم تقسيم اعمار المرضى الذين تم اختبارهم إلى خمس فئات عمرية. الفئة العمرية 16-30 سنوات (30%) كانت هي الأكثر تعرضاً إلى الاصابه بالزائفة الزنجارية، بينما الفئة العمرية 46-60 سنوات (8%) هي الأقل تعرضاً للاصابه.

في الخاتمه، كل سلامات الزائفة الزنجارية التي تم عزلها من الجروح وجد ان الكاربنسيلين يعتبر العلاج الافضل لها. نتائج الحساسيه لسلامات الزائفة الزنجارية التي تم عزلها توضح ان المقاومة المتعددة للمضادات الحيويه مشكله سريرييه كبرى، والتي تحتاج لدراسة عميقه لحلها.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.5.	Clinical manifestations.....	9
2.6.	Host defenses.....	10
2.7.	Laboratory diagnosis.....	11
2.7.1.	Cultural characteristics.....	11
2.7.2.	Identification.....	11
2.8.	Antimicrobial agents.....	12
2.8.1.	Carbenicillin.....	12
2.8.2.	Gentamicin.....	13
2.8.3.	Ciprofloxacin.....	14
Chapter Three: Materials and Methods.....		15
3.1.	Study area.....	15
3.2.	Sample size.....	15
3.3.	Selection criteria.....	15
3.3.1.	Inclusion criteria.....	15
3.3.2.	Exclusion criteria.....	15
3.4.	Ethical consideration.....	15
3.5.	Sample collection and processing.....	15
3.6.	Culturing technique.....	16
3.6.1.	Reading of culture.....	16
3.6.2.	Identification.....	16
3.6.2.1.	Gram's stain.....	16
3.6.2.2.	Biochemical tests.....	17
3.6.2.2.1.	Oxidase Test.....	17
3.6.2.2.2.	Indole Test.....	17
3.6.2.2.3.	Kligler Iron Agar (KIA) Slant.....	17

3.6.2.2.4. Citrate Agar Slant.....	18
3.6.2.2.5. Urea Agar Slant.....	18
3.7. Motility (semi-solid medium) test.....	18
3.8. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing.....	18
3.8.1. Preparation of inoculum.....	18
3.8.2. Preparation of standard turbidity solution.....	19
3.8.3. Inoculation of Muller-Hinton agar.....	19
3.8.4. Antimicrobial discs application.....	19
3.8.5. Reading and interpretation.....	20
3.9. Quality control	20
3.10. Statistical analysis	20
Chapter Four: Results.....	21
4.1. Clinical specimens	21
4.2. Isolation and identification of <i>Ps.aeruginosa</i>	21
4.2.1. Examination of culture	21
4.2.1.1. MacConkey agar	21
4.2.1.2. Pigment production.....	21
4.2.1.3. Odor production	22
4.2.1.4. Biochemical tests	22
4.3. Susceptibility testing	22
4.3.1. Effect of carbenicillin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin against <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> isolates	22
4.3.2. Effect of gentamicin against <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> isolates from different sites	22

4.3.3.	Effect of carbenicillin against <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> isolates from different sites	22
4.3.4.	Effect of ciprofloxacin against <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> isolates from different sites	23

Chapter Five: Discussion

5.1.	Discussion.....	30
	Conclusion.....	33
	Recommendations.....	34
	References.....	35
	Appendices.....	39
	Appendix I: Questionnaire.....	39
	Appendix II: Plates	40
	Appendix III: Sensitivity table	44

List of tables

Table	No.
Table 2.1 The major virulence factors of <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	6
Table 4.1 Isolation of <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> from different sites of infection among males and females.....	24
Table 4.2 Isolation of <i>Ps.aeruginosa</i> from different infected sites in both males and females.....	24
Table 4.3 Detection of resistant, intermediate and susceptible <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> clinical isolates.....	28
Table 4.4 Anti-bacterial activity of gentamicin against isolated <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> from different infected sites.....	28
Table 4.5 Anti-bacterial activity of carbencillin against isolated <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> from different infected sites.....	29
Table 4.6 Anti-bacterial activity of ciprofloxacin against isolated <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> from different infected sites.....	29

List of figures

Figure	No.
Figure 2.1. The structure of <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	4
Figure 2.2. The chemical structure of carbencillin.....	12
Figure 2.3. The chemical structure of gentamicin.....	13
Figure 2.4. The chemical structure of ciprofloxacin.....	14
Figure 4.1. Isolation of <i>Ps.aeruginosa</i> from different age groups.....	25
Figure 4.2. Production of large and small colonies by isolated <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> in MacConkey agar.....	26
Figure 4.3. Production of different pigments by isolated <i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> in nutrient agar.....	27