ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to thank God, for making it possible to complete this study.

I have the honor and the pleasure to thank my supervisor Prof. Hassan Abdel Aziz Musa, Dean Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science National Ribat University, who offered me help in all aspects that of this study.

My thanks to all personnel in the Bacteriology Department, Ribat University Hospital, Burri, especially the lab technician Gehad Ibrahium. Also my thanks extended to the lab assistant Habib Mohamed and staff member of the Central Veterinary Research lab. Center, Soba. Who helped me in this study.

I sincerely thank my brothers, other member of the family and colleague for their continuous help.

Moreover, I thank the College of Graduate Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology, for financial support, and my special thanks to Dr. Humodi A.Saeed the coordinator of the Master Program in Clinical Microbiology.

Last but not least my thanks are extended to the Mohamed Gasm for typing this work.

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted with specific objectives of isolation and identification of bacteria associated with Anesthetic apparatus used during operations or intubations in intensive care units.

Two hundred samples were collected from ten different sites of Anesthetic apparatus, Twenty specimens were taken from each sites were considered sterile and ready to use. The duration of samples collection was between (March 2001 – October 2002). Twenty specimens were taken from each site. The samples were collected from the major emergency complex theatre at Ribat University Hospital and the major theatre of Sahiroon Hospital in Khartoum state.

Bacteriological examinations were performed in the microbiology laboratory, Department of Microbiology, Ribat University Hospital and Central Vet. Research Lab. Center, Soba.

Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were isolated, identified and differentiated using standard different biochemical reactions.

The Gram-positive isolates were *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Micrococcus spp.* and *Bacillus spp.*The Gram negative isolate were only *Pseudomonas*.

The commonest bacteria that contaminate the Anesthetic apparatus were *Pseudomonas spp.* (60.37%), *Micrococcus spp.* (20.75%) and *Bacillus spp.* (18.38%).

The antibiotic Sensitivity tests were done for each isolated strain.

Pseudomonas spp. were (100%) sensitive to Amickacin, Pefloxacin and Ciprofloxacin and (72.7%) sensitive to Ofloxacin and (100%) resistant to Ampicillin, Cefoperazone, Piperacillin, Ceftazidime Ceftizoxime, Augmentin, and (72.7%) resistant to Cefotaxime and Gentamicin.

Micrococcus spp. were (85.7%) sensitive to Ciprofloxacin and (71.4%) sensitive to Gentamicin, Ofloxacin and Pefloxacin, intermediately sensitive to Ceftriazone. They were found to be (85.7%) resistant to Cephalexin, (71.4%) to Ampicillin, and Lincomycin and (57.1%) resistant to Roxythromycin and Cefotaxime.

Bacillus spp.were (100%) sensitive to Gentamicin and Ciprofloxacin, (85.7%) to Roxythromycin, (71.4%) to Ofloxacin and Pefloxacin and intermediately sensitive to Cephalexin and Ceftriazone .They were on the Other hand found to be (85.7%) resistant to Ampicillin and (57.1%) to Cefotaxime and Lincomycin.

الخص الأطبروحة

اجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف عزل وتعريف البكتريا المصاحبة لتلوث معدات وأجهزة التخدير المستعملة في العمليات الجراحية وحدات العناية المكثفة

تم جمع مائتي عيذة من عشرة اجهزة مختلفة من معدات و اجهزة التخديرالمع قمة المعدة للاستمعال. بمعدل عشرون عيذة لكل جهاز من أجهزة التخدير المختلفة جمعت العينات من الفترة من مارس 2001م-اكتوبر 2002م (. من مجمع العمليات الجراحية والعناية المكثفة بمستشفى الرباط الجامعي و ساهرون التخصصي بولاية الخرطوم.

أجريت كل الاختبارات البكترية بالمواصفات الفنية المثلي في معمل الأحياء الدقيقة بمستشفى الرباط الجامعى ومركز معامل الأبحاث البيطرية المركزية -سوبا.

عزلت وعرفت جميع البكتردا الموجدة لصدبغة غرام والسالبة لصدبغة غرام باستخدام التفاعلات الكيميائية الحيودة المثلي.

البكتريا الموجدة لصبغة غرام والتي عزلت تحتوي على المكورات العذ قودية والمكورات السبحية والمايكروكوكس والباسليس.

البكتريا السالبة لصبغة غرام والتي عزلت تحتوي على نوع سودوموناس فقط (الزائفة الزنجارية).

أثبتت الدراسة أن معظم البكتريا التي تصاحب تلوث أجهزة التخدير و الجهزة التخدير و الجهزة التنف س الصد ناعى بالعناية المكثفة من نه وع سه ودوموناس ((% Pseudomonas) بنسبة (60.37. ثم الباسليس Bacillus)) بنسبة (38.18%).

ثم أجردت لكل عيدة اختبارات حساسية المضادات الحيودة والتي أثبتت الدراسة بان سودوموناس (Pseudomonas) المعزولة حساسة لمضاد الميكاسين (Amikacin) و بيفلوكساسين (Ciprofloxacin) و بيفلوكساسين (Pefloxacin) بنسبة (Ofloxacin) بنسبة (Ofloxacin) و حساس لمضاد افولكساسين (Ampicillin) و سيفوبرازون (Ampicillin) و مقاوم لمضاد المبيسلين (Piperacillin) و سيفوبرازون (Cefoperazone) وبيبراس يلين (Piperacillin) والوقتازدايم وسيفوتاكسيم (Ceftizoxime) و او قمنتين (Augmentin) بنسبة (100%)) ومضاد جنتاميسين (Cefotaxime) وسفتاكسايم (Cefotaxime) بنسبة (72.7%).

اما المايكروكوكس (Micro Cocci) (حساسة لمضاد سبروفاكاسين (Ofloxacin) بنسبة (85.7%) واوفلكسين (Ofloxacin) بنسبة (71.4%) وسفتازون (Pefloxacin) وجنتمايسن (Gentamicin) بنسبة (71.4%) ومقاومة لمضاد سفالكسين (Ceftriazone (Lincomycin)) ولمضاد المبسلين (Ampicillin) ولانكوماسين (85.7%) و لمصاد الروثرومايسين (Roxythromycin)) و سفتاكسايم (Cefotaxime) و بنسبة (57.1%).

اما الباسليس (Bacillus) حساسة لمضاد سبروفلوكاسين (Ciprofloxacin) و (Roxythromycin) بنسبة (100%) واريثرومايسين (Gentamicin) بنسبة (Pefloxacin) وبيفلوكسين (Ofloxacin) وبيفلوكسين (Roxythromycin) وبيفلوكسين (Ceftriazone) وسفتازون (Cephalexin) بنسبة (71.4%) و لمصاد سفالكشين (Cephalexin) وسفتازون (Ampicillin) بنسبة (85.7%). وم قاومة لمضاد المبسلين (Ampicillin) بنسبة (85.7%). ومضاد لانكوماسين (Cefotaxime) وسفتالكسايم (Cefotaxime) بنسبة (85.7%).

LIST OF CONTENTS

		Page
	Acknowledgement	i
	Abstract English	ii
	ملخص الأطروحة	iv
	Table of Contents	vi
	List of Tables	xi
	List of Figures	xii
	List of Photos	xiii
	CHAPTER ONE	
1.	Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1	Nosocomial infections	1
1.2	Bacteria associated with anesthetic equipments	2
1.2.1	Gram- positive bacteria	2
1.2.1.1	Staphylococcus spp	2
1.2.1.1.1	Anti-microbial sensitivity	3
1.2.1.2.	Streptococcus spp.	4
1.2.1.2.1	Streptococcus pyogenes	4
1.2.1.2.2	Streptococcus pneumoniae	4
1.2.1.2.2.1	Anti-microbial sensitivity	5
1.2.1.3	Bacillus spp	5
1.2.1.3.1	Anti-microbial sensitivity	5
1.2.2	Gram- negative bacteria	5
1.2.2.1	Pseudomonas spp	5
1.2.2.1.1	Ps. aeruginosa	6
1.2.2.1.2	Ps. maltophilia	7
1.2.2.1.3	Ps. cepacia	7
1.2.2.1.4	Ps. mallei	7
1.2.2.1.5	Ps. pseudomallei	7
1.2.2.2	Anti-microbial sensitivity	7
1.3	Anesthetic equipments	8

1.3.1	Anesthesia systems	8
1.3.2	Breathing circuit	8
1.3.3	Patient circuit	8
1.3.4.	Anesthesia equipments types and uses	8
1.3.4.1	Anesthesia machine	8
1.3.4.2	Ventilators	9
1.3.4.3	Scavenging system	9
1.3.4.4	Laryngoscopes	9
1.3.4.5	Endo tracheal tubes	10
1.3.4.6	Air ways	10
1.3.4.7	Face mask	10
1.3.4.8	Laryngeal mask	11
1.3.4.9	Laryngeal spray	11
1.3.4.10	Intubating forceps	11
1.3.4.11	Cuff tubes	11
1.3.5	Infection control procedures for Anesthesia equipments	11
1.4.5.1	Effective strategies for infection control programs	12
1.3.5.2	Risk of transmitting infection	13
1.3.5.3	Steps in decontamination plan	14
1.3.6	Prevention of nosocomial infection in patients	14
1.3.6.1	Cleaning	14
1.3.6.2	Antiseptics	15
1.3.6.3	Decontamination	15
1.3.6.4	Disinfection	16
1.3.6.4.1	High-level disinfection	16
1.3.6.4.2	Intermediate-level disinfection	17
1.3.6.4.3	Low -Level disinfection	18
1.3.6.5	Sterilization	18
1.4	Justification & Objectives	20
1.4.1	Justification	20
1.4.2	Objectives	2o
1.4.2.1	General	20

1.4.2.2	Specific	20
	CHAPTER TWO	
2.	Materials and Methods	21
2.1	Materials	21
2.1.1	Anesthetic equipments	21
2.1.2	Culture equipments	21
2.1.3	Other Materials	22
2.2.	Media for isolation & identification &sensitivity testing	22
2.2.1	Solid media	22
2.2.1.1	Nutrient agar	22
2.2.1.2	Blood agar	22
2.2.1.3	MacConkey agar	22
2.2.1.4	Urea agar base	23
2.2. 1.5	Simmon citrate	23
2.21.6	Diagnostic sensitivity test (DAT) agar	23
2.2. 1.7	Gelatin media	24
2.2.2	Semi -solid media	24
2.2.2.1	Motility medium	24
2.2.3	Liquid media	24
2.2.3.1	Nutrient broth	24
2.2.3.2	Peptone water	24
2.2.3.3	Carbohydrate fermentation	25
2.3	Reagents	25
2.3.1	Hydrogen peroxide	25
2.3.2	Tetra methyl -p- phenylenediamine dihydrochloride	25
2.3.3	Kovacs reagent	25
2.4	Blood	26
2.5	Methods	26
2.6	Collection of samples	26
2.6.1	Distribution of samples	27
2.7	Culture methods	27
2.7.1	Primary inoculation on solid media	27

2.7.2	Subculture of primary isolates	27
2.7.3	Incubation of culture	27
2.7.4	Examination of the cultures	27
2.8	Identification of the isolated bacteria	28
2.8.1	Primary culture	28
2.8.1.1	Preparation of smears	28
2.8.1.2	Gram-staining	28
2.8.2	Secondary identification of isolated bacteria	28
2.8.2.1	Oxidase test	28
2.8.2.2	Catalase test	28
2.8.2.3	Motility test	29
2.8.2.4	Sugar fermentation test	29
2.8.2.5	Crease test	29
2.8.2.6	Peptone water	29
2.8.2.7	Tube coagulase test	29
2.9	Antibiotic sensitivity test	30
	CHAPTER RHREE	
3.	Results	33
3.1	Types of bacteria isolated from anesthetic equipments	33
3.1.1	The species of isolated <i>Pseudomonas</i>	33
3.1.2	Bacillus spp.	33
3.1.3	Gram-positive <i>Cocci</i> spp.	33
3.2	Biochemical properties of the bacteria isolated from the anesthetic equipments	34
3.3	Invitro antimicrobial sensitivity	34
	CHAPTER FOUR	
4.	Discussion	52
	CHAPTER FIVE	
5.	Conclusion & Recommendation	55
5.1	Conclusion	55
5.2	Recommendations	56
	References	57

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	Multi disk for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for	
Table 2	Gram Negative bacteria Multi disk for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for	31
Table 3	Gram Positive bacteria Pseudomonas spp isolated from the anesthetic	32
	equipment	36
Table 4	<i>Bacillus spp</i> isolated from the anesthetic equipments	36
Table 5	Gram-positive <i>cocci spp</i> isolated from the anesthetic	
Table 6	equipment Biochemical reaction & character for isolation &	36
Table 7	identification of gram –positive bacteria Biochemical reaction &character for isolation &	37
Table 8	identification of gram-negative bacteria Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for Gram -	38 39
Table 9	Positive Bacteria Percentage of Antimicrobial Resistance of the different	40
Table 10	gram - positive isolated Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for the Gram –	41
Table 11	Negative Bacteria isolates Percentage of Antimicrobial Resistances of the	42
	different Gram – Negative isolates	

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Fig. 1	Total number of isolates from the different items of	43
Fig. 2	anesthetic equipments. The Percentage of growth from the anesthetic	44
Fig. 3	equipments. Types of bacteria isolated from the anesthetic	45
Fig. 4	equipments. Percentage of the different types isolated from the	46
Fig. 5	anesthetic equipments. Pseudomonas spp. isolated from the anesthetic	47
Fig. 6	equipments. <i>Bacillus spp.</i> isolated from the anesthetic equipments.	48
Fig. 7	Gram positive <i>Cocci</i> isolated from the anesthetic	49
Fig. 8	equipments Percentage of antimicrobial resistance of the different	50
Fig. 9	of Gram positive isolates. Percentage of the antimicrobial resistance of the	51
	different species Pseudomonas.	

APPENDIX 1 - LIST OF PHOTOS

		Page
Photo. 1	Facemask	59
Photo. 2	Air ways	60
Photo. 3	Laryngoscope blade	61
Photo. 4	Suction catheters (or Tube).	62
Photo. 5	Tracheal tubes.	63
Photo. 6	Magill intubating forceps.	64
Photo. 7	Connection machine line.	65
Photo. 8	Anesthetic machine lines.	66
Photo. 9	Embo-Bags.	67
Photo. 10	Tracheal tube cuff.	68
Photo. 11	Staph. epidermidis isolated from anesthetic	69
Photo. 12	equipments. Pseudomonas spp. isolated from anesthetic equipments.	70
Photo. 13	Bacillus spp. isolated from anesthetic equipments.	71
Photo. 14	Strepto. spp. isolated from anesthetic equipments.	72
Photo. 15	Sugar test for biochemical reaction.	73