:قال تعالى

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

]قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ العَلِيمُ الحَكِيمُ]

صدق الله العظيم

{البقرة:32 {

Dedication

To my parents,

To my brothers and sisters,

To all whom I love and respect.

Acknowledgements

First of all I thank Allah for giving me the strength and patience to do this work. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Babikir Ishaq for his invaluable advice. constructive criticism, continuous guidance, encouragement and patience throughout the period of this study. I wish also to express my deep gratitude to radiology and laboratory staff at Radiotherapy Isotopes Center of Khartoum "RICK" for their help and cooperation. My deep thanks to Ms. Safa Ahmed for her assistance and help me in sample collection. My special thanks owed to all staff in University of Shendi. Best regards and thanks are extended to Mr. Ahmed Ali Alamin for his cooperation and quidance.

Lastly, but not least I would like to thank all my friends specially Mr.Hussam Ali & Mr.Fathelrahman Ibrahim and colleagues for their unlimited support and strong advice throughout this study.

Abstract

This is a case control study was carried out at Radiotherapy Isotopes Center of Khartoum "RICK" during the period from November 2008 to March 2009. The main aim of this study was to assess the cytomorphologicals change in urine in patients receiving radiotherapy and to correlate these changes with the dosage of radiotherapy. Specimens of urine were collected from 120 individuals, of whom 60 patients had pelvic neoplasms receiving radiotherapy as study group, and 60 full voided urine samples were collected from healthy individuals as control group.

Voided urine specimens were collected and processed by the conventional method for urine cytology and stained by Papanicolaou staining method and examined microscopically.

Cytological atypias in form of cellular enlargement and nuclear enlargement were detected in 9 (15 %) individuals and in form of multinucleated cells in 4 (7%) of individuals in the study group. Whereas, no evidence of cytological atypias was detected among control group. These findings strongly prove the role of radiotherapy as a risk factor for developing cytological atypias and these were found to be statistically significant (P<0.05).

Inflammatory cells were seen in 43 (71%) of cases and 8 (13.3%) of controls, and haematouria was seen in 14 (23.3%) of cases and in 2 (3.3%) of controls. These indicate that radiotherapy is major factor for the presence of the inflammatory cells (P<0.05) and haematouria (P<0.05).

In conclusion, radiotherapy can cause cytological atypia, haematouria and can induce the presence of inflammatory cells in urine cytology. Urine cytology is a simple and convenient method in the diagnosis and assessment of pathological conditions of the urinary system. In view of these, we highly recommend the introduction of urine cytology techniques in screening and assessment of individuals who are at risk of developing bladder cancer.

خلاصة البحث

الفترة من نوفمبر 2008 الى مارس 2009 . المرضى الذين يخضعون للعلاج الاشعاعي.

أُ الله الدراسه وجود الخلايا الالتهابية في 43 (71%) من عينات الدراسة و 8 (13.3%) الله الدموية

في 14(23.3%) من عينات الدراسة و 2 (3.3%) ١٥ ١١٥٥٥٥٥٥ و البيله ١٥٥٥ ١٥٥٥٥٥ و البيله و ١٥٥٥٥٥٥٥ و البيله الدمويه ١٥ ١٥٥٥٥ ١٥٥٥٥٠٥٠٥٠٠٥٠٥٠٥.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Page No.	Subject	
I	00000	
II	Dedication	
III	Acknowledgements	
IV	Abstract (English)	
VI	Abstract (Arabic)	
VIII	List of Tables	
IX	List of figures	
Chapter One: Introduction and Literature Review		
1	1.1. Introduction	
5	1.2. literature Review	
5	1.2.1. Scientific background	
6	1.2.3. Histology of the urinary bladder	
7	1.2.4. Normal histology and cytology of urinary tract	

8	1.2.4.1. Cytology of normal urine
8	1.2.4.2. Voided urine
8	.2.4.3. Normal urothelial cells
8	1.2.4.5. Catheterized urine
9	1.2.4.6. Bladder washes
9	1.2.4.7. Urethral and renal pelvic brushings and
	washings
10	1.2.5. Cytological changes of urinary tract
10	1.2.5.1. Inflammatory processes within the urinary tract
10	1.2.5.1.1. Bacterial infection
10	1.2.5.1.2. Viral infection
11	1.2.5.1.3. Metazoan parasite
11	1.2.5.1.4. Non- infective cystitis
11	1.2.5.2. Metaplasia
12	1.2.5.2.1. Cystitis glandular is
12	1.2.5.2.2. Squamous metaplasia
12	1.2.5.2.3. Adenomatous metaplasia
12	1.2.5.3. Dysplasia of urothelium
13	1.2.5.3.1. Mild dysplasia
13	1.2.5.3.2 Severe Dysplasia
14	1.2.5.4. Malignant lesions
14	1.2.5.4.1. Papillary tumors

14	1.2.5.4.2. Papillary transitional cell carcinoma	
14	1.2.5.4.3. Transitional cell carcinomas	
15	1.2.5.4.4. Adenocarcinoma:	
15	1.2.5.4.5. Squamous carcinoma of the bladder	
16	1.2.6. Risk factors of bladder cancers	
16	1.2.6.1. Smoking	
17	1.2.6.2. Industrial chemicals	
17	1.2.6.3. Age	
18	1.2.6.4. Race	
18	1.2.6.5. Sex	
18	1.2.6.6. Chemotherapy and radiation therapy	
18	1.2.6.7. Chronic bladder inflammation	
18	1.2.6.8. Personal or family history	
19	1.2.6.9. Exposure to arsenic	
19	1.2.6.10. Bladder birth defect	
19	1.2.7. Cigarette smoking	
Radiatio	n .1.2.8	21
Radiobiol	ogy .1.2.9	22
Effects of	irradiation on cells .1.2.9.1	22
Effect of	Ionizing Radiation on DNA .1.2.9.2	22
Radiation and Cell Cycle.1.2.9.3		23
Radio sensitivity in the Cell Cycle .1.2.9.4		23
General cellular changes after radiotherapy.1.2.10 24		24
Urinary Tract .1.2.11		24
1.2.12. Female Genital Tract 25		25

Acute Radiation Changes .1.2.12.1	25	
Chronic Radiation .1.2.12.2	26	
Rationale .1.3	28	
Objective .1.4	29	
General Objective .1.4.1	29	
Specific Objectives .1.4.2	29	
Chapter Two		
3. Materials and Methods	30	
Study Design .3.1	30	
Study area .3.2	30	
Study population .3.3	30	
3.4. Data collection	30	
Materials .3.5	30	
Samples collection .3.6	31	
Sample processing .3.7	31	
Staining procedure .3.8	31	
Result interpretation .3.9	32	
Ethical considerations .3.10	32	
Chapter Three		
Results .4	33	
Chapter Four		
Discussion .5	57	
Chapter Five		
Conclusion	59	
Recommendations	60	
References	61	
Appendices	65	

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
.4.1	Distribution of study population by genders	35
.4.2	Description of study population by age group	36
.4.3	Distribution of study population by diagnosis	37
.4.4	Inflammatory cells in study group compared to control group.	44
.4.5	Correlation between inflammatory cells and the dose of radiotherapy among study group	45
.4.6	Heamatouria in study group compared to control group	46
.4.7	Correlation between haematouria and the dose of radiotherapy among study group	47
.4.8	Presence of cellular atypia(large cells with large nuclei)in study group compared to controsl group	48

.4.9	.4.9 Correlation between presence of cellular atypia (large cells with	
	large nuclei)and received dose of radiotherapy among study	
	group	
.4.10	Presence of cellular atypia (multinucleated cells) in study group	50
	compared to control group	
.4.11	Correlation between presence of cellular atypia (multinucleated	51
	cells) and radiotherapy dose among study group	

List of Figure

Figure	Title	Page
4.1.	Distribution of study population by gender.	38
4.2.	Description of study population by age group.	39
4.3.	Distribution of study population by dose of radiotherapy.	40
4.4.	Distribution of study population by presence inflammatory cells.	41
4.5.	Percentage of different type of inflammatory cells among study group.	42
4.6.	The frequency of Haematouria among study population.	43

:List of Graphs

Graph No	Title	Page
		No
Micrograph (1)	Inflammatory cells with normal squamous epithelial cells.	52
Micrograph (2)	Normal superficial squamous epithelial cells.	53
Micrograph (3)	Large multinucleated urithelial cell.	54
Micrograph (4)	Large binucleated superficial squamous epithelial cell.	55
Micrograph (5)	Keratinized and nonkeratinized superficial squamous epithelial cells.	56