

ABSTARCT

The mounting pressure on available water supplies is resulting in a need to increase the productivity of water for irrigation. With increasing demands for water for domestic use, industry and the environment, supplies of water for irrigation can be expected to fall, hence the need for "more from less" in irrigated agriculture.

This study addresses the issue of water conservation and increased water use efficiency through measures of canal control and operation. In the design of new irrigation schemes the selection of the appropriate method of canal design and control for a given operating environment is a fundamental decision to be taken at the planning stage.

Many efforts have been conducted for improving the operation and management of open channel networks. Implementing simulation models and software is an effective step in achieving better operation of control structures in open channel networks. The purpose of this study was to develop a tool and procedures for assisting designers and open channel network managers in development of design procedure for canal system and in operating flow control structures, with the objectives of conservation of water, human and capital resources.

In this study the SIC software (a mathematical model) is employed to simulate the hydraulic behaviour of the main irrigation canal in Upper Atbara Project, under steady and unsteady flow conditions. In particular, the model is used to simulate the canal actual hydraulic and operational conditions, to test design modifications (hydraulics structures, new calibration, etc.), and to test new management rules aimed at achieving water savings. (SHARK) hydraulic model is also employed to investigate and test the most suitable methods for sediment control including sluiced settling basin, and mechanical excavation and design the relevant option.

The main purposes of application of these models are: (1) to provide a research tool to gain in-depth knowledge of the hydraulic behaviour of the main canal and distributaries, within an irrigation system; (2) to identify, through the model, appropriate operational practices at regulating structures with a view to improving the present canal operations; (3) to evaluate the influence of possible modifications to some design parameters with a view to improve and maintaining the capacity of canal to satisfy the discharge and water targets; (4) To develop operating scenarios as an intervention for solutions of operating problems of night operation, canal automation (using SCADA) and sediment control (sluiced settling basin).

The study of hydraulic behaviour of the main canal under steady state conditions revealed that: no capacity problem is expected, changes in actual roughness after the construction cannot endanger the canal if the design assumptions are respected, and scenarios of 90% and 70% night storage indicate that a buffer volume of 1.8 Mm³ at the end of the Main canal, corresponding to 1m increase of the canal embankment of the Main canal when night storage is adopted.

The hydraulic behaviour of operation of the main canal under unsteady state indicates that: the acceptable envelope of velocity is bounded by the range of 0.62 to 0.9 m/sec, and it is advised to run the canal with inflow of 55 to 150 m³/sec. It is observed the perturbation amplitude decreases with distance.

Simulation of operation of the system at emergency situations (the sudden closure of an off take) shows that the volume of water lost via the spill is function of the operator's reaction time and the travel time for the moving water; thus, it is advised to erect an automatic operation (SCADA) of the Main Canal.

A procedure is presented and applied to aid the selection, design and economically evaluating desilting basin structures to reduce sedimentation in the main irrigation canal and to replace the costly mechanical excavation currently in use.

It is hoped that the developed guidelines, and procedures will prove useful for designers of new or rehabilitated schemes, and for scheme managers. For designers the Guidelines provide a comprehensive guide to the canal control methods currently in use together with guidance on measures to ensure optimum operability of the irrigation network.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I am thankful to my family whose support and affection encouraged me to complete this study. I am especially thankful to the cooperation, continuous support and endless patience of my wife and children during this study period.

I would like to thank my promoter Professor Dr. Hassan Ibrahim Mohammed for his kind supervision, academic advice, and continuous support during my PhD study period.

I am special thankful to Mr. Mutaz Musa Abdalla Salim, Director General of Project - DIU, the man who enlightening my way with his guidance and advices, which encouraged me to go for PhD study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTARCT.....	I
.....	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	III
.....	
.....	
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
.....	
<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>	VIII
.....	
.....	
<u>LIST OF FIGURES</u>	IX
.....	
.....	
<u>GENERAL LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</u>	XII
.....	
<u>CHAPTER ONE</u>	1
.....	
.....	
<u>1 INTRODUCTION</u>	1
.....	
.....	
<u>1.1 BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION</u>	1
.....	
<u>1.2 PROBLEM DEFINITION</u>	6
.....	
<u>1.3 OBJECTIVES AND APPROACH OF THE STUDY</u>	10
.....	
<u>CHAPTER TWO</u>	13
.....	
.....	
<u>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</u>	13
.....	
<u>2.1 IRRIGATION CANALS DESIGN AND OPERATION PRACTICE IN SUDAN.....</u>	13
<u>2.1.1 BACKGROUND</u>	13
.....	
.....	

<u>2.1.2 CONVEYANCE & DISTRIBUTIONS SYSTEM</u>	14
.....	
<u>2.1.3 MINOR CANALS</u>	15
.....	
.....	
<u>2.1.4 CANAL REGULATORS</u>	16
.....	
<u>2.1.5 FIELD IRRIGATION SYSTEM</u>	18
.....	
<u>2.1.6 OPERATION ACTIVITIES</u>	19
.....	
<u>2.1.7 FIELD EFFICIENCY</u>	21
.....	
.....	
<u>2.1.8 SILTATION OF CANALS AND DRAINS</u>	22
.....	
<u>2.1.9 WEED CLEARANCE</u>	23
.....	
.....	
<u>2.1.10 CONSIDERATIONS</u>	23
.....	
.....	
<u>2.2 CONCEPTS OF CANAL DESIGN</u>	25
.....	
<u>2.2.1 SHAPE OF CROSS SECTION</u>	26
.....	
<u>2.2.2 SIDE SLOPES</u>	27
.....	
.....	
<u>2.2.3 LONGITUDINAL SLOPE</u>	27
.....	
<u>2.2.4 PERMISSIBLE VELOCITIES: MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM.....</u>	28
<u>2.2.5 RESISTANCE TO THE FLOW.....</u>	29
<u>2.2.6</u>	30
<u>FREEBOARD.....</u>	
.....	

<u>2.2.7 MINIMUM RADII OF CURVATURE.....</u>	32
<u>2.2.8 HYDRAULICALLY EFFICIENT CHANNEL.....</u>	33
<u>2.3 DESIGN OF CANALS.....</u>	34
<u>2.3.1 BACKGROUND.....</u>	34
<u>2.3.2 DESIGN OF STABLE UNLINED CHANNELS.....</u>	35
<u>2.3.3 DESIGN METHODS.....</u>	36
<u>2.3.4 REGIME THEORY.....</u>	37
<u>2.3.5 TRACTIVE FORCE THEORY.....</u>	40
<u>2.3.6 RATIONAL THEORY.....</u>	45
<u>2.3.7 ASSESSMENT OF PARAMETERS FOR CANAL DESIGN.....</u>	49
<u>2.4 CANALS OPERATION AND REGULATION SYSTEM.....</u>	61
<u>2.4.1 CANAL SENSITIVITY AND RESPONSE TIME.....</u>	61
<u>2.4.2 CANAL RESPONSIVENESS AND LAG TIMES.....</u>	62
<u>2.4.3 MODES OF CONTROL.....</u>	64
<u>2.4.4 INTERMEDIATE STORAGE.....</u>	69
<u>2.4.5 MANUAL OPERATION & REMOTE.....</u>	72

<u>CONTROL.....</u>	
<u>2.4.6 PASSIVE</u>	73
<u>AUTOMATION.....</u>	
.....	
<u>2.4.7 ACTIVE</u>	76
<u>AUTOMATION.....</u>	
.....	
<u>2.5 MODELING HYDRAULIC BEHAVIOR OF</u>	81
<u>CANALS.....</u>	
<u>2.5.1 HYDRAULIC MODELING</u>	81
<u>SOFTWARE.....</u>	
<u>2.6 SEDIMENT CONTRL TECHNIQUES (DESILTING BASIN, EJECTOR,</u>	86
<u>DEREDGER).....</u>	
<u>2.6.1 SEDIMENTATION and BASICS of SEDIMENT</u>	86
<u>TRANSPORT.....</u>	
<u>2.6.2 SEDIMENT CONTROL OPTIONS..</u>	97
.....	
<u>CHAPTER</u>	10
<u>THREE.....</u>	3
.....	
<u>3 MATERIALS AND</u>	10
<u>METHODS.....</u>	3
.....	
<u>3.1 PROJECT</u>	10
<u>LOCATION.....</u>	3
.....	
<u>3.2 DATA COLLECTION AND</u>	10
<u>ANALYSIS.....</u>	4
<u>3.2.1 TOPOGRAPHY AND</u>	10
<u>MAPS.....</u>	4
<u>3.2.2 CLIMATE</u>	10
<u>DATA.....</u>	5
.....	
<u>3.2.3 CLIMATIC</u>	10
<u>ZONES.....</u>	7
.....	
<u>3.2.4 PROPOSED FARMING</u>	10
<u>SYSTEMS.....</u>	8
<u>3.2.5 CROP WATER</u>	10

<u>REQUIREMENT.....</u>	9
.....	
<u>3.2.6 SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES.....</u>	110
.....	
<u>3.2.7 PEAK DISCHARGES IN THE MAIN CANAL.....</u>	112
.....	
<u>3.2.8 DESIGN CRITERIA.....</u>	113
.....	
<u>3.2.9 SEDIMENT DATA.....</u>	114
.....	
<u>3.3 METHODS FOR CANAL DESIGN, CALIBRATION AND IMPROVEMENT.....</u>	117
.....	
<u>3.3.1 GENERAL OVERVIEW OF THE DESIGN.....</u>	117
.....	
<u>3.3.2 COMPUTER SPREADSHEETS FOR CANAL DESIGN.....</u>	118
.....	
<u>3.3.3 DESIGN, CALIBRATION AND CANAL OPERATION USING (SIC) MODEL.....</u>	126
.....	
<u>3.3.4 AMIL GATES.....</u>	130
.....	
<u>3.3.5 REGULATION OF CANALS.....</u>	146
.....	
<u>3.3.6 SEDIMENT MODELLING AND DESILTING BASIN DESIGN USING SHARC SOFTWARE.....</u>	150
.....	
<u>CHAPTER FOUR.....</u>	158
.....	
<u>4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</u>	158
.....	
<u>4.1 UAIP MAIN CANAL DESIGN.....</u>	158
.....	
...	

<u>4.2 CALIBRATION OF THE HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR MAIN CANAL DESIGN.....</u>	15 9
<u>4.2.1 STEADY STATE WATER LEVELS AT DIFFERENT FLOW RATES.....</u>	16 0
<u>4.2.2 SENSITIVITY TO THE ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT.....</u>	16 1
<u>4.2.3 CANAL CAPACITY IN CASE OF A UNIFORM DEPOSITION.....</u>	16 2
<u>4.2.4 CANAL OPERATION WITH NIGHT STORAGE.....</u>	16 4
<u>4.3 HYDRAULIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE MAIN CANAL UNDER UNSTEADY STATE.....</u>	16 7
<u>4.3.1 WATER LEVELS AND VELOCITIES AT DIFFERENT FLOW RATES.....</u>	16 8
<u>4.4 OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM AT EMERGENCY SITUATIONS.....</u>	17 2
<u>4.4.1 THE SUDDEN CLOSURE OF OFFTAKE NO.1.....</u>	17 4
<u>4.5 INTERVENTION AND SOLUTION.....</u>	17 7
<u>4.5.1 AUTOMATIC REGULATION SYSTEM FOR THE MAIN CANALS.....</u>	17 7
<u>4.5.2 SEDIMENT CONTROL.....</u>	18 0
<u>.....</u>	
<u>CHAPTER FIVE.....</u>	19 7
<u>.....</u>	
<u>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</u>	19 7
<u>.....</u>	
<u>CONCLUSIONS.....</u>	19 7
<u>.....</u>	
<u>1- CANAL DESIGN.....</u>	19 7
<u>.....</u>	
<u>2- SIMULATION OF HYDRAULIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE MAIN CANAL.....</u>	19 7
<u>2.1. OPERATION UNDER STEADY</u>	19

<u>STATE.....</u>	7
<u>2.2. OPERATION UNDER UNSTEADY STATE.....</u>	19
<u>3- CONCLUSIONS ON REGULATION.....</u>	20
<u>4- INTERVENTION AND SOLUTIONS.....</u>	20
<u>4.1. AUTOMATIC REGULATION SYSTEM FOR THE MAIN CANALS.....</u>	20
<u>4.2. SEDIMENT CONTROL.....</u>	20
<u>.....</u>	
<u>RECOMMENDATIONS.....</u>	20
<u>.....</u>	2
<u>1- FOR POLICY MAKING.....</u>	20
<u>.....</u>	2
<u>2- FOR FUTURE STUDIES.....</u>	20
<u>.....</u>	2
<u>REFERENCES.....</u>	20
<u>.....</u>	5

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table 2. 1: Suitable side slopes for channels built.....</u>	27
<u>Table 2. 2: Slope of the channels in Western Ghats.....</u>	28
<u>Table 2. 3: Maximum permissible velocities and n values for different materials.....</u>	29
<u>Table 2. 4: Manning roughness for the design of several types of linings.....</u>	29
<u>Table 2. 5: Manning Roughness Coefficients.....</u>	30
<u>Table 2. 6: The free board recommended by USBR for channels.....</u>	32
<u>Table 2. 7: Free board as per Indian Standards.....</u>	32
<u>Table 2. 8: Radius of curves for lined canals.....</u>	33
<u>Table 2. 9: Geometric elements of best hydraulically efficient section.....</u>	34
<u>Table 3 1: Climatic Data - Kassala Station.....</u>	106
<u>Table 3 2: Climatic Data - El Showak Station.....</u>	106
<u>Table 3.3: MONTHLY CROP WATER REQUIREMENTS AT FARM GATE (M³/FEDDAN).....</u>	109
<u>Table 3.4 : maximum monthly water requirement for each alternative.....</u>	112
<u>Table 3.5 : In-situ settling velocity measurement (mm/s).....</u>	116
<u>Table 3.6 : Design Spreadsheet.....</u>	123
<u>Table 3.7: Regulators parameters.....</u>	130
<u>Table 3.8: Imposed discharges for maximum discharge.....</u>	137
<u>Table 4.1 : UAIP Main Canal Geometry and Water Depth.....</u>	158

Calculation.....	
Table 4.2 : Overflowing for NS 50%	166
Table 4.3 : Time responses at Major canal offtakes.....	172
Table 4.4 : Design Spreadsheet for Desilter Reach.....	186
Table 4.5 : Bill of Quatities for UAIP Main Canal Desilter Reach.....	191

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1:Maximum shear stress in a canal (Lane, 1953) (adapted from Chow (1983)).....	43
Figure 2. 2: Shields diagram (Simons, et al., 1992).	44
Figure 2. 3: Typical cropping calendar for an irrigation scheme.....	52
Figure 2. 4: Process of the design discharge calculation.	54
Figure 2. 5: Suspended sediment transport pattern under equilibrium condition.....	57
Figure 2. 6: Sediment transport pattern under backwater and drawdown conditions.....	58
Figure 2. 7: Process to derive the equivalent roughness.	61
Figure 2. 8: Gezira Irrigation Scheme.....	24
Figure 2. 9: Upstream control (Ankum 1993)	66
Figure 2. 10: Downstream control (Ankum 1993).	67

<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 2. 11: Camp relation.....</u>	90
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 2. 12: Typical settling basin layout.....</u>	101
<u>Figure 3.1: UAIP Project Location.....</u>	103
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 3.2: Mean Annual Rainfall - by Climatic Zones.....</u>	107
<u>Figure 3 3: Mean Annual ET0 - by Climatic Zones.....</u>	107
<u>Figure 3.4: Total Monthly CWR.....</u>	110
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 4.5: Canal Shape.....</u>	113
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 3.6: Burdana Water and Sediment Annual Inflow.....</u>	114
<u>Figure 3.7 : Sediment Entering the System during the Flood Season.....</u>	115
<u>Figure 3.8 : Composition of suspended Nile Sediments.....</u>	116
<u>Figure 3.9 : Grain Size Distribution of Suspended Sediment of the Blue Nile.....</u>	116
<u>Figure 3.10 : Flow Chart for Design Spreadsheet.....</u>	122
<u>Figure 3.11: Schematic Typical Cross Section.....</u>	123
<u>Figure 3. 13 : principal steps of the SIC Model.....</u>	128
<u>Figure 3.14 : Diagram of the Main & Main canals network.....</u>	129
<u>Figure 3.15 : Amil Typical Gate.....</u>	130
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 3.16 : Rating Curves of AMIL Gates (Alsthom).....</u>	132

<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 3.17 : UAIP Main Canal Regulator No.1 Rating Curve.....</u>	133
<u>Figure 3.18 : UAIP Main Canal Regulator No.2 Rating Curve.....</u>	135
<u>Figure 3.19 : UAIP Main Canal Regulator No.3 Rating Curve.....</u>	136
<u>Figure 3. 20 : PID Controller.....</u>	147
<u>.....</u>	
<u>Figure 3. 21 : The principal steps in the design of a settling basin.....</u>	152
<u>Figure 3.22 : Settling Basin Inlet.....</u>	157
<u>...</u>	
<u>Figure 4.1 : UAIP Main Canal Longitudinal Profile.....</u>	159
<u>Figure 4.2 : Basic Canal Design Longitudinal Section.....</u>	160
<u>Figure 4.3 : Steady state water levels at different flow rates.....</u>	161
<u>Figure 4.4 : Variation of Main Canal Water Levels With different roughness coefficients.....</u>	162
<u>Figure 4.5 : Unsteady state canal simulation at design discharge and with raising canal bed level by10 % and 20 %.....</u>	163
<u>Figure 4.6 : Water level extrema for a 90% night storage.....</u>	165
<u>Figure 4.7 : Water level extrema for a 70% night storage.....</u>	166
<u>Figure 4.8 : Water level extrema for a 50% night storage.....</u>	167
<u>Figure 4.9 : Canal Velocities at Different Discharges.....</u>	169
<u>Figure 4.10 : IMPOSED DISCHARGE AT BURDANA DAM OFF TAKE.....</u>	170
<u>Figure 4.11 : Discharge evolution in the canal system.....</u>	170
<u>Figure 4.12 : TIME RESPONSE ACCORDING TO DISTANCE ALONG THE MAIN AND MAIN CANALS.....</u>	171

<u>Figure 4.13 : lateral safety spillway.....</u>	173
^	
<u>Figure 4.14 : Main canal geometry and security weirs.....</u>	174
<u>Figure 4.15 : Manual Operation - Side Spillway continuous drainage of 5 m3/s.....</u>	175
<u>Figure 4.16 : Manual Operation - Discharge in Main Canal.....</u>	176
<u>Figure 4.17 : Manual Operation - Levels in the Main Canal.....</u>	176
<u>Figure 4.18 : Manual Operation - Levels US & DS Regulator No.1.....</u>	177
<u>Figure 4.19 : Automatic regulation system for the Main canals.....</u>	178
<u>Figure 4.20 : Automatic Operation - Dischagre in the Main Canal.....</u>	179
<u>Figure 4.21 : Automatic Operation - Levels US & DS Regulator No.1.....</u>	179
<u>Figure 4.22 : Prediction of Sediment Accumulation in the Desilter.....</u>	184
<u>Figure 4.23 : New Designed Bed Level to Maintain Flow Depth in the Desilter.....</u>	185
<u>Figure 4.24 : Sediment Accumulation in the Desilter with New Design.....</u>	185
<u>Figure 4.25 : Sediment Slucing Prediction.....</u>	187
<u>Figure 4.26 : Sediment Quantities vs Desilter Width Required.....</u>	194
<u>Figure 4.27 : Sediment Quantities removed from the Field vs Excav. Required.....</u>	194
<u>Figure 4.28 : Difference of Annual Costs of removing Silt from the Field and from Desilter.....</u>	195
<u>Figure 4.29 : Definition sketch of the settling basin terminology.....</u>	196

GENERAL LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A

AOSM	Adjustable Orifice Semi-Module
APM	Adjustable Proportional Module
ATV	Auto Tuning Variation

B

BCM	Billion Cubic Metres = 1 km ³
BDL	Bed Level
BDW	Bed Width
BKL	Bank Level
BKW	Bank Width

C

CDS	Cross Drainage Structure
CH	Change
CI	Cropping Intensity
CLIMWAT	FAO computer program for climatic data
CP	Cropping pattern
CRE	Cross Regulator
CROPWAT	FAO computer program for water requirements
CWR	Crop water requirements

D

D/S	downstream
DCUA	Dams Complex of Upper Atbara
DIU	Dams Implementation Unit
DORC	Design of Regime Canal
DOSSBAS	Design of Sluiced Settling Basin
DP	Drop
DWLC	Downstream Water Level Control

E

E	Overall irrigation efficiency
Ea	Field application efficiency
Eb	Field canal efficiency
Ec	Conveyance efficiency
Ed	Distribution efficiency

el.	elevation
	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the
FAO	United Nations
FBD	Freeboard
FOP	Field Outlet Pipe
FS	Feasibility Study
FSL	Full Supply Level

G

GIS	Geographic Information System
GL	Ground Level
GoS	Government of Sudan
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRS	Gezira Research Station

H

ha	hectare
HR	Head Regulator
HRS	Hydraulic Research Station

I

IRR	Internal Rate of Return
-----	-------------------------

K

k_c	Crop coefficient
kg	kilogramme
km	kilometre

M

m	metre
m a.s.l.	metres above sea level (in Alexandria)
MC	Main Canal
MIMO	Means Multiple Input, Multiple Output
MIP	Merowe Irrigation Project
MISO	Means Multiple Input, Single Output
mm	millimetre
Mm^3	million cubic metres
MOI	Ministry of Irrigation
MUSD	Million United State Dollar

N

NPV Net Present Value

O

O&M Operation and Maintenance
OUTS Outside Slope

P

PD Proposed Depth
PID Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PS Pumping Station

S

SCADA Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SDG Sudanese Pound
SISO Single Input, Single Output
SRTM Shuttle Radar Topographic Mapping
SS Side Slope
SWOT Strength, Weakness, Opportunity, Threat

T

t tonne
TD Trial Depth
ToR Terms of Reference

U

u/s upstream
UNDP United Nations Development Programme
UNEP United Nations Environment Programme
UNSO United Nations Sahel Organisation
USBR United States Bureau of Reclamation
UWLC Upstream Water Level Control

W

WL	Water Level
WUA	Water User Association
WUC	Water User Cooperative
WUF	Water User Federation
WUG	Water User Group
WUO	Water User Organisation