

## *DEDICATION*

To my father's soul

To my brothers 'soul

To my kind mother

To my wife

To my supervisor for his  
appreciable, great help, advice,  
and endurance

To my friends and colleagues

To everyone who help me

To all of them I dedicate this work.

# **Acknowledgements**

***Praise is to the almighty Allah who gifted me the knowledge and the ability to write this thesis.***

*I would like to express my sincere thanks to ustaz/ Mahmud Mohammed Hamad - for his continuous and valuable guidance, supervision, faithful encouragement, and patience and appreciable efforts during preparation of this thesis.*

*My deepest thanks and sincere appreciated are extended to the members of the Department of Radiology in Omdurman Pediatrics Hospital for their endurance and assistance.*

*Also I would like to thank the staff of the library of College of Medical Radiologic Sciences for their help, patience and co-operation.*

*Finally, all and greatest thanks are to everyone who has participated in completion and improvement of this study.*

### ***Abbreviations***

<i>Abbreviations</i>	Refer to
BMI	Body mass index
C	Centigrade
CAT	Computed axial tomography
CT	Computerized Tomography
e.g.	Example
PMMA	Poly methylemetha -acoylate
F	Fahrenheit
KV	kilo voltage
mAs	Milli ampere seconds
U.S	United state
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
Msv	Milli severt
FDA	Food and drug administration
mRAD	Milli rad
WHO	World Health Organization
QA	Quality assurance
PA	Posteroanterior

## ***Abstract***

The study is conducted at Omdurman Pediatrics Emergency Hospital, in the period from September 2009 to March 2010, for a number of 380 patients –chest x-ray was done to them in the x-ray department.

Patients' ages were ranged between 1 day to 16 years, 55% of them were between 6 months to 5 years and 45% were less than 6 months and above 5 years up to 16 years.

Exposure factors (Kvp, mAs) were constant for every certain age group without consideration of weight. 98.5% of the images were good in position where 1.5% was bad. Images processing was good for 97.7% of the images and bad for 2.6% of them. From the processed images: 80.77% of them over expose and/ or over developer and the remains 19.23% were under expose and/ or under developer.

The averages of the questionnaires results: 84% of the images were good and 16% of them were bad. The bad images were 68% were dark, 63% were blurring, 16% were technical faults, 5% were soft and 5% were artifact. 87% of the images were diagnosable and 13% not acceptable. Additional views were needed for 63.5% of the patients and not needed for 36.5%.

84% of the questionnaires members said that radiologist was necessary and 16% agree that radiologist was not important. Good technique as well as trained radiologist was necessary in Omdurman Pediatrics X-ray department to obtain diagnosable chest x-ray

## ملخص الأطروحة

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمستشفى حوادث الأطفال أمدرمان في الفترة ما بين سبتمبر 2009 وحتى مارس 2010 م لعدد 380 مريض- عملت لهم أشعة الصدر بقسم الأشعة أعمار المرضى تتراوح بين 1 يوم الي 16 سنة, 55% منهم اعمارهم بين 6 اشهر الى خمس سنوات , 45% اعمارهم أقل من ستة اشهر . وأكثر من خمسة سنوات الى 16 سنة . عوامل التعريض (الكيلوفولت والملي أمبير للزمن) ثابتة لكل مجموعة محددة من الأعمار من غير اعتبار للوزن 98.5% من الصور جيدة في وضع المريض و 1.5% غير جيدة. تحميض الصور جيد في 97.7% من الصور وغير جيد في 2.6% منها. من الصور غير جيدة التحميض: 80.77% منها عوامل التعريض زائدة و/ أو تركت لزمن أطول في المظهر والمتبقي 19.23% عوامل التعريض قليلة و/ أو تركت لزمن أقصر في المظهر . متوسطات نتائج الاستبيان: 84% من الصور جيدة و 16% منها غير جيدة. بالنسبة للصور غير الجيدة: 68% منها قاتمة, 63% مهزوزة, 16% أخطاء تقنية, 5% باهتة و 5% شوائب. 87% من الصور يمكن تشخيصها و 13% غير مقبولة. الأوضاع الإضافية يحتاج لها ل 63.5% من الصور ولا يحتاج لها ل 36.5%. 84% من الأعضاء الذين أجرى لهم استبيان قالوا بضرورة وجود أخصائي الأشعة والمتبقي 16% يرون عدم أهمية وجوده .  
التكنيك الجيد وأخصائي الأشعة المتمرس ضروري في قسم الأشعة بمستشفى الأطفال أمدرمان للحصول على صور أشعة صدر يمكن تشخيصها .

### ***List figures***

Fig.2.1	Dissection of thorax. anterior	5
Fig.2.2	Dissection of lung and mediastinum posterior view	6
Fig.2.3	Bronchogram. Right upper lobe	9
Fig.2.4	Cast of trachea and bronchi. anterior view	10
Fig.2.5	An x-ray machine	18
Fig.2.6	Normal chest radiograph with optimum quality	21
Fig.2.7	Diagram of pediatric positions and technique	23
Fig.2.8	Pediatrics immobilization	24
Fig 4.1	The distribution of ages	39
Fig.4.2	Over and under developer percentage	41

### ***List of tables***

Table 3.1	The data collection table	35
Table3.2	The data of questionnaire	36
Table 4.1	Age and chest factors	38
Table 4.2	Projections, positions and immobilization according to the patients ages	39
Table 4.3	Evaluation of the technique	40
Table 4.4	Processing evaluation	40
Table 4.5	The result of the questionnaire	42

## CONTENTS

Title	Page
Dedication	I
Acknowledgement	II
Abbreviation	III
English abstract	IV
Arabic abstract	V
List of figures	VI
List of tables	VII
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
Introduction	1
Problem of the study	2
Objective of the study	2
Thesis out line	3
<b>CHAPTER TWO</b>	
Chest anatomy	4
Chest physiology	8
Common diseases and conditions	10
Chest x-ray	17
Pediatric chest x-ray	21
Ideal pediatric x-ray department	24
Quality assurance	27
Previous study	29



<b>CHAPTER THREE</b>	
Methodology	34
<b>CHAPTER FOUR</b>	
Results	38
<b>CHAPTER FIVE</b>	
Discussion	43
Conclusion	47
Recommendation	48
Reference	49
<b>APPENDICES</b>	51-62