# **DEDICATION**

to	my	belove	ed parent	r who	sacrifice	ed a lot	to be	proud	t of me
and to	see	me a	successfu	ıl son	Mag	y Allah	all n	righty	bless
them									

### **AKNOWLEDGEMENT**

... I would thank Allah for giving me strong well throughout my life to look always for the best.

I would like to thank (Al-Engas Al-Shahida Nada Medical Center) administration for giving me the facilities for data collection of this research.

I would also thank my supervisor Dr. El-Safi Ahmed Abdalla for his supervision on the research.

A great thank is for Dr. Mohamed Alfadil for his great assistance in analyzing the data of this research...

#### **ABSTRACT**

Estimation of the correct gestational age for fetuses is quite important in distinguishing between the preterm and term infants in order to do the necessary medical intervention after birth mainly for the preterm infants, in which many parameters have been used to estimate the gestational age including biparital diameter, femur length, head circumference, and abdominal circumference.

The aim of the study was to predict fetal gestational age in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy for a singleton gestation by using the fetal transverse cerebellar diameter, in which its diameter in millimeters was assumed to equal the fetal gestational age in weeks, and compare the transverse cerebellar diameter with biparital diameter and the femur length measurements used to estimate the gestational age for each fetus. A total of 50 gravid women at 15-38 weeks of gestation who attended for serial follow up ultrasound scan at the ultrasound department in (Al-enqas Al-shahida Nada medical center) were recruited in the study. Each pregnant woman had been scanned once, the biparietal diameter, Femur length, and transverse cerebellar diameter where obtained for each fetus and the data were analyzed by excel and SPSS in reference to the expected gestational age calculated from the last menstrual period for each woman.

The expected gestational ages of the singleton fetuses were between 15-38 weeks (mean 26.74), in which 10 cases were between 15-20 week GA, 21 cases between 21-30 week GA, and 19 case between 31-38 weeks GA, which correspond to 20%, 42%, and 38% respectively.

The study showed strong correlation between the transverse cerebellar diameter in millimeters and the expected gestational age in weeks in which the correlation R= 0.921. The paired t-test for significance showed a significant difference between the transverse cerebellar diameter in millimeters and the corresponding expected gestational age in weeks at P = 0.05, but however it showed no difference between 15-22 weeks of gestation which was statically

significant (P < 0.02) and R= 0.95 thus it can be used as a predictor for estimating the gestational age during this period.

The study also showed a strong correlation between the estimated gestational age by the biparietal diameter and the expected gestational age, and between the femur length and the expected gestational age in which the correlation was R=0.973 and R=0.975 respectively, paired t-test for significance showed insignificant difference between the estimated gestational age by biparietal diameter and Femur length and the corresponding expected gestational at P=0.05, thus, biparietal diameter and femur length can be used with confidence in estimating gestational age during 15-38 weeks of pregnancy.

#### ملخص البحث

ان تقدير طول فترة الحمل لدى النساء لذو اهميه كبيره للتفريق بين الاطفال الخُدج والاطفال كاملي النمو وذلك بغرض عمل الترتيبات الطبيه اللازمه بعد الولاده خاصة للأطفال الخُدج, حيث ان هنالك عدة طرق لقياس عمر الجنين اثناء الحمل واكثر ها شيوعاً قياس عرض رأس الجنين في مستوى العظم الجداري, وقياس طول عظم فخذ الجنين, وقياس محيط رأس الجنين, وكذلك قياس محيط بطن الجنين.

وهدف هذه الدراسة هي محاولة أمكانية التنبؤ بعمر الجنين في الثلوث الثاني والثالث من الحمل في حالة الحمل بجنين واحد وذلك عن طريق قياس عرض مخيخ الجنين بفرضية أن عرض المخيخ بالمليمتر يساوي عمر الجنين بالأسابيع. اضافة الى مقارنة النتائج المتحصلة من قياس عرض المخيخ بما يقابلها من عمر الجنين بالأسابيع والمتحصله عن طريق قياس عرض رأس الجنين في مستوى العظم الجداري و طول عظم فخذ الجنين. تم الاستعانه بعدد 50 أمر أة حامل بجنين واحد أتت اساسا لهمل فحص دوري بالموجات فوق الصوتية للمتابعه في (مركز الأنقاذ الشهيدة ندى الطبي) لغرض أجراء هذه الدراسه ما بين 15-38 أسبوع. تم عمل فحص بالموجات مره واحده فقط لكل من النساء المتطوعات بحيث تم قياس عمر الجنين عن طريق قياس عرض رأس الجنين عند منطقة العظم الجداري وقياس طول عظم فخذ الجنين أضافة ألى انه تم قياس عرض مخيخ الجنين بالمليمتر, وتم عمل هذه القياسات لكل جنين على حده.

اعمار الاجنه التي شملتها الدراسه كانت بين 15-38 اسبوع (متوسط 26.74)و حيث ان 10 حالات كانت بين 15-38 اسبوع, في حين كانت 19 حاله بين 31-38 اسبوع, و 20 حاله بين 31-38 اسبوع, و هو مايمثل نسب 20%, 42%, و 38% على التوالي.

وأظهرت الدراسة علاقة أرتباط قوية بين عرض مخيخ الجنين بالمليمتر وبين عدد اسابيع الحمل المقابله له والمحسوبه من تأريخ اخر دورة شهرية للحامل, حيث أن الأرتباط R=0.921, وأظهر أختبار الأختلاف t-test وجود أختلاف بين عرض مخيخ الجنين بالمليمتر وبين عمر الجنين الأساسي المحسوب بحيث أنهما لا يتوافقان وقد اعتبرت هذه النتيجه هامه احصائيا عند P=0.05, أللا أن هذا الأختلاف غير موجود في الفترة P=0.05 أسبوع حيث اعتبرت هذه النتيجه هامه احصائيا أللا أن هذا الأختلاف غير موجود في الفترة P=0.05 أسبوع حيث اعتبرت هذه النتيجه هامه احصائيا بالماليمتر في هذه الفتره عن طريق قياس عرض مخيخ الجنين بحيث أن كل (1) ملم يساوي (1) اسبوع.

وأظهرت الدراسه ايضاً علاقة أرتباط قوية بين عمر الجنين الناتج من قياس عرض رأس الجنين في مستوى منطقة العظم الجداري لرأس الجنين وبين عمر الجنين الأساسي والمحسوب من تأريخ اخر دورة شهرية للحامل, وكذلك بين عمر الجنين بقياس طول عظم الفخذ وبين عمر الجنين الاساسي المحسوب من تأريخ اخر دوره شهريه حيث أن الارتباط R=0.973, و R=0.975 على التوالي

وأظهر أختبار الأختلاف t-test عدم وجود أختلاف ملحوظ بين عمر الجنين الذي تم قياسه من مستوى منطقة العظم الجداري لرأس الجنين وكذلك عمر الجنين الذي تم قياسه من طول عظم الفخذ و بين عمر الجنين الأساسي المحسوب P=0.05. وعليه وأعتمادا عى هذه النتائج فأنه يمكن أستخدام طريقة قياس عمر الجنين عن طريق قياس عرض رأس الجنين وقياس طول عظم الفخذ في منطقة العظم الجداري خلال الأسابيع 15-38 من الحمل.

## List of contents

Content	Page
Dedication	Ι
Acknowledgement	II
Abstract (English)	III
Abstract (Arabic)	V
List of contents	VII
List of figures	IX
List of tables	XII
List of abbreviations	XIII
Chapter one (general introduction)	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Problem of the study	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Overview of the study	4
Chapter two (literature review)	5
2.1 Medical review	6
2.1.1Development of the cerebellum	6
2.1.2 Anatomy of a full term fetal brain	10
2.1.3 Physiology of the cerebellum (Cerebellum and Its Motor Functions)	17
2.1.4 Ultrasound of fetal cerebellum and the cerebellar sonographic appearance	32
2.1.5 Clinical dating of gestation age	38
2.1.6 Clinical calculation of the expected gestational age	39
2.1.7 Estimation of Fetal Age in the Second and Third Trimesters	39
2.1.8 Estimation of gestational age by Biparietal Diameter (BPD)	41
2.1.9 Occipitofrontal Diameter	43

2.1.10 Cephalic Index	43	
2.1.11 Estimation of gestational age by Femur Length (FL)		
2.1.12 Diseases which result in incorrect estimation of gestational age by femur length		
2.1.13 Diseases which result in incorrect estimation of gestational age by Biparietal diameter	52	
2.1.14 Sonographic pathology of fetal cerebellum	52	
2.2 Previous studies in estimating gestational age by transverse cerebellar diameter	56	
Chapter three (materials and methods)	58	
3.1 Study area and study population	59	
3.2 The study design and study duration	59	
3.3 Sampling	59	
3.4 Data collection	59	
3.5 Data analysis	61	
3.6 limitations	61	
Chapter four (the results)	62	
4. Results	63	
Chapter five (discussion, conclusion, and recommendations)	75	
5.1 Discussion	76	
5.2 Conclusion	<b>79</b>	
5.3 Recommendations	80	
References	81	
Appendix	83	

# List of figures

Figure	page
Figure 2-1 Shows the divisions of the brain vesicles.	7
Figure 2-2 Three dimension transvaginal ultrasound image shows the brain vesicles at 8 week gestation	7
Figure 2-3 Hind-brain of a human embryo of three months	9
Figure 2-4 Sagittal section of the head and neck.	11
Figure 2-5 Some important localized areas of cerebral function and areas supplied by the cerebral arteries	13
Figure 2-6 Arteries and cranial nerves seen on the inferior surface of the brain	14
Figure 2-7 The midbrain, the hypophysis cerebri, cavernous sinus, and the internal carotid and basilar arteries	15
Figure 2-8 Midsagittal section of the hind brain	16
Figure 2-9 Anatomical lobes of the cerebellum as seen from the lateral side.	17
Figure 2-10 Functional parts of the cerebellum	18
Figure 2-11 Somatosensory projection areas in the cerebellar cortex	20
Figure 2-12 Principal afferent tracts to the cerebellum	21
Figure 2-13 Spinocerebellar tract	22

Figure 2-14 Cerebral and cerebellar control of voluntary movements, involving specially the intermediate zone of the cerebellum.	28
Figure 2-15 Suboccipitobregmatic view of the fetal head	33
Figure 2-16 The suboccipitobregmatic view (illustration)	34
Figure 2-17 Grade I cerebellum. Transcerebellar US view at 21 weeks gestation	35
Figure 2-18 Grade II cerebellum. Transcerebellar US view at 29 weeks gestation	36
Figure 2-19 Grade III cerebellum. Transcerebellar US view at 34 weeks gestation	36
Figure 2-20 Transverse section of the fetal head	37
Figure 2-21 Transverse section of the fetal head demonstrating the landmarks required to measure the BPD using the thalami view	41
Figure 2-22 Caliper Placements for the BPD and HC	42
Figure 2-23 Measuring the Cephalic index	44
Figure 2-24 (A) FL Technique	46
Figure 2-25 Micromelia Representative image shows a shortened and bent femur which was associated with osteogenesis imperfecta Type II	48
Figure 2-26 Thanatophoric Dysplasia	50
Figure 2-27 Osterogenesis Imperfecta	51

Figure 2-28 Achondrogenesis	51
Figure 2-29 Dolicocephaly and brachycephaly	52
Figure 2-30 Dandy -Walker malformation	53
Figure 2-31 Ultrasound image shows partial vermian defect in Dandy walker varian	54
Figure 2-32 Suboccipitobregmatic view of the head demonstrating the small and abnormally shaped cerebellum described as the 'banana' sign.	54
Figure (4-1) Bar graph shows the frequency of cases between 15-20, 21-30, and 31-38 weeks GA.	63
Figure (4-2) Pie graph shows the percentage of cases in 15-20, 21-30, and 31-38 weeks GA.	64
Figure 4-3 Scatter plot for the expected GA and GA by BPD with the linear regression.	67
Figure 4-4 Scatter plot for the expected GA and GA by FL with the linear regression.	68
Figure 4-5 Scatter plot for the expected GA and the corresponding measured TCD with the linear regression.	69
Figure 4-6 Scatter plot for the expected GA and the GA by BPD between 15-22 weeks with the linear regression.	71
Figure 4-7 Scatter plot for the expected GA and the GA by FL between 15-22 weeks with the linear regression.	72
Figure 4-8 Scatter plot for the expected GA and the measured TCD in (mm) between 15-22 weeks with the linear regression.	73

### List of tables

Table	page
Table 4-1 Mean GA age for the BPD, FL, and TCD measured for each week	65
Table 4-2 shows the mean of the total of expected GA, GA by BPD, GA by FL, and TCD. In addition to the standard deviation for each.	66
Table 4-3 shows Mean transverse cerebellar diameter during different gestational age	66
Table 4-4 shows Paired Samples Correlations between expected GA, and each of the dependent variables (BPD, FL, and TCD)	70
Table 4-5 describes the significance of the dependent variables by using 2-tailed (t-test)	70
Table 4-6 describes the correlation and significance of the dependent variables by using 2-tailed (t-test) between 15-22wks GA.	74

## List of abbreviations

AC	Abdominal circumference
BPD	Biparital diameter
EDD	Expected delivery date
FL	Femur length
GA	Gestational age
HC	Head circumference
LMP	Last menstrual period
OFD	Occipito-frontal diameter
O-to-O	Outer to Outer
O-to-I	Outer to Inner
R	Correlation
TCD	Transverse cerebellar diameter
wk	Week