Chapter One General Back Ground

1.1Introduction

In the last two decades, power demand has increased substantially while the expansion of power generation and transmission has been severely limited due to limited resources and environmental restrictions. As a consequence, some transmission lines are heavily loaded and the system stability becomes a power transfer-limiting factor. Flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS) controllers have been mainly used for solving various power system steady state control problems. Flexible AC transmission systems or FACTS are devices which allow the flexible and dynamic control of power systems. Enhancement of system stability using FACTS controllers has been investigated. The FACTS controllers offer a great opportunity to regulate the transmission of alternating current (AC), increasing or diminishing the power flow in specific lines and responding almost instantaneously to the stability problems. The potential of this technology is based on the possibility of controlling the route of the power flow and the ability of connecting networks that are not adequately interconnected, giving the possibility of trading energy between distant agents. Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) is a static equipment used for the AC transmission of electrical energy. It is meant to enhance controllability and increase power transfer capability. It is generally a power electronics based device [1].

The FACTS devices can be divided in three groups, dependent on their switching technology mechanically switched (such as phase shifting, transformers), thyristor switched or fast switched, using IGBTs. While some types of FACTS, such as the phase shifting transformer (PST) and the static VAR compensator (SVC) are already well known and used in power systems, new developments in power electronics and control have extended the application range of FACTS. Furthermore, intermittent renewable energy sources and increasing international

power flows provide new applications for FACTS. The additional flexibility and controllability of FACTS allow to mitigate the problems associated with the unreliable of supply issues of renewable. SVCs and STATCOM devices are well suited to provide ancillary services (such as voltage control) to the grid and fault rid through capabilities which standard wind farms cannot provide Furthermore, FACTS reduce oscillations in the grid, which is especially interesting when dealing with the stochastic behavior of renewable [1].

1.2 STATIC SYNCHRONOUS COMPENSATOR(STATCOM)

STATCOM is a static synchronous generator operated as a shunt-connected static VAR compensator whose capacitive or inductive output current can be controlled independent of the ac system voltage. The STATCOM, like its conventional counterpart, the SVC, controls transmission voltage by the reactive shunt compensation. It can be based on a voltage source and current source converter. Fig 1.1 show a one-line diagram of STATCOM based on voltage source converter [2].

Fig 1.1 circuit of Statcom

A STATCOM can supply the required reactive power under various operating conditions, to control the network voltage actively and thus, improve the steady state stability of the network. The STATCOM can be operated over its full output current range even at very low voltage levels and the maximum Var generation or absorption changes linearly with the utility or ac system voltage. The STATCOM (or SSC) is a shunt-connected reactive-power compensation device that is capable of generating and/ or absorbing reactive power and in which the output can be varied to control the specific parameters of an electric power system. It is in general a solid-state switching converter capable of generating or absorbing independently controllable real and reactive power at its output terminals when it is fed from an energy source or energy-storage device at its input terminals. Specifically, the STATCOM considered is a voltage-source converter that, from a given input of dc voltage, produces a set of 3-phase ac-output voltages, each in phase with and coupled to the corresponding ac system voltage through a relatively small reactance (which is provided by either an interface reactor or the leakage inductance of a coupling transformer) [2].

The dc voltage is provided by an energy-storage capacitor and a STATCOM can improve power-system performance in such areas as the following:

- 1. The dynamic voltage control in transmission and distribution systems
- 2. The power-oscillation damping in power-transmission systems;
- 3. The transient stability
- 4. The voltage flicker control

5. The control of not only reactive power but also (if needed) active power in the connected line, requiring a dc energy source.

1.2.1Advantages of STATCOM

1. It occupies a small footprint, for it replaces passive banks of circuit elements by compact electronic converters;

3

2. It offers modular, factory-built equipment, thereby reducing site work and commissioning time

3. It uses encapsulated electronic converters, thereby minimizing its environmental impact [2].

1.3 Statement of Problem

Voltage Levels in some Sudanese busbars been weak because heavily loaded of some transmission lines in recent years, many voltage instability incidents have occurred in the Sudanese power system. The main cause of the voltage instability is the reactive power limit of the system. Flexible Alternative Current transmission systems devices can play very important role in preventing voltage instability. The aim of this research is to Improvement of Sudanese national grid by using STATIC SYNCHRONOUS COMPENSATOR (STATCOM)

1.4Motivation's

Today, due to the delay of the transmission lines and generation construction projects, Sudanese power system is operating close to their operational limits and system conditions have become increasingly complex. Under this situation, one of the major problems that may occur often is the voltage instability. Using of FACTS devises like STATCOM devises can solve the Sudanese power system voltage problems. And that what encourage us to study the use of this devices in Sudanese national grid.

1.5Thesis Objectives

- Find suitable location and number of STATCOM devices to use in Sudanese national grid
- \triangleright Enhance the grid voltage and power during peak load.
- Decrease Sudanese national grid Losses.

1.6 Thesis Methodology

The Sudan national grid under peak load was taken as case study, simulation was developed in load flow program by Neplan software which solves power flow equation using Newton-Raphson method. Firstly, start load flow for Sudan national grid under peak load then the voltage is measured and recorded for all buses. Then the voltage is checked if all voltage within the limit. otherwise the STATCOM devices is installed at weakest buses and then make load flow again and see the enhancement.

1.7 Lay out

This thesis is organized into five chapters these chapters can be summarized as follows: -

Chapter two literature review. Chapter three mathematical models of STATCOM. Chapter four presents the result and discussion of the simulation of National Grid of Sudan which is used as the case study in this thesis. Chapter five focuses on the general conclusions of the thesis and the possible solutions in order to improve the voltage profile of the Sudan National Grid and the recommendations for future work are represented.

Chapter Two

Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

Capacitors generate and reactors (inductors) absorb reactive power when connected to an ac power source. They have been used with mechanical switches for (coarsely) controlled Var generation and absorption since the early days of ac power transmission. Continuously variable var generation or absorption for dynamic system compensation was originally provided by. over- or under excited rotating synchronous machines and later by saturating reactors in conjunction with fixed capacitors. Since the early1970 high power, line commutated thyristors in conjunction with capacitors and reactors have been employed in various circuit configurations to produce variable reactive output. These in effect provide a variable shunt impedance by synchronously switching shunt capacitors and/or reactors "in" and "out" of the network [3]. Using appropriate switch control, the var output can be controlled continuously from maximum capacitive to maximum inductive output at a given bus voltage.

More recently gate turn-offthyristors and other power semiconductors with internal turnoff capability has been used in switching converter circuits to generate and absorb reactive power without the use of ac capacitors or reactors. These perform as ideal synchronous compensators (condensers), in which the magnitude of the internally generated ac voltage is varied to control the var output. All of the different semiconductor power circuits, with their internal control enabling them to produce var output proportional to an input reference, are collectively termed by the joint IEEE and CIGRE definition, static var generators (SVG). Thus, a static var compensator (SVC) is by the IEEE CIGRE co-definition, a static var generator whose output is varied so as to maintain or control specific parameters (e.g., voltage, frequency) of the electric power system. It is important that the reader appreciate the difference between these two terms, static var generator and static

var compensator, the static var generator is a self-sufficiently functioning device that draws controllable reactive current from an alternating power source. The control input to the var generator can be an arbitrary (within the operating range) reactive current, impedance, or power reference signal that the SVG is to establish at its output. Thus, the static var generator can be viewed as a power amplifier that faithfully reproduces the reference signal at the desired power level. The functional use of the var generator is clearly defined by the reference signal provided. Consequently, according to the IEEE-CIGRE definition, a static var generator becomes a static var compensator when it is equipped with special external (or system) controls which derive the necessary reference for its input, from the operating requirements and prevailing variables of the power system, to execute the desired compensation of the transmission line. This means that different types of var generator can be operated with the same external control to provide substantially the same compensation functions. Evidently, the type and structure of the var generator will ultimately determine the basic operating characteristics (e.g., voltage vs.var output, response time, harmonic generation), whereas the external characteristics control the functional capabilities (e.g., voltage regulation, power factor control, power oscillation damping), of the static Var compensator [3].

The FACTS controllers offer a great opportunity to regulate the transmission of alternating current (AC), increasing or diminishing the power flow in specific lines and responding almost instantaneously to the stability problems. The potential of this technology is based on the possibility of controlling the route of the power flow and the ability of connecting networks that are not adequately interconnected, giving the possibility of trading energy between distant agents. Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) is a static equipment used for the AC transmission of electrical energy. It is meant to enhance controllability and increase power transfer capability. It is generally a power electronics based device. The FACTS devices can be divided in three groups, dependent on their switching

technology: mechanically switched (such as phase shifting transformers), thyristor switched or fast switched, using IGBTs. While some types of FACTS, such as the phase shifting transformer (PST) and the static VAR compensator (SVC) are already well known and used in power systems, new developments in power electronics and control have extended the application range of FACTS. Furthermore, intermittent renewable energy sources and increasing international power flows provide new applications for FACTS. The additional flexibility and controllability of FACTS allow to mitigate the problems associated with the unreliable of supply issues of renewable. SVCs and STATCOM devices are well suited to provide ancillary services (such as voltage control) to the grid and fault rid through capabilities which standard wind farms cannot provide Furthermore, FACTS reduce oscillations in the grid, which is especially interesting when dealing with the stochastic behavior of renewable [1].

2.2 History of FACTS Controllers

Hingorani (1993) and introduced different FACTS controllers such as phase angle regulator, static VAR compensator, sub synchronous resonance damper and static condenser to improve all round performance of power system. It has also been stated that the improvement of power semiconductors is held up as a major factor to the increased importance in future power system. Using power electronic circuits, the line parameters such as the phase angle, the line end voltages and the impedance can be controlled at a faster rate [4].

Arindam Ghosh used power electronic devices to enhance both system stability and power transfer limits using a linearized discrete model [5].

Matsuno (2002) had proposed various types of FACTS controllers such as SVC, STATCOM and variable speed pumped storage (VSPS). They studied their necessity, technical problems in developing the FACTS controllers, and their solutions [6].

Schauder and Mehta (1993) discussed the Advanced Static VAR Compensator (ASVC) using self-commutating inverter. They have also introduced two types of inverters for STATCOM and analyzed. Singh et al (2009) proposed a fast acting static STATCOM, which was used as the state of-the-art dynamic shunt compensator for reactive power control in transmission and distribution system [7].

Mohagheghi (2003) introduced a nonlinear identification of STATCOM connected to a power system using Continually Online Trained (COT) Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) [8].

Jianye Chen et al (2006) focused on a new kind of STATCOM, where thyristors instead of self-commutated devices are used as switching devices. Hinton et al (2001) had given some guidelines on the principles of design for replaceable control components to improve the performance for a FACTS controller [9].

El Moursi et al (2006), (2010) and (2011) proposed a reactive power controller for STATCOM and SSSC and introduced a Coordinated Voltage Control Scheme for SEIG-based Wind Park Utilizing Substation [10].

2.3 Example of FACTS Controllers for Enhancing Power System Control

- 1. Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM)
- 2. Static VAR Compensator (SVC) -Controls voltage
- 3. Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)
- 4. Convertible Series Compensator (CSC)
- 5. Inter-phase Power Flow Controller (IPFC)
- 6. Static Synchronous Series Controller (SSSC)

Each of the above mentioned controllers have impact on voltage, impedance, and/or angle (and power) Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator (TCSC)- Controls impedance Thyristor Controlled Phase Shifting Transformer (TCPST)-

Controls angle Super Conducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES)-Controls voltage and power.

2.4 Classification

There are different classifications for the FACTS devices: Depending on the type of connection to the network FACTS devices can differentiate four categories

- \triangleright serial controllers
- \triangleright derivation controllers
- \triangleright serial to serial controllers
- \triangleright serial-derivation controllers

Depending on technological features, the FACTS devices can be divided into two generations first generation: used thyristors with ignition controlled by gate(SCR). second generation: semiconductors with ignition and extinction controlled by gate (GTO´s, MCTS, IGBTS, IGCTS, etc.).

These two classifications are independent, existing for example, devices of a group of the first classification that can belong to various groups of the second classification. The main difference between first and second generation devices is the capacity to generate reactive power and to interchange active power. The first generation FACTS devices work like passive elements using impedance or tap changer transformers controlled by thyristors. The second generation FACTS devices work like angle and module controlled voltage sources and without inertia, based in converters, employing electronic tension sources (three-phase inverters, auto-switched voltage sources, synchronous voltage sources, voltage source control) fast proportioned and controllable and static synchronous voltage and current sources [1].

2.5 FIRST GENERATION OF FACTS

There are two generations of realization of power electronics based FACTS controllers, the first generations have resulted in the static var compensators (SVC) and the thyristor- Controlled series capacitors (TCSC) [1].

2.5.1 Static VAR Compensator (SVC)

A static VAR compensator (or SVC) is an electrical device for providing fastacting reactive power on high-voltage electricity transmission networks. SVCs are part of the Flexible AC transmission system device family, regulating voltage and stabilizing the system. The term "static" refers to the fact that the SVC has no moving parts (other than circuit breakers and disconnects, which do not move under normal SVC operation). Prior to the invention of the SVC, power factor compensation was the preserve of large rotating machines such as synchronous condensers. The SVC is an automated impedance matching device, designed to bring the system closer to unity power factor. If the power system's reactive load is capacitive (leading), the SVC will use reactors (usually in the form of Thyristor-Controlled Reactors) to consume VARs from the system, lowering the system voltage. Under inductive (lagging) conditions, the capacitor banks are automatically switched in, thus providing a higher system voltage. They also may be placed near high and rapidly varying loads, such as arc furnaces, where they can smooth flicker voltage. It is known that the SVCs with an auxiliary injection of a suitable signal can considerably improve the dynamic stability performance of a power system. It is observed that SVC controls can significantly influence nonlinear system behavior especially under high-stress operating conditions and increased SVC gains [1].

2.5.2 Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC)

TCSC controllers use thyristor-controlled reactor (TCR) in parallel with capacitor segments of series capacitor bank. The combination of TCR and capacitor allow

the capacitive reactance to be smoothly controlled over a wide range and switched upon command to a condition where the bi-directional thyristor pairs conduct continuously and insert an inductive reactance into the line. TCSC is an effective and economical means of solving problems of transient stability, dynamic stability, steady state stability and voltage stability in long transmission lines. TCSC, the first generation of FACTS, can control the line impedance through the introduction of a thyristor controlled capacitor in series with the transmission line. A TCSC is a series controlled capacitive reactance that can provide continuous control of power on the ac line over a wide range. The functioning of TCSC can be comprehended by analyzing the behavior of a variable inductor connected in series with a fixed capacitor [1].

2.5.3 Thyristor-Controlled Phase Shifter (TCPS)

In a TCPS control technique the phase shift angle is determined as a nonlinear function of rotor angle and speed. However, in real-life power system with a large number of generators, the rotor angle of a single generator measured with respect to the system reference will not be very meaningful [1].

2.6 SECOND GENERATION OF FACTS

The second generation of FACTS devices has produced the static Synchronous Compensators (STATCOM), The static Synchronous Series compensators (SSSC) and the unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) [1].

2.6.1 Static Compensator (STATCOM)

The emergence of FACTS devices and in particular GTO thyristor-based STATCOM has enabled such technology to be proposed as serious competitive alternatives to conventional SVC. A static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is a regulating device used on alternating current electricity transmission networks. It is based on a power electronics voltage-source converter and can act as either a

source or sink of reactive AC power to an electricity network. If connected to a source of power, it can also provide active AC power. It is a member of the FACTS family of devices. Usually a STATCOM is installed to support electricity networks that have a poor power factor and often poor voltage regulation. There are however, other uses, the most common use is for voltage stability. From the power system dynamic stability viewpoint, the STATCOM provides better damping characteristics than the SVC as it is able to transiently exchange active power with the system [1].

2.6.2 Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC)

This device works the same way as the STATCOM. It has a voltage source converter serially connected to a transmission line through a transformer. It is necessary an energy source to provide a continuous voltage through a condenser and to compensate the losses of the VSC. A SSSC is able to exchange active and reactive power with the transmission system. But if our only aim is to balance the reactive power, the energy source could be quite small. The injected voltage can be controlled in phase and magnitude if we have an energy source that is big enough for the purpose. With reactive power compensation only the voltage is controllable, because the voltage vector forms 90º degrees with the line intensity. In this case the serial injected voltage can delay or advanced the line current. This means that the SSSC can be uniformly controlled in any value, in the VSC working slot [1].

2.6.3 Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)

A unified power flow controller (UPFC) is the most promising device in the FACTS concept. It has the ability to adjust the three control parameters, i.e. the bus voltage, transmission line reactance, and phase angle between two buses, either simultaneously or independently. A UPFC performs this through the control of the in-phase voltage, quadrature voltage, and shunt compensation. The UPFC is

the most versatile and complex power electronic equipment that has emerged for the control and optimization of power flow in electrical power transmission systems. It offers major potential advantages for the static and dynamic operation of transmission lines. The UPFC was devised for the real-time control and dynamic compensation of ac transmission systems, providing multifunctional flexibility required to solve many of the problems facing the power industry. Within the framework of traditional power transmission concepts, the UPFC is able to control, simultaneously or selectively, all the parameters affecting power flow in the transmission line. Alternatively, it can independently control both the real and reactive power flow in the line unlike all other controllers [1].

2.7 Benefits of Control of Power Systems

Once power system constraints are identified and through system studies viable solutions options are identified, the benefits of the added power system control must be determined. The following offers a list of such benefits [4].

Increased Loading and More Effective Use of Transmission Corridors

- Added Power Flow Control
- \triangleright Improved Power System Stability
- \triangleright Increased System Security
- \triangleright Increased System Reliability
- \triangleright Added Flexibility in Starting New Generation
- Elimination or Deferral of the Need for New Transmission Lines

2.8 Benefits of utilizing FACTS devices

The benefits of utilizing FACTS devices in electrical transmission systems can be summarized as follows [4]

- \checkmark Better utilization of existing transmission system assets.
- \checkmark Increased transmission system reliability and availability.
- \checkmark Increased dynamic and transient grid stability and reduction of loop flows.
- \checkmark Increased quality of supply for sensitive industries.
- \checkmark Environmental benefits Better utilization of existing transmission system assets.

2.9 The STATCOM

The STATCOM is a VSC system whose prime function is to exchange reactive power with the host AC system. In an electric power transmission system, the STATCOM can be used to increase the line power transmission capacity, to enhance the voltage/angle stability or to damp the system oscillatory modes. In a distribution system, the STATCOM is mainly used for voltage regulation; however, it can also supply real power to the loads in the case of a blackout if it is augmented with an energy storage device, for example, a battery storage system. Moreover, the STATCOM may also be employed to balance a distribution network by compensating for load imbalances [5].

Flexible ac transmission systems (FACTS) devices such as static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) and static VAR compensator (SVC) are frequently used to address voltage stability and power quality issues in transmission and distribution systems. Static synchronous compensators based on PWM voltage source converters normally have better dynamic performance during ac network disturbances, smaller footprint and produce fewer harmonics than those using

multiple thyristor-based converters with complex transformers, and static VAR compensators. But they suffer from high conversion losses and are also expensive [5].

Fig (2.1) Schematic diagram of the STATCOM

2.9.1 STATCOM or Static Synchronous Compensator

Is a shunt device, which uses force-commutated power electronics (i.e. GTO, IGBT) to control power flow and improve transient stability on electrical power networks. It is also a member of the so-called Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices. The STATCOM basically performs the same function as the static var compensators but with some advantages.

The term Static Synchronous Compensator is derived from its capabilities and operating principle, which are similar to those of rotating synchronous compensators (i.e. generators), but with relatively faster operation [5].

Fig 2.2 Statcom in field

2.9.2Applications

STATCOMs are typically applied in long distance transmission systems, power substations and heavy industries where voltage stability is the primary concern.

In addition, static synchronous compensators are installed in select points in the power system to perform the following:

- 1. Voltage support and control
- 2. Voltage fluctuation and flicker mitigation
- 3. Unsymmetrical load balancing
- 4. Power factor correction
- 5. Active harmonics cancellation
- 6. Improve transient stability of the power system

2.9.3 Design

STATCOM is composed of the following components:

1- Voltage-Source Converter (VSC)

The voltage-source converter transforms the DC input voltage to an AC output voltage. Two of the most common VSC types are described below.

A. Square-wave Inverters using Gate Turn-Off Thyristors Generally, four threelevel inverters are utilized to make a 48-step voltage waveform. Subsequently, it controls reactive power flow by changing the DC capacitor input voltage, simply because the fundamental component of the converter output voltage is proportional to the DC voltage.

Fig 2.3 GTO-based STATCOM Simple Diagram

In addition, special interconnection transformers are employed to neutralize harmonics contained in the square waves produced by individual inverters.

B. PWM Inverters using Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistors (IGBT)

It uses Pulse-Width Modulation (PWM) technique to create a sinusoidal waveform from a DC voltage source with a typical chopping frequency of a few kHz. In contrast to the GTO-based type, the IGBT-based VSC utilizes a fixed DC voltage and varies its output AC voltage by changing the modulation index of the PWM modulator. Moreover, harmonic voltages are mitigated by installing shunt filters at the AC side of the VSC.

2- DC Capacitor

This component provides the DC voltage for the inverter.

3-Inductive Reactance (X)

It connects the inverter output to the power system. This is usually the leakage inductance of a coupling transformer.

4-Harmonic Filters Mitigate harmonics and other high frequency components due to the inverters.

2.10 PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION

A STATCOM is a controlled reactive-power source. It provides the desired reactive-power generation and absorption entirely by means of electronic processing of the voltage and current waveforms in a voltage-source converter (VSC). A single-line STATCOM power circuit is shown in Fig.(a), where a VSC is connected to a utility bus through magnetic coupling [9].

STATCOM is seen as an adjustable voltage source behind a reactance meaning that capacitor banks and shunt reactors are not needed for reactive-power generation and absorption, thereby giving a STATCOM a compact design, or small footprint, as well as low noise and low magnetic impact. The exchange of reactive power between the converter and the ac system can be controlled by varying the amplitude of the 3-phase output voltage, Es, of the converter, as illustrated in Fig. (c). If the amplitude of the output voltage is increased above that of the utility bus voltage, Et, then a current flow through the reactance from the converter to the ac system and the converter generates capacitive-reactive power for the ac system. If the amplitude of the output voltage is decreased below the utility bus voltage, then the current flows from the ac system to the converter and the converter absorbs inductive-reactive power from the ac system [9]

Fig 2.4 The STATCOM operating principle diagram (a) power circuit (b) equivalent circuit and (c) power exchange

If the output voltage equals the ac system voltage, the reactive-power exchange becomes zero, in which case the STATCOM is said to be in a floating state. Adjusting the phase shift between the converter-output voltage and the ac system voltage can similarly control real-power exchange between the converter and the ac system. In other words, the converter can supply real power to the ac system from its dc energy storage if the converter-output voltage is made to lead the acsystem voltage [9].

On the other hand, it can absorb real power from the ac system for the dc system if its voltage lags behind the ac-system voltage. A STATCOM provides the desired reactive power by exchanging the instantaneous reactive power among the phases of the ac system. The mechanism by which the converter internally generates and/ or absorbs the reactive power can be understood by considering the relationship between the output and input powers of the converter. The converter switches connect the dc-input circuit directly to the ac-output circuit. Thus the net instantaneous power at the ac output terminals must always be equal to the net instantaneous power at the dc-input terminals (neglecting losses). Assume that the converter is operated to supply reactive-output power. In this case, the real power provided by the dc source as input to the converter must be zero. Furthermore, because the reactive power at zero frequency (dc) is by definition zero, the dc source supplies no reactive Power as input to the converter and thus clearly plays no part in the generation of reactive-output power by the converter [9].

In other words, the converter simply interconnects the three output terminals so that the reactive-output currents can flow freely among them. If the terminals of the ac system are regarded in this context, the converter establishes a circulating reactive-power exchange among the phases. However, the real power that the converter exchanges at its ac terminals with the ac system must, of course, be supplied to or absorbed from its dc terminals by the dc capacitor. Although reactive power is generated internally by the action of converter switches, a dc capacitor must still be connected across the input terminals of the converter. The

primary need for the capacitor is to provide a circulating-current path as well as a voltage source. The magnitude of the capacitor is chosen so that the dc voltage across its terminals remains fairly constant to prevent it from contributing to the ripples in the dc current. The VSC-output voltage is in the form of a staircase wave into which smooth sinusoidal current from the ac system is drawn, resulting in slight fluctuations in the output power of the converter [9].

However, to not violate the instantaneous power-equality constraint at its input and output terminals, the converter must draw a fluctuating current from its dc source. Depending on the converter configuration employed, it is possible to calculate the minimum capacitance required to meet the system requirements, such as ripple limits on the dc voltage and the rated-reactive power support needed by the ac system. The VSC has the same rated-current capability when it operates with the capacitive- or inductive-reactive current. Therefore, a VSC having a certain MVA rating gives the STATCOM twice the dynamic range in MVAR (this also contributes to a compact design). A dc capacitor bank is used to support (stabilize) the controlled dc voltage needed for the operation of the VSC. The reactive power of a STATCOM is produced by means of power-electronic equipment of the voltage-source-converter type. The VSC may be a 2- level or 3-level type, depending on the required output power and voltage. A number of VSCs are combined in a multi-pulse connection to form the STATCOM. In the steady state, the VSCs operate with fundamental-frequency switching to minimize converter losses. However, during transient conditions caused by line faults, a pulse width– modulated (PWM) mode is used to prevent the fault current from entering the VSCs. In this way, the STATCOM is able to withstand transients on the ac side without blocking [9].

2.10.1 STATCOM versus SVC

The STATCOM has the ability to provide more capacitive reactive power during faults, or when the system voltage drops abnormally, compared to ordinary static

var compensator. This is because the maximum capacitive reactive power generated by a STATCOM decreases linearly with system voltage, while that of the SVC is proportional to the square of the voltage. Also, the STATCOM has a faster response as it has no time delay associated with thyristor firing. Nevertheless, these advantages come at a higher price (about 20% more) [4].

Chapter Three Mathematical Model

3.1 Introduction

Power flow analysis is fundamental to the study of power systems, in fact power flow forms the core of power system analysis, and power flow study plays a key role in the planning of addition or expansion of transmission and generation facilities. A power flow solution is often the starting point for many other types of power system analyses such as stability analysis and contingency analysis, In addition, power flow analysis is at the heart of contingency analysis and the implementation of real-time monitoring systems [12].

3.2 Power Flow Solution

A power flow study (load-flow study) is a steady-state analysis whose target is to determine the voltages, currents, and real and reactive power flows in a system under a given load conditions.

To solve the power flow problem, the buses in the system classified into three type depend on the physical aspect of the system and the specified quantities in the bus, transmission line is presented using pi model and the system is model using admittance matrix [12].

3.2.1 Types of buses

Slack Bus: The slack bus for the system is a single bus for which the voltage magnitude and angle are specified, the real and reactive power are unknowns.

The bus selected as the slack bus must have a source of both real and reactive power, since the injected power at this bus must "swing" to take up the "slack" in the solution.

The best choice for the slack bus (since, in most power systems, many buses have real and reactive power sources) requires experience with the particular system under study but generally is chosen as largest generation in the system. The behavior of the solution is often influenced by the bus chosen.

Load Bus (P-Q Bus): A load bus is defined as any bus of the system for which the real and reactive power are specified. Load buses may contain generators with specified real and reactive power outputs; however, it is often convenient to designate any bus with specified injected complex power as a load bus.

Voltage Controlled Bus (P-V Bus): Any bus for which the voltage magnitude and the injected real power are specified is classified as a voltage controlled (or P-V) bus [12]. The injected reactive power is a variable (with specified upper and lower bounds) in the power flow analysis. A P-V bus must have a variable source of reactive power such as a generator [12]

3.3Numerical Solution of Power Flow

There are four quantities of interest associated with each bus: real power, reactive power, voltage magnitude, and voltage angle at every bus of the system, two of these four quantities will be specified and the remaining two will be unknowns. So there are only two equations and four variables in power flow solution so that can't be solve analytically but the solution can be done by using numerical iterative method for nonlinear equation, the most iterative method of numerical solution used for power flow solution are [12]:

- 1. Gauss Method
- 2. Gauss-Seidel Method.
- 3. Newton-Raphson (NR) Method.
- 4. Decoupled Newton Methods.
- 5. Fast Decoupled Load Flow (FDLF).

3.3.1Gauss method

This is an iterative method used in the calculation of power flow analysis. This method was named after the German mathematician Carl Friedrich Gauss and

Philipp Ludwig von Seidel. It is also known as Liesmann method or the method of successive displacement. In this method an initial value of voltage is guessed and a new value for the voltage is calculated for each bus. In this method the new voltage value obtained at the other bus cannot be used for the calculation of voltage at another bus until the iteration is completed. This disadvantage is one of the disadvantages of Gauss method [12].

3.3.2 Gauss-Seidel method

This method is based on the Gauss method. In this method an initial value of voltage is guessed and the newly calculated value replaces the initial value and the iteration is stopped when the solution converges. But later this method was limited for only small problems because of the complexity in the calculations.

Computations for this method using the admittance matrix deduce:

Ip= …………………………………………..3.1

; p= 1, 2, 3, …, n and p slack bus

For a system with n total of buses, the calculated voltage at any bus p and Pp and jQp

the real and reactive powers at any bus p are given:

$$
Vp^{k} = \frac{1}{Vpp} \left(\frac{p_p - jqp}{Vp^n} \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} Ypq \, Vq^k - \sum_{q=p=1}^{n} YpqVq^{k-1} \right) \quad : q \neq p, \ldots, \ldots, 3.2
$$

Where: k is the iteration count.

The Gauss-Seidel method of solution of power flow problems has an excessive number of iterations before the voltage corrections are within and acceptable precision index. The number of iterations required is reduced considerably if the correction in voltage at each bus is multiplied by some constant that increases the amount of correction to bring the voltage closer to the value it is approaching. The multipliers that accomplish this improved convergence are acceleration factors. The difference between the newly calculated voltage and the best previous voltage at the bus is multiplied by the appropriate acceleration factor to obtain a better correction to be added to the previous value. The acceleration factor for the real component of correction may differ from that for the imaginary component. For any system, optimum values for acceleration factors exist, and poor choice of factors may result in less rapid convergence or make convergence impossible. In most cases, an acceleration factor of 1.6 is used in power flow analysis. However, studies may be made to determine the best choice for a particular system. At a bus where the voltage magnitude rather than reactive power is specified, the

real and reactive components of the voltage for each iteration are found by computing a value for the reactive power. Thus from equation:

$$
\text{Pp-jQp} = (\text{Ypp Vp} + \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \bm{Vpq Vq}^k + \sum_{q=p+1}^{n} \bm{VpqVq}^{k-1}) \bm{Vp}^n : q \neq p \dots \dots \dots 3.3
$$

For $q = p$, then:

Pp-jQp= ………………………………………………3.4

The reactive power is then evaluated for the best previous voltage values at the buses.

Qp=-Im($Vp^n \sum_{q=1}^n YpqVq^k$)

The new value of reactive power is substituted in equation to find a new value Of Vp

The line flows in line Pq is thus:

3.3.3Advantages of Gauss-Seidel Method

• The Gauss-Seidel method uses rectangular coordinates when programming.

• It requires the fewest number of arithmetic operations to complete an iteration because of the sparsity of the network matrix and simplicity of the solution technique

•It takes less time per iteration.

• It is easy to program and has the most efficient utilization of core memory.

3.3.4Disadvantages of Gauss-Seidel Method

• Gauss-Seidel is characteristically long in solving due to its slow convergence and often difficulty is experienced with unusual network conditions such as negative reactive branches.

• In Gauss-Seidel method, each bus is treated independently. Each correction to one bus requires subsequent correction to all buses to which it is connected [12].

3.4 Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton–Raphson (N-R) method has powerful convergence characteristics, though computational and storage requirements are heavy. The sparsity techniques and ordered elimination led to its earlier acceptability and it continues to be a powerful load-flow algorithm even in today's environment for large systems and optimization. A lesser number of iterations are required for convergence, as compared to the Gauss–Seidel method, provided that the initial estimate is not far removed from the final results, and these do not increase with the size of the system. This method uses the Gauss–Seidel method to obtain good initial voltages as the starting values and the results input into the N-R method as a starting estimate. These voltages are used to calculate real power P at every bus except the swing bus and also reactive power Q wherever reactive power is specified. To apply the Newton-Raphson method to the solution of load flow equations, bus voltages and line admittances may be expressed in polar form or rectangular form. For polar form representation, then the voltages, line admittances and real and reactive powers are expressed as:

Where δ is the angle of the bus voltage and θ is the bus admittance angle. The static power flow is given by:

Pp-jQp=)…………………….3.10

Hence

Pp= δq- δp) ...3.11

$$
Qp = \sum_{q=1}^{n} Vp \;Vq \;Ypq \;sin(\theta pq + \theta q - \theta p) \;...
$$

For rectangular representation, then:

PpjQp=Vp* ………………………………………………..3.13

Vp=ep+jfp……………………………………………………………….3.14

Ypq=Gpq-jBpq …………………………………………………………3.15

Using the values of Vp and Ypq the expression for the power at bus p is:

```
Pp = \sum_{q=1}^{n} (ep (ea Gpq + fp Bpq) + fp(fq Gpq - eq Bpq)) ...................3.16
```
$Qp = \sum_{q=1}^{n} (fp(ea \; Gpq + fq \; Bpq) + ep(fp \; Gpq - ea \; Bpq)) \;.....3.17$

The changes are bus power are the differences between the scheduled powers and the calculated powers, that is:

The Newton-Raphson requires a set of equations expressing the relationship between the changes in real and reactive powers and components of the voltages.

$$
\Delta P_2
$$
\n
$$
\Delta P_1
$$
\n
$$
\Delta P_n
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \cdots \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \varepsilon_n} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial |v_2|} \cdots \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial |v_n|}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta \delta_2
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \cdots \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial \varepsilon_n} + \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial |v_2|} \cdots \frac{\partial P_n}{\partial |v_n|}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta \delta_n
$$
\n
$$
\Delta Q_2
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \cdots \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial \varepsilon_n} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial |v_2|} \cdots \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial |v_n|}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta Q_n
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \cdots \frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \varepsilon_n} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial |v_2|} \cdots \frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial |v_n|}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta V_n
$$
\n
$$
\Delta Q_n
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \varepsilon_2} \cdots \frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \varepsilon_n} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial |v_2|} \cdots \frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial |v_n|}
$$
\n
$$
\Delta V_n
$$

Where the square matrix of partial derivatives is a Jacobian matrix.

The matrix representation is reduced as thus:

$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta P \\ \Delta Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & J_2 \\ J_3 & J_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \delta \\ \Delta V \end{bmatrix}$

From equations the new bus voltages can be calculated by multiplying the changes of real and reactive power by the inverse of the Jacobian matrix.

3.4.1Advantages of the Newton-Raphson

(i). The Newton-Raphson method has quadrature convergence characteristics and therefore, has fewer iterations. For large systems, it is faster, more accurate and more reliable than the Gauss-Seidel method.

(ii). It is used with advantage for large systems.

(iii). N-R method is based on calculating the voltage corrections while taking account of all the interactions as compared to the Gauss-Seidel which treats each bus independently and each correction to one bus requires subsequent correction to all the buses connected to it[12].

2.3.2Disadvantages of the Newton-Raphson

(i). The Newton-Raphson method takes longer time as elements of the Jacobian are to be computed for each iteration.

3.5Fast Decoupled Method

The Fast Decoupled Power Flow Method (FDPFM) is an approximation (simplification) of Newton-Raphson algorithm (N-R) by using knowledge of physical characteristics of electrical systems. The decoupling principle recognizes that in the steady state, active powers are strongly related to voltage angles, and reactive powers to voltage magnitudes. This implies that the load flow problem can be solved separately by two synthetic networks that is $P-\delta$ and Q-V networks, taking advantage of real power-reactive power (P–Q) decoupling. Therefore, the full derivative equation can be decoupled into two equations as:

ΔQ= -()) Δ ……………………………………………………….3.21

The sub matrix involved in equation and is only half the size of the Jacobian matrix. This method due to its calculations simplifications, fast convergence and reliable results became the most widely used method in load flow analysis. However, FDPFM for some cases, where there is high R/X ratios or heavy loading (Low Voltage) at some buses are present, does not converge well and is ineffective. For these cases, many efforts and developments have been made to overcome these convergence obstacles. Some of them targeted the convergence of systems with high R/X ratios, others those with low voltage buses. However, the methods used in most utility companies are the Gauss-Seidel (G-S) and Newton-Raphson (N-R) method, with the N-R method has becoming the de-facto industry standard. The main reason for this is that the convergence properties of the N-R scheme are very desirable when the initial, guessed solution is quite good that is, when it is chosen close to the correct solution. In this project, the N-R method is used to solve the power flow problem [12].

3.6 Importance of load flow study

Power flow analysis is very important in planning stages of new networks or addition to existing ones like adding new generator sites, meeting increase load demand and locating new transmission sites.

The load flow solution gives the nodal voltages and phase angles and hence the power injection at all the buses and power flows through interconnecting power channels. It is helpful in determining the best location as well as optimal capacity of proposed generating station, substation and new lines. It determines the voltage of the buses. The voltage level at the certain It determines the voltage of the buses. The voltage level at the certain buses must be kept within the closed tolerances. System transmission loss minimizes.

Economic system operation with respect to fuel cost to generate all the power needed The line flows can be known. The line should not be overloaded, it means, we should not operate the close to their stability or thermal limits. Load flow study is the first point to many other studies in a power system such as contingency analysis, stability analysis and state estimation of power system.

3.7Modeling of power system with STATCOM

Based on the operating principle of the STATCOM, the equivalent circuit can be derived, which is given in fig. 2. In the derivation, it is assumed that,1. Harmonic generated by the STATCOM is neglected 2. The system as well as the STATCOM is assumed three phase balanced. The STATCOM can be equivalently represented by a controllable fundamental frequency positive sequence voltage source Vsh. In principle, the STATCOM output voltage can be regulated such that the reactive power of the STATCOM can be changed. [13]

Fig 3.1 STATCOM voltage regulators and control loop

Based on the equivalent circuit, it can be established, Vi is the voltage at bus i, Ish is the current through the STATCOM shunt converter. Psh and Qshare the shunt converter branch active and reactive power flows respectively. The power flow direction of Psh and Qsh is leaving bus i. Zsh is the equivalent STATCOM shunt coupling transformer impedance. Flow constrains of STATCOM is

 $Psh= Vi^2$ Gsh –ViVsh(Gsh cos($\theta i - \theta sh$) + bsh sin ($\theta i - \theta sh$))....3.22 Qsh=- Vi^2 bsh - ViVsh(Gsh cos($\theta i - \theta sh$) + bsh sin ($\theta i - \theta sh$)....3.23 Where gsh+jbsh= ………………………..……………………………3.24

The operating constraint of the STATCOM is the active power exchange via the DC link as described by:

PE=Re (Vsh Ish) ………………………………………………………….3.25

Re (Vsh Ish)= $V\mathbf{i}^2$ Gsh(Gsh cos($\theta\mathbf{i} - \theta s\mathbf{h}$) + $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{s}\mathbf{h}$ sin ($\theta\mathbf{i} - \theta s\mathbf{h}$))3.26

The principle of operation of VSC based STATCOM depends on the control strategy for regulating the interchange of power between the converter/inverter circuit and the grid and it depends also on the output AC voltage of the converter/inverter circuit. If the magnitude of the voltage of the converter is equal to the voltage of the grid $V\sin \leq V\mathbf{i}$, the interchange of reactive power between the STATCOM and the grid is equal to zero. In contrast, if the voltage of the converter is less than the grid voltage at point of common connection (PCC) Vsh \leq Vi , the STATCOM absorbs reactive power (draws lagging current). However, if the STATCOM controlled happens to be in such a way that the output voltage of the converter is higher than the grid voltage at PCC Vsh $\lt V$, reactive power is injected into the grid. Also, note that the capacity for injecting reactive power into the grid is limited by the maximum voltage and the maximum current allowed by the semiconductors. In practice, it is also necessary to control the active power exchange of the STATCOM by regulating the phase angle Θ sh= Θ i - Θ sh between the voltage at the VSC (Vsh=Vsh< θsh) and the voltage at the PCC is Vi=Vi $\leq \theta i$ so that the VSC absorbs active power from the grid to maintain a constant voltage for the DC link .

The STATCOM is a FACTS controller based on voltage sourced converter (VSC). A VSC generate a synchronous voltage of fundamental frequency, controllable magnitude and phase angle. If a VSC is shunt-connected to a system via a coupling transformer as shown in Fig. 1, the resulting STATCOM can inject or absorb reactive power to or from the bus to which it is connected and thus regulate the bus voltage magnitude. This STATCOM model is known as Power Injection Model (PIM) or Voltage Source Model (VSM). Steady state modelling of STATCOM within the Newton-Raphson method in rectangular co-ordinates is carried out as follows [9]:

The Thevenin equivalent circuit representing the fundamental frequency operation of the switched-mode voltage sourced converter and its transformer is shown in Figure 3.2.

Vstc =Vk +Zsc Istc……………………………………………………3.27

is expressed in Norton equivalent form

In these expressions Vk, represents bus k voltage and Vstc represents the voltage source inverter. In is the Norton's current while Istc is the inverter's current. Also Zstc, and Ysc are the transformer's impedance and short-circuit admittance respectively. The STATCOM voltage injection Vstc bound constraints is as follows:

Vstc min \leq Vstc \leq Vstc max

Where Vstc min and Vstc max are the STATCOM's minimum and maximum voltages. The current expression in is transformed into a power expression by the VSC and power injected into bus K as shown in equations and respectively.

 $Sk = Vk$ **Istc^{*}** = **Vstc² Ysc^{*}** – Vstc **Ysc^{*} Vk^{*}3.31**

Fig3.2 A) Statcom schematic diagram B) Statcom equivalent

Using the rectangular coordinate representation,

Where Vstc and δ stc are the STATCOM voltage magnitude and angle respectively ek and fk are the real and imaginary parts of the bus voltage respectively.

estc and fstc are the real and imaginary parts of the STATCOM voltage respectively

The active and reactive powers for the STATCOM and node k respectively are Qstc = Gsc(estc ek – fstc ek) + Bsc(- estc^2 - fstc^2 + estc ek + fstc fk)...3.36 And

 $Pk = Gsc(e\mathbf{k}^2 + \mathbf{f}\mathbf{k}^2)$ (estc ek – fstc fk)+ Bsc(ek fstc –fk estc)................3.37 Qk=Gsc(ek fstc-fk estc) + Bsc(ek fstc + fk estc) – ($ek^2 + f k^2$).............3.38 Pstc= Gsc ($\text{esc}^2 + \text{fstc}^2$) – (estc ek +fstc fk)+ Bsc(ek fstc –fk estc).......3.39

3.8V-I CHARACTERISTICS OF STATCOM

A typical V-I characteristic of a STATCOM is depicted in Fig.

The STATCOM can supply both the capacitive and the inductive compensation and is able to independently control its output current over the rated maximum capacitive or inductive range irrespective of the amount of ac-system voltage.

The STATCOM can provide full capacitive-reactive power at any system voltage—even as low as 0.15 pu.

Fig 3.3V-I CHARACTERISTICS OF STATCOM

The characteristic of a STATCOM reveals another strength of this technology: that it is capable of yielding the full output of capacitive generation almost independently of the system voltage (constant-current output at lower voltages). This capability is particularly useful for situations in which the STATCOM is needed to support the system voltage during and after faults where voltage collapse would otherwise be a limiting factor [13].

Figure illustrates that the STATCOM has an increased transient rating in both the capacitive- and the inductive-operating regions. The maximum attainable transient overcurrent in the capacitive region is determined by the maximum

current turn-off capability of the converter switches. In the inductive region, the converter switches are naturally commutated; therefore, the transient-current rating of the STATCOM is limited by the maximum allowable junction temperature of the converter switches. In practice, the semiconductor switches of the converter are not lossless, so the energy stored in the dc capacitor is eventually used to meet the internal losses of the converter, and the dc capacitor voltage diminishes.

However, when the STATCOM is used for reactive-power generation, the converter itself can keep the capacitor charged to the required voltage level. This task is accomplished by making the output voltages of the converter lag behind the ac-system voltages by a small angle (usually in the 0.18–0.28 range).

In this way, the converter absorbs a small amount of real power from the ac system to meet its internal losses and keep the capacitor voltage at the desired level. The same mechanism can be used to increase or decrease the capacitor voltage and thus, the amplitude of the converter-output voltage to control the var generation or absorption. The reactive- and real-power exchange between the STATCOM and the ac system can be controlled independently of each other.

Any combination of real power generation or absorption with var generation or absorption is achievable if the STATCOM is equipped with an energy-storage device of suitable capacity, as depicted in Fig3.3.With this capability, extremely effective control strategies for the modulation of reactive- and real-output power can be devised to improve the transient- and dynamic-system-stability limits.

Fig3.4 Statcom operation
3.9Modes of Operation

The STATCOM can be operated in two different modes:

A. Voltage Regulation

The static synchronous compensator regulates voltage at its connection point by controlling the amount of reactive power that is absorbed from or injected into the power system through a voltage-source converter.

In steady-state operation, the voltage V2 generated by the VSC through the DC capacitor is in phase with the system voltage V1 (δ =0), so that only reactive power (Q) is flowing $(P=0)$.

1. When system voltage is high, the STATCOM will absorb reactive power (inductive behavior)

2. When system voltage is low, the STATCOM will generate and inject reactive power into the system (capacitive).

Subsequently, the amount of reactive power flow is given by the equation:

Q = [V1(V1-V2)] / X ……………………………………………………3.40

B. Var Control

In this mode, the STATCOM reactive power output is kept constant independent of other system parameter

3.9.1 Linearized Power Equations

A single-phase power network with n-buses is described by $2*(n-1)$ non-linear equations. The inclusion of one STATCOM model augments the number of equations by two. The solution of the combined system of non-linear equations is carried out by iteration using the full Newton-Raphson method. The Jacobian used in conventional power flow is suitably extended to take account of the new elements contributed by the STATCOM. The set of linearized power flow equations for the complete system is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Delta P_k \\
\Delta P_k \\
\Delta |V_k|^2 \\
\Delta Q_{STC}\n\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{\partial P_k}{\partial e_k} & \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial f_k} & \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial e_{STC}} & \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial f_{STC}} \\
\frac{\partial |V_k|^2}{\partial e_k} & \frac{\partial |V_k|^2}{\partial f_k} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial P_{STC}}{\partial e_k} & \frac{\partial P_{STC}}{\partial f_k} & \frac{\partial P_{STC}}{\partial e_{STC}} & \frac{\partial P_{STC}}{\partial f_{STC}} \\
\frac{\partial Q_{STC}}{\partial e_k} & \frac{\partial Q_{STC}}{\partial f_k} & \frac{\partial Q_{STC}}{\partial e_{STC}} & \frac{\partial Q_{STC}}{\partial f_{STC}}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\Delta e_k \\
\Delta e_{STC} \\
\Delta f_{STC}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

3.10 Restriction of Operation

1. In a STATCOM, the maximum reactive power that can be supplied to the grid depends on the maximum voltage and current permitted by the power semiconductor, so it's necessary to include the following restriction.

The VSC output voltage must fall within the allowed limits of operation:

1. $Vsh^{min} \leq Vsh \leq Vsh^{max}$, $-\pi \leq \theta sh \leq \pi$

Where **Vsh**^{max} is the voltage rating of the STATCOM, While **Vsh**^{mm} is the minimal voltage limit of the STATCOM.

2. The current flowing through a STATCOM Ish

must be less than the current rating Ish $\leq I \, s \, h^{max}$ Where $I \, s \, h^{max}$ is the current rating of the STATCOM converter while Ish the magnitude of current through the STATCOM and given by $\text{Ish}=\frac{v_i-v_s h}{z_s h}$ In contrast, it is necessary to include external restriction of the grid voltage at the PCC. According to the specific regulation of the grid operator, the grid voltage at the PCC must be within certain allowed limits. $V_i^{min} < V_i < V_i^{max}$. [13]

Chapter Four Simulation Results and Discussion

4.1 Introduction

The load-flow problem models the nonlinear relationships among bus power injections, power demands, and bus voltages and angles, given the network constants and the circuit parameters. It is the heart of most system-planning studies and also the starting point for transient and dynamic stability studies. This chapter provides a formulation of the load flow problem and its associated solution strategies.

4.2 Case study Load flow model of national grid

The National grid of Sudan is modelled using 500, 220 and 110 KV buses (66 busbars). The lines ohmic per unit length data and transformer data are obtained and then converted and expressed in per unit representation. Bus data which represent power generation(PGi) and load (PLi,) (QLi) of the transmission network are obtained.

4.2.1 The National grid of Sudan

Up to the year 2002, the combined grid-connected generating capacity in Sudan was 728 MW. This was far lower than the required rate and the government sought to increase it to avoid blackouts. The design capacity of generation in the national network amounted to 1234.6 MW up to the end of 2008:

342.8 MW which were from water generation

180 MW from steam generation

45.2 MW from diesel generation

65 MW from gas generation

450 MW from mixed generation

In addition to thermal plants outside the national electricity network in most of Sudanese cities with a 151.6 MW capacity.

in 2006, Construction of Marwi Dam project was intended to roughly double Sudan's power generation in addition to increasing the national network security. Marwi Dam with its peak output of 1260 MW will almost double this capacity if it runs successfully. NEPLAN is used for analysis of this network as shown in figure (4.3. The NEPLAN obtain the Voltage magnitude, voltage angle, active and reactive power at any bus, and also active and reactive power and losses in transmission lines

4.3Data Survey

Data of the network of the national grid are obtained from the National grid control center and it covered all of the following items:

1\Transmission lines types and parameters as series resistances, series reactance's and charging capacitances per unit length and lines total length in kilometers.

2\ Number of circuits of transmission lines and its current capacity limited by circuit breakers and relays settings.

3\ Number of transformers and its data.

4\ Generation unit's maximum output powers and its VAR limits.

Last modifications on the system configuration.

5\ Load on the network at heavy load condition.

6\ Relays setting for maximum permissible current.

The parameters are expressed in per unit values; power base is chosen as100 MVA and each of the voltage levels500, 220 and110 are taken as voltage.

Fig 4.1 National grid of Sudan

Table 4.1NG bus codes and numbers

58	ALFAO 110KV	FAO110
59	GDARIF 110 KV	GDA110
60	BANAT 110KV	BNT110
61	GAMOIEA 220KV	GAM220
62	GAMOIEA 110KV	GAM110
63	GENIED 110 KV	GEN110
64	GDARIF 220 KV	GDA220
65	HAWATA 220 KV	HAW220
66	SINGA 220 KV	SNG220

Table 4.2 NG line data (Per Unit Data)

4.4 Results and Discussions

4.4.1Analysis of Load flow result

The load flow analysis of National Grid of Sudan are carry-out based on Newton-Raphson method on peak load. The load flow is done without any compensation at any bus. The result shows that 43 buses found to be under normal voltage level (Vbus< 0.95 P.U)

NAME	U	\mathbf{U}	U	\mathbf{P}	Q	${\bf P}$	Q	Q
	KV	$\frac{0}{0}$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUNT
ATB5	502.15	100.43	-8.7	$\overline{0}$	θ	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
B-1230801506	11.55	105	3.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	125	35.74	$\overline{0}$
B-1230801512	11.55	105	3.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	125	35.74	$\overline{0}$
B-1230801515	11.55	105	3.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	125	35.74	$\mathbf{0}$
B-1230801518	11.55	105	3.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	125	35.74	$\overline{0}$
BAG1	96.482	87.71	-21.5	14.6	12.7	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	-3.847
BNT1	99.299	90.27	-18	50	10	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	Ω
DEB2S1	219.48	99.76	-11.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S2	219.48	99.76	-11.7	4.5	1.8	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	19.906
DON2S1	221.112	100.51	-12.2	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	Ω	Ω
DON2S2	221.112	100.51	-12.2	8.8	3.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAO1	98.554	89.59	-34.7	40	1.6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAR1	95.652	86.96	-20.8	84	51.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FRZ2	206.285	93.77	-12.4	\mathcal{T}	3.5	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD1	96.692	87.9	-21.6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD ₂	191.438	87.02	-17	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	θ
GAM1	99.791	90.72	-17.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM2	192.209	87.37	-15.8	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G1	11.132	101.2	-7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	33	27	$\mathbf{0}$
GAR_G10	11.137	101.24	-7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\mathbf{0}$
GAR_G11	11.137	101.24	-7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table 4.3 Load flow analysis before enhancement

4.5 Identification of Weakest Bus and Transmission Line:

There are servals effective methods for identification of weak bus-bars or transmission lines in our research we will use FAST VOLTAGE STABILITY METHOD.

4.5.1 Fast voltage stability index (FVSI)

Identification of weakest bus is for objective to identify the best location for reactive power compensation for the improvement of static voltage stability margin of the system. In this study a line based voltage stability index called Fast Voltage Stability Index (FVSI) is utilized as the indicator voltage stability indices are calculated for each weak line in order to evaluate the stability limit by using (Fast Voltage Stability Index (FVSI)) method by using the next equation, Taking the symbols 'i' as the sending bus and 'j' as the receiving bus

where, $Z =$ line impedance, $X_{ij} =$ line reactance, $Q_j =$ reactive power at the receiving end and $Vi =$ sending end voltage

The value of FVSI that is evaluated close to 1 indicates that the particular line is close to its instability point which may lead to voltage collapse in the entire system. To maintain a secure condition, the value of FVSI should be maintained well less than 1. The lines are rearranged according to (FVSI) values from highest to lowest and shown in table 4.4. This arrangement represents the most severe lines in the system which is the candidate locations for **STATCOM** installation

Rank	Line name	FVSI
1	KLX-LOM2	1.262
$\overline{2}$	MHD-OMD1	1.134
3	MAR2 KAB	0.97
3	ATB-POR	0.862
$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	SOB BAG	0.6043
5	KHN IZG	0.467
6	TND_UMR	0.455
7	MWP-MRK	0.369
8	MRK KAB	0.266
9	OMD BNT	0.46
10	SNJ-MAR1	0.23
11	SNJ-MAR2	0.22
12	GAR-IBA1	0.15
13	GAR-IBA2	0.15
14	ROS-SNJ1	0.12
15	ROS-SNJ2	0.13

Table 4.4 Ranking of weak lines depend on FVSI values

4.6Load flow results after enhancement by using STATCOMS

We start our enhancement by installing STATCOM device at bus bar LOM1 we find that from a previous researches that LOM1 is more substation loaded and unstable and have an under voltage problems. The enhancement of the voltage at this bus bar and by the way the grid is done by using Statcom device added to this bus bar and setting the current (Ic $\&$ IL), then the Statcoms started to generate MVAR and the voltage in this bus bar increased and also at the other buses, in the next schedule we see the bus bars voltage after the enhancement

NAME	\mathbf{U}	U	$\mathbf U$	\mathbf{P}	Q	\mathbf{P}	Q	Q
	$\mathbf{K}\mathbf{V}$	$\%$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUNT
ATB ₂	216.378	98.35	-8.6	55	15	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
ATB5	507.573	101.51	-5.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
BAG1	99.78	90.71	-16.3	14.6	12.7	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	-4.114
BNT1	101.879	92.62	-13.6	50	10	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S1	216.408	98.37	-9.4	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
DEB2S2	216.408	98.37	-9.4	4.5	1.8	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	19.352
DON2S1	217.988	99.09	-10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
DON2S2	217.988	99.09	-10	8.8	3.4	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
FAO1	100.637	91.49	-29.3	40	1.6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
FAR1	99.475	90.43	-15.4	84	51.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
FRZ2	211.056	95.93	-8.4	$\overline{7}$	3.5	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD1	99.927	90.84	-16.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
GAD ₂	196.65	89.39	-12.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM1	102.358	93.05	-13	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM2	197.072	89.58	-11.5	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
GAR_G1	11.358	103.25	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G10	11.363	103.3	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G11	11.363	103.3	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G12	11.36	103.27	-2.9	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	35	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G13	11.186	101.69	-1.3	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G14	11.186	101.69	-1.3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G2	11.358	103.25	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G3	11.355	103.23	-2.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G4	11.358	103.25	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G5	11.358	103.25	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G6	11.355	103.23	-2.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G7	11.353	103.21	-3.2	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G8	11.363	103.3	-3.2	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G9	11.36	103.27	-2.9	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	35	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR2S1	211.529	96.15	-8.3	100	20	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table 4.5 load flow analysis after enhancement by (LOM1) STATCOM

As we can see from the above table voltage increased in all busses, but still less than 0.95 P.U in 38 burbars so we will add another STATCOM device at bus bar (MAR2) The enhancement of the voltage at this busbar and by the way the grid is

done by using Statcom device added to this bus bar and setting the current (Ic & IL) ,then the Statcoms started to generate MVAR and the voltage in this bus bar increased and also at the other buses , in the next schedule we see the busbars voltage after the enhancement.

NAME	$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	\mathbf{U}	\mathbf{U}	\overline{P}	Q	\mathbf{P}	$\mathbf Q$	$\mathbf Q$
	KV	$\frac{0}{0}$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUNT
ATB ₂	218.952	99.52	-8.4	55	15	$\overline{0}$	θ	$\boldsymbol{0}$
ATB5	511.858	102.37	-5.8	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$
B-1230801518	11.55	105	7.6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	125	20.963	$\overline{0}$
BAG1	103.664	94.24	-15.9	14.6	12.7	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-4.441
BNT1	104.532	95.03	-13.2	50	10	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S1	217.675	98.94	-9.2	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S2	217.675	98.94	-9.2	4.5	1.8	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	19.58
DON2S1	219.276	99.67	-9.8	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DON2S2	219.276	99.67	-9.8	8.8	3.4	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAO1	109.584	99.62	-27.5	40	1.6	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAR1	101.933	92.67	-14.9	84	51.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FRZ2	215.105	97.78	-8.2	$\overline{7}$	3.5	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
$\overline{GAD1}$	103.954	94.5	-16	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD ₂	203.579	92.54	-12.2	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM1	104.998	95.45	-12.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM2	202.077	91.85	-11.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G1	11.55	105	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR G10	11.554	105.04	-3.2	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	33	27	$\mathbf{0}$
GAR G11	11.554	105.04	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G12	11.552	105.02	-2.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G13	11.382	103.47	-1.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR G14	11.382	103.47	-1.4	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	27	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G2	11.55	105	-3.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G3	11.547	104.97	-2.9	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table 4.6load flow analysis after enhancement by (MAR2) STATCOM

As we can see from the above table voltage increased in all busses, but still less than 0.95 P.U in 23 busbars so we will add another STATCOM device at busbar (MHD1) The enhancement of the voltage at this busbar and by the way the grid is done by using Statcom device added to this busbar and setting the current (Ic & IL) ,then the Statcoms started to generate MVAR and the voltage in this busbar increased and also at the other buses , in the next schedule we see the busbars voltage after the enhancement.

NAME	\mathbf{U}	U	\mathbf{U}	\mathbf{P}	Q	\mathbf{P}	Q	Q
	KV	$\frac{0}{0}$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUNT
ATB ₂	219.828	99.92	-8.3	55	15	$\overline{0}$	θ	$\overline{0}$
ATB5	513.447	102.69	-5.7	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	θ
BAG1	104.899	95.36	-15.6	14.6	12.7	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	-4.547
BNT1	105.719	96.11	-13	50	10	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S1	218.216	99.19	-9.2	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S2	218.216	99.19	-9.2	4.5	1.8	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	19.677
DON2S1	219.826	99.92	-9.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
DON2S2	219.826	99.92	-9.7	8.8	3.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAO1	110.354	100.32	-27.1	40	1.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
FAR1	103.29	93.9	-14.7	84	51.4	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FRZ2	216.353	98.34	-8.1	$\overline{7}$	3.5	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD1	105.173	95.61	-15.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD ₂	205.585	93.45	-12	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAM1	106.179	96.53	-12.5	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	θ	θ
GAM2	204.317	92.87	-11.1	θ	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	θ
GAR_G1	11.55	105	-3.1	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	33	24.895	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G10	11.55	105	-3.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	24.718	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table 4.7 load flow analysis after enhancement by (MHD1) STATCOM

As we can see from the above table voltage increased in all busses, but still less than 0.95 P.U in 23 busbars so we will add another STATCOM device at busbar (POR2) The enhancement of the voltage at this busbar and by the way the grid is done by using Statcom device added to this busbar and setting the current (Ic & IL) ,then the Statcoms started to generate MVAR and the voltage in this busbar increased and also at the other buses , in the next schedule we see the busbars voltage after the enhancement

NAME	U	\mathbf{U}	U	P	Q	P	Q	
	KV	$\frac{0}{0}$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUN
								T
ATB ₂	222.627	101.19	-8.3	55	15	Ω	Ω	Ω
ATB ₅	517.047	103.41	-5.7	θ	θ	Ω	Ω	θ
B-1230801506	11.55	105	7.9	$\overline{0}$	θ	125	17.948	θ
B-1230801512	11.55	105	7.9	Ω	Ω	125	17.948	Ω
B-1230801515	11.55	105	7.9	$\overline{0}$	θ	125	17.948	θ

Table 4.8 load flow analysis after enhancement by (MAR2) STATCOM

As we can see from the above table voltage increased in all busses, but still less than 0.95 P.U in 12 busbars so we will add another STATCOM device at busbar (MHD2) The enhancement of the voltage at this busbar and by the way the grid is done by using Statcom device added to this busbar and setting the current (Ic & IL) ,then the Statcoms started to generate MVAR and the voltage in this busbar increased and also at the other buses , in the next schedule we see the busbars voltage after the enhancement

NAME	\mathbf{U}	U	\mathbf{U}	${\bf P}$	Q	\mathbf{P}	Q	Q
	KV	$\frac{0}{0}$	ang	LOAD	LOAD	GEN	GEN	SHUN
								T
ATB ₂	223.823	101.74	-8.1	55	15	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
ATB5	519.486	103.9	-5.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$
B-1230801506	11.55	105	8.1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	125	13.58	$\boldsymbol{0}$
B-1230801512	11.55	105	8.1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	125	13.58	$\boldsymbol{0}$
B-1230801515	11.55	105	8.1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	125	13.58	$\boldsymbol{0}$
B-1230801518	11.55	105	8.1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	125	13.58	$\mathbf{0}$
BAG1	106.873	97.16	-15.1	14.6	12.7	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	-4.72
BNT1	108.257	98.42	-12.6	50	10	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DEB2S1	219.661	99.85	-9	$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	θ	$\mathbf{0}$
DEB2S2	219.661	99.85	-9	4.5	1.8	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	19.938
DON2S1	221.296	100.59	-9.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
DON2S2	221.296	100.59	-9.6	8.8	3.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
FAO1	111.71	101.55	-26.3	40	1.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
FAR1	105.3	95.73	-14.2	84	51.4	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
FRZ2	218.747	99.43	-7.8	$\overline{7}$	3.5	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD1	107.139	97.4	-15.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAD ₂	209.213	95.1	-11.6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAM1	108.705	98.82	-12.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAM2	209.109	95.05	-10.7	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G1	11.55	105	-2.8	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	20.889	$\boldsymbol{0}$
GAR_G10	11.55	105	-2.8	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	33	20.7	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G11	11.55	105	-2.8	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	33	20.7	$\mathbf{0}$
GAR_G12	11.55	105	-2.5	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	35	20.799	$\overline{0}$
GAR_G13	11.55	105	-1.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	27	$\boldsymbol{0}$

Table 4.9 load flow analysis after enhancement by (MAR2) STATCOM

From the above schedule show the final enhancement to the grid and as we can see there is no busbar voltage less than 0.95 P.U. Also when we can calculate FVSI for the weakest buses and the next schedule show the enhancement in FVSI values after using 4 STATCOM devices.

Rank	Line name	FVSI
1	KLX-LOM2	0.29
$\overline{2}$	MHD-OMD1	0.0243
3	MAR2 KAB	0.21
3	ATB-POR	0.198
4	SOB BAG	0.19
5	KHN IZG	0.187
6	TND UMR	0.166
7	MWP-MRK	0.154
8	MRK KAB	0. 151
9	OMD BNT	0.09345
10	SNJ-MAR1	0.113
11	SNJ-MAR2	0.126
12	GAR-IBA1	0.067
13	GAR-IBA2	0.084
14	ROS-SNJ1	0.098
15	ROS-SNJ2	<i>0.0523</i>

Table 4.10 FVSI values for the weakest lines after adding STATCOM

4.7 Voltage comparison between the busses which STATCOM connected to it before and after

This diagram shows the voltage comparison in the NG busbars before and after adding Statcom devices, the influence of adding the Statcoms is the increasing of the voltage % at all weak busses to be more than 95%.

4.8 Comparison between the losses in the three bus bars

This diagram show a comparison between the GRID active losses (P) before and after adding STATCOM devices, the diagram show that the P losses in the GRID is decreased after adding STATCOM devices by 14.796 MW.

Chapter Five

Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

Sudanese Grid has become large and complicated, so it is subjected to sudden changes in load levels. Stability is an important concept which determines the stable operation of the power system. The modern trend is to employ by installing Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) devices in the system for effective utilization of transmission resources. The FACTS devices contribute to the power flow improvement besides, they extend their services in transient stability improvement as well, study and analyze the stability of the system through rotor angle and voltage. The object of this research is to improve the stability of the Sudanese National Grid System by using STATCOM devices in different optimal locations.

Case study is the Sudanese electrical network 66-bus bars. The load flow program was implemented using NEPLAN Program. Using Statcom devices in transmission lines when they reach the limits and need continues voltage control with a short time response in a continuity situation.

After we make a load flow analysis using Neplan program The results show that before using Statcom system voltage decreased in many buses at peak load. When Statcom are installed in Sudan national grid during peak load, we have reduced branch losses and voltage profile was improved. Reduction of losses, increase of power transfer capability and voltage profile can also be optimized. The load flow test shows that the lowest voltage level detected in the absence of STATCOM is 91.95% at bus (MHD2) compared with 97.02% for bus (MNA1) in presence of STATCOM. The results also show that the active losses decreased for example for the three busbars (POR1,GDF,LOM) before and after adding STATCOM devices, the results show that the P losses in the three busses is decreased after adding STATCOM devices and this also happened for the other buses. Also a comparison for number of STATCOM devices we can use and we find that the best choice is to use 4 devices of STATCOM because the economic reasons and also this can make an over voltage in some bus bars. More over with the STATCOM devices, it is possible to support the system during faults and transient period or to improve power quality

5.2 Recommendations

- \triangleright Use another types of Facts devises (series and shunt types)
- Make a comparison between different types of FACTS devices in voltage magnitude and losses
- \triangleright Make a economic operation study for using Statcom devices
- \triangleright Make a study about the influence of Facts devices on the protection systems.

References

[1] Alok Kumar Mohanty, Amar Kumar Barik Asst. Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Raajdhani Engineering College, Bhubaneswar-17 (Power System Stability Improvement Using FACTS Devices) Lecturer, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Raajdhani Engineering College, BhubaneswarInternational Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) www.ijmer.com Vol.1, Issue.2, pp-666-672

[2] International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology Vol. 36, November, 2011 65 Analysis and Modelling of Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM): A comparison of Power Injection and Current Injection Models in Power Flow Study Adepoju, G. A. 1, Komolafe, O.A.2 *1*

[3] IEEE Press Editorial Board Robert J. Herrick, Editorin Chief, Understanding FACTS Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems, 1999 [4]N.G Hingorani "Flexible AC Transmission Systems (FACTS) – Overview " IEEE Spectrum, pp.40 -45 April 1993.

[5] Power quality enhancement using custom power devices.A Ghosh 2012

[6] An experience of Facts devices development 1980s and 1990s.Published in: Transmission and distribution conference and exhibition2002,Asia pacific IEEE/PES

[7] Vector analysis and control of advanced static Var compensators, Author:Schaudar and Mehta –IEE Proceeding C 1993

[8]Adaptive critic design based neurocontroller for a STATCOM connected to a power system, Published in: Industry application conference 2003, Author S.Mohagheghi

[9] Analysis and implement of thyristor based STATCOM, Published in :Power system technology 2006 power con2006 , Author jianye chen

[10] Novel reactive power controllers for the Statcom and SSSC Ms ELmoursi – Electric power system research 2006-Elsevier

81

[11] D. Povh, D. Retzmann Siemens, Erlangen, Germany (DEVELOPMENT OF FACTS FOR TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS) FACTS Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems, 1999.

[12]Optimal power flow solutions, Published in :IEETransaction on Power Apparatus and system (volume PAS-87)1968

[13] Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology (JMEST) ISSN: 3159-0040 Vol. 2 Issue 2, February - 2015 JMESTN42350466 143 Modelling and Simulation of STATCOM for Reactive Power and Voltage Control.

Appendix A

Transmission lines data

Generators Data

