

Sudan University of Science and Technology
College of Graduate Studies



Performance Evaluation of Hierarchical Routing
Protocols in Wireless Sensor Network
تقييم أداء بروتوكولات التوجيه الهرمي في شبكة الإستشعار اللاسلكية

A Thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for
The Degree of Master in Electronic Engineering (Computer)

Presented By

MARWA OMER AHMED AWAD ELKARIM

Supervised by:

Dr. OMER ABDEL RAZAG SHARIF ABUBAKER

April 2016

الآية

قال تعالى: (يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا إِذَا قِيلَ لَكُمْ تَفَسَّحُوا فِي الْمَجَالِسِ فَافْسَحُوا يَفْسَحِ اللَّهُ لَكُمْ وَإِذَا قِيلَ انشُزُوا فَانْشُزُوا يَرْفَعِ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَالَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْعِلْمَ دَرَجَاتٍ وَاللَّهُ بِمَا تَعْمَلُونَ خَبِيرٌ (11)) سورة المجادلة.

DEDICATION

To

To my lovely **mother, father and husband**, to my great brothers
and sisters to Dr.OMER with my respect

Marwa

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful, Who has created the mankind with knowledge, wisdom and power.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to a number of people who have provided me with invaluable help over the course of my studies.

I thank Dr. Omer Abdel razag Sharif, my supervisor, for his priceless help and advice over the course of my project, and for reviewing this thesis. His wise suggestions have always helped me and a great number of them have gone into the thesis.

My sincere gratitude and thanks also goes to those who have contributed to the completion of this research directly or indirectly, without naming names because they are in my heart.

ABSTRACT

Wireless sensors nodes are prepared up of small electronic devices which are capable of sensing, computing and transmitting data from harsh physical environments. These sensor nodes majorly depend on batteries for energy, which get exhausted at a faster rate because of the computation and communication operations they have to perform. Communication protocols can be intended to make efficient consumption of energy resources of a sensor node and to obtain real time functionality. A detailed study of most used protocols such as LEACH, PEGASIS, and TEEN has been carried out and comparison tables give an overview of the protocol's performance on some factors such as latency, load in the network and energy awareness. Conclusions have been drawn using the comparison table parameters of how the protocol performs when utilized for any network size and what kind of tradeoff they show using OPNET 14.5 as a simulation environment. The result shows that LEACH protocol is more appropriate in the applications that spread in small network where TEEN is suitable for monitoring sudden changing in the environment. Moreover, PEGASIS is suitable for large network.

المستخلص

تتكون أجهزة الاستشعار اللاسلكية من أجهزة إلكترونية صغيرة قادرة على استشعار البيانات، والحوسبة والإرسال من البيئات الطبيعية القاسية. تعتمد هذه العقد الاستشعارية على البطارية في الحصول على الطاقة، و التي تستنفذ سريعاً نسبة لعمليات الحوسبة و الاتصالات التي تجريها هذه العقد فيما بينها. بروتوكولات الإتصالات يمكن أن تكون مصممة لجعل الاستخدام الكفاء لموارد الطاقة وللحصول على النتائج في الوقت الحقيقي. في هذا البحث نقدم دراسة مفصلة ومقارنة لأكثر البروتوكولات استخداما مثل بروتوكول LEACH و PEGASIS و TEEN لإعطاء توضيح لأدائها وفقاً لبعض العوامل مثل التأخير و الحمل على الشبكة و الطاقة. النتائج المرصودة لعمل هذه البروتوكولات في تطبيقات المراقبة باستخدام برنامج المحاكاة OPNET 14.5.

هذه النتائج أوضحت لنا أن بروتوكول LEACH مناسب في التطبيقات التي تتوزع على شبكة صغيرة بينما بروتوكول TEEN يناسب مراقبة التغير المفاجئ في البيئة. أما بروتوكول PEGASIS فيناسب الشبكات الكبيرة.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page No.
الآية	Ii
DEDICATION	Iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	Iv
ABSTRACT	V
المستخلص	Vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Definition of the Problem	3
1.4 Objectives	5
1.5 Methodology	6
1.6 Thesis Layout	6
CHAPTER TWO: ROUTING PROTOCOLS IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS	
2.1 Overview of Wireless Sensor Networks	7
2.2 WSN Architecture and Protocol Stack	7
2.3 Applications of Wireless Sensor Networks	10
2.3.1 Military applications:	11
2.3.2 Environmental applications:	11
2.3.3 Health applications:	11

2.3.4 Home applications:	12
2.3.5 Industrial applications:	12
2.4 Architecture of the Sensor Node	13
2.5 Standards of WSNs	14
2.5.1 IEEE 802.15.4 standard	14
2.5.2 ZigBee standard	16
2.6 Power Consumption	17
2.6.1 Sensing	17
2.6.2 Data processing	17
2.6.3 Communicating	18
2.7 Hierarchical Routing Protocols	18
2.7.1 LEACH	18
- E-LEACH	22
- LEACH-C	22
- M-LEACH	22
2.7.2 PEGASIS	23
2.7.3 TEEN & APTEEN	25
CHAPTER THREE: PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS FOR THE WSN HIERARCHICAL ROUTING PROTOCOLS	
3.1 Comparison Factors and Simulation Platform	27
i. The Model Development Tool	28
ii. The Simulation Execution Tool	29
iii. The Results Analysis Tool	29
3.2 Set the Simulation Environment	29

3.2.1 The Node Editor	29
3.2.2 The Process Model Editor	29
3.2.3 Simulation Configuration	32
I. Coordinator (Full Function Device):	32
II. Router (Full Function Device):	33
III. End device (Reduced Function Device):	33
3.3 Simulation Scenario for TEEN Protocol	34
3.4 Simulation Scenario for LEACH Protocol	34
3.5 Simulation Scenario for PEGASIS Protocol	35
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Introduction	37
4.2 End to End Delay	37
4.3 Amount of Traffic Received by the BS	40
4.4 Load in the Network	43
4.5 Throughputs	43
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Conclusion	49
5.2 Recommendations and Further Research	50
References	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
1.1	Wireless sensor network	2
2.1	Data flow in wireless sensor networks	8
2.2	Architecture of wireless sensor networks	10
2.3	Architecture of sensor node	13
2.4	Types of IEEE 802.15.4 devices	15
2.5	IEEE 802.15.4 and the ZigBee protocol stack	16
2.6	LEACH protocol	19
2.7	Setup phase and steady state face in LEACH	20
2.8	PEGASIS protocol	24
2.9	TEEN protocol	25
3.1	The Project Editor	30
3.2	The Node Editor	31
3.3	Process Model state in OPNET	31
3.4	Node Process Model state in OPNET	32
3.5	ZigBee coordinator	33
3.6	ZigBee router	33
3.7	ZigBee end device	33
3.8	The network topology used in Teen protocol simulation	35
3.9	The network topology used in leach simulation	36
3.10	The network topology used in PEGASIS simulation	36
4.1	End to end delay for normal distribution	38

4.2	End to end delay for uniform distribution	39
4.3	Amount of Traffic Received by the Base Station for normal distribution	41
4.4	Amount of Traffic Received by the Base Station for uniform distribution	42
4.5	Load in the network for normal distribution	44
4.6	Load in the network for uniform distribution	44
4.7	Throughput for normal distribution	46
4.8	Throughput for uniform distribution	47
A.1	Latch the OPNET 14.5.	i
A.2	Create new project.	ii
A.3	Create empty scenario.	iii
A.4	Select a Model Family as ZigBee.	iv
A.5	Select a Node model in ZigBee component.	v
A.6	Net work topology for Teen protocol	vi
A.7	Net work topology for PEGASIS protocol	vii
A.8	Net work topology for LEACH protocol	viii

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
3.1	Simulation Setting	34
4.1	summarizes the comparison between the three protocols	48

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BS	Base station
CH	Cluster head
CSMA/CA	carrier sense multiple accesses with collision avoidance
FFDs	Full function devices
HEAR	Hop-based Energy Aware Routing
HT	Hard threshold
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
LEACH	Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy.
MAC	media access control
OPNET	Optimized Network Engineering Tools
PEGASIS	Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information System.
RFDs	Reduced function devices
ST	Soft threshold
TEEN	Threshold sensitive Energy Efficient sensor Network.
WLANS	Wireless Local Area Network
WSN	Wireless Sensor Network.