قال تعالى :

لَقَدْ جَاءَكُمْ رَسُولٌ مِّنْ أَنفُسِكُمْ عَزِيزٌ عَلَيْهِ مَا عَنِتُمْ حَرِيضٌ عَلَيْكُم بِالْمُؤْمِنِينَ رَؤُوفٌ رَّحِيمٌ {التوبة/128} فَإِن تَوَلَّوْا فَقُلْ حَسْبِيَ اللّهُ لا إِلَهَ إِلاَّ هُوَ عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَهُوَ رَبُّ الْعَرْشِ الْعَظِيمِ {التوبة/129}

صدق الله العظيم

سورة التوبة – الآيات (128 – 129)

Dedication

Dedicated to

My parents

Whose blessings

Made my dream

A reality

And

To

My Wife and Children

Acknowledgement

Extend my thanks to Centre for Engineering and Technical Studies and Sudan University of Science and Technology to have opportunity for a master's degree in construction management in a way that compromise scientific approach and scientific expertise and teachers highly qualified and symbols in construction industry in Sudan as they were in construction management.

And I thank Dr. Eng. Nadir Mohammed Hassanein who supervises this research by attention and care. I also thank my colleague Eng. Salah El-Din Ajabani assistant Supervisor of this research and give his time and his views and dialogue, he has my thanks and appreciation.

Also I thank for Eng/Suha and Mr. Yassin Ibrahim for their cooperation and assistance to me in the final image research output situation presented in this research.

Also thank everyone who contribute and help in the output of this research.

ABSTRACT: -

The Selection of the suitable contractor is a complicated decision making for construction clients; it requires long methods depending on measurement and evaluation techniques. These methods are related to each other in most of their configurations. They very often conflict in-so far as improvement in one often results in decline of another (s).

In order to select the most appropriate contractor for the project and prepare the most realistic and accurate bid proposal, stakeholders have to know all financial, technical and general information, regarding the project environment.

This research represents a Systemic approach for assessing and evaluating Contractors data and offers for the purpose of selecting the suitable contractor.

In this research a Systemic approach has been applied on case study at assessment phase to bidders document and evaluated by evaluation criteria previously included in the bid documents. The Committee was formed of four experts to which they supervised the handover of tender documents to the contractors and receipt envelopes from contractors on the date and time for the submission of bids and bids were opened as specified in the bidding documents on the same day of receipt and the Committee reviewed administrative requirements such as signature by the proper authority, required securities and offer validity. In this case it was found that only four bidders had submitted all documents and information required by the bidding documents. The remaining bidders did not submit complete information. These documents has been evaluated for the deficiency each bidder alone to make an appropriate decision to accept or Rejected the offer. The Committee also reviewed technical and financial documents to insure the eligibility of bidders and the project implementation time and payment terms, correction of arithmetic errors and compliance of documents submitted by the contractor with the technical and financial conditions.

The approach is used to develop a process for the assessment of contractor data, and the steps to be followed by the consultant and for client to determine the lowest Substantially responsive bid in accordance with the terms, conditions and specifications stipulated in the bidding documents, further, the evaluation procedure, if strictly followed, will provide fairness to all bidders as it will produce a transparent, fair and accurate outcome of the bidding.

The compiled analysed data gave strong evidences about the importance of having standardized polices in practicing tendering process. The drawbacks that always happen in running classic bidding could minimised by using recommended methods.

The research ends up with some recommendation in the field which might add a lot in developing the professions if applied logically.

مستخلص

اختيار مقاول رئيسي هي عملية معقدة لعملاء التشييد ، فذلك يتطلب عدد ا من معايير القياس و التصميم في وقت واحد و العديد من هذه المعايير متصلة ببعضها البعض بطريقة معقدة و كثيرا ما يتعارض بعضها البعض وكذلك يمكن تحسين بعضها .

حتى يتم تحديد أنسب مقاول للمشروع و إعداد مقترح واقعي و دقيق يجب أن يكون أصحاب المسلحة على علم بجميع المعلومات المالية و التقنية و العامة.

تم عمل هذا البحث في محاولة لعمل أسلوب منهجي لتقدير و تقييم بيانات المقاولين عند تجهيز وفرز وثائق العطاءات.

فى هذا البحث تم تطبيق منهج على حالة دراسيه فى مرحلة تقيييم عروض المقاولين وتم التقييم على حسب معايير التقييم التى ضمنت مسبقا فى مستندات العطاء.

تم تكوين لجنه من أربعه خبراء والتى اشرفت على تسليم مستندات العطاء للمقاولين واستلام المظاريف من المقاولين في موعدها المحدد وتم فتح المظاريف في نفس يوم الاستلام وقامت اللجنه بمراجعة الاجراءات الاداريه مثل ان تكون المستندات ممهوره بامضاء احد المسئولين في الشركه وختم جداول الكميات وخطاب تفويض وخطاب الضمان 2% من قيمة العقد الكليه. في هذه الحاله الدراسيه وجد ان بعض المقاولين لم يكملوا هذه المستندات وتم تقييم النقص لكل مقاول بمفرده حتى يتم اتخاذ القرار المناسب في قبول العرض او رفضه كذلك قامت اللجنه بمراجعة المستندات المفنيه والماليه وتقييمها وتشمل اهلية المقاول لتنفيذ هذا المشروع وزمن تنفيذ المشروط وشروط الدفع ومراجعة العمليات الحسابيه وملائمة المستندات المقدمه من المقاول مع الشروط الفنيه والماليه.

هذا النهج يمكن استخدامه لتطوير عملية تقييم بيانات المقاول و الخطوات التى يتم إتباعها بواسطة المالك و الإستشاري لتحديد أقل عطاء مستجيب إستجابة كبيره وفقا للأحكام و الشروط و المواصفات المنصوص عليها في وثائق العطاء.

مما يعطى أكبر قدر للعدالة بين المقاولين المتقدمين للعطاء وكذلك يكون هنالك شفافية في عملية تقييم العطاءات وعدالة بصورة دقيقة في مخرجات العطاءات.

المعلومات التى تم تحليلها اثبتت بصوره كبيره اهمية منهجية طرق العطاءات مما يؤدى الى تقليل سلبيات الطرق التقليديه. البحث توصل لبعض التوصيات التى يمكن ان تضيف كثيرا الى طرق تقييم العطاءات اذا تم تطبيقها بصوره مثلى.

List of Tables

| Table "1" | Ranking in descending order and Variation | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Table "2" | Record of Bid Opening | |
| Table "3" | Basic Data | |
| Table "4" | Examination of completeness of Bid Documents | |
| Table "5" | Summary of Bid Prices | |
| Table "6" | Examination of completeness of Bid Documents | |
| Table "7" | Time for Completion of Major work items & | |
| | Assessment of construction methods | |
| Table "8" | Bid price Comparison with price adjustments. | |
| Table "9" | Comparison of Construction Equipment | |
| Table "10" | Subcontracting | |
| Table "11" | payment Schedule Bidders No. 6 | |
| Table "11A" | payment Scheduled Bidders No. 3 | |
| Table "11B" | payment Schedule Bidders No. 4 | |
| Table "12" | Construct facilities Bidder No .6 | |
| Table "12 A" | Construct facilities Bidder No .3. | |
| Table "12 B" | Construct facilities Bidder No .4. | |
| Table "13" | Prices for sections of the work as percentages of the | |
| | Total Bid (LC). | |

List of Figures and Appendixes

| Tig i Didders vs I otal Amount (LC) | Fig"1" | Bidders Vs Total Amount (LC) | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--|
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--|

Fig"2" Bidders Vs Variations % to the lowest. .

Fig "3" Cash Flow - Bid No - 4.

Fig "4" Cash Flow - Bid No - 3.

Fig "5" Cash Flow - Bid No - 6.

Fig "6" Engineer Estimate VS Bidders Estimate

Fig "7" Comparison of Engineer Estimate with Bid Price for

Main Active

Appendix 1 Basic Data Sheet

Appendix2 Attendance Sheet For Opening of Bids

Appendix3 Bidders and Bid Prices

Appendix4 Substantive Responsiveness of Bids

Appendix5 Salient Features Of Bids

Appendix6 Bid Price Comparison

Acronyms

ADB Assian Development Bank

IBRD International Bank for Reconstruction and Development

(World Bank)

IDA International Development Agency

GOS Government of Sudan

ACT Financial and Accounting Proceeding Regulations

TBM Tunnel Boring Machine

LC local Currency

Table of Content

| (i) Dedication. | ii |
|--|-----------|
| (ii) Acknowledgment.(iii) Abstract. | iii iv |
| (IV) List of Tables. | vii |
| (V) List of Figures and Appendices. | vii |
| (VI) Acronyms. | ix |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE | |
| Introduction | |
| 1.1 Preamble | 1 |
| 1.2 Scope of Research | 2 |
| 1. 3 Research Problem | 3 |
| 1. 4 Research Methodology | 3 |
| 1.5 Research Hypotheses | 4 |
| 1.6 Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.7 Research Limitation | 4 |

Chapter Two BID EVALUATION PROCEDURE

| 2.1 Introduction | 5 | |
|--|----|--|
| 2.2 Tendering Procedures under Competitive Bidding | 5 | |
| 2.3 Principles in Bid Evaluation. | 7 | |
| 2.4 Single Stage bid procedure | 10 | |
| 2.4.1 Collection of Information | 10 | |
| 2.4.2 Determination of Substantial Responsiveness of bids | 11 | |
| 2.4.3 Detailed Evaluation of Bids | 13 | |
| 2.4.4 Determination of the Lowest Evaluated Substantially | | |
| Responsive Bid | 16 | |
| 2.4.5Detailed Evaluation of Bids for a civil work contract | | |
| 2.4.6 Preparation of Bid Evaluation Report | 20 | |
| CHAPTER Three | | |
| (CASE STUDY) | | |
| 3.1. Introduction | 35 | |
| 3.2. Examination for Completeness of Bids | | |
| 3.3. Determination of Substantive Responsiveness of Bids | 37 | |
| 3.4. Data Analysis | 40 | |
| 3.5. Comparison of Bids and Determination of Lowes | 46 | |
| Evaluated Bid | | |

CHAPTER FOUR

Evaluation of Offers and Discussions

| 4.1 Introduction | |
|--|----|
| 4.2 Procedures | 77 |
| 4.3 Current Evaluation Practice in Different Countries | 80 |

CHAPTER FIVE

Conclusions and Recommendation

| Conclusions | s and Recommendation | 8 |
|-------------|----------------------|---|
| Conclusion | , and recommendation | U |