## Chapter 4

## Simple Nuclear $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-Algebras and Some Theorem

We are interested in simple $C^{*}$-algebras with lower rank. We show that $A \cong B$ if and only if there is an order and unit preserving isomorphism $\gamma=$ $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):\left(K_{0}(A), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(A), T(A)\right) \cong\left(K_{0}(B), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(B), T(B)\right)$, where $\gamma_{2}^{-1}(\tau)(x)=\tau\left(\gamma_{0}(x)\right)$ for each $x \in K_{0}(A)$ and $\tau \in T(B)$.

## Section (4.1) Simple Nuclear $C^{*}$-Algebras of Tracial Topological Rank One

In this section we are only interested in simple $C^{*}$-algebras with lower rank. We show that $A \cong B$ if and only if there is an order and unit preserving isomorphism $\gamma=$ $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):\left(K_{0}(A), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(A), T(A)\right) \cong\left(K_{0}(B), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(B), T(B)\right)$, where $\gamma_{2}^{-1}(\tau)(x)=\tau\left(\gamma_{0}(x)\right)$ for each $x \in K_{0}(A)$ and $\tau \in T(B)$.
This section is a part of the program to classify separable nuclear $C^{*}$-algebras initiated by George A. Elliott (see [38] and [39]). By a classification theorem for a class of nuclear $C^{*}$ algebras, one means the following: two $C^{*}$-algebras in the class with the same K -theoretical data are isomorphic (as $C^{*}$-algebras) and the range of the invariant can be described for the class so that given a set of K -theoretical data in the range there is a $C^{*}$-algebra in the class which possesses the given K-theoretical data. By the K-theoretical data, one usually means the Elliott invariant which contains the K-theory and traces, at least for the simple case. In this paper we are only interested in simple $C^{*}$-algebras with lower rank. By $C^{*}$-algebras of lower rank, one often means that the $C^{*}$-algebras have real rank zero, or stable rank one. Many important $C^{*}$-algebras which arise naturally are of real rank zero or stable rank one. Notably, all purely infinite simple $C^{*}$-algebras have real rank zero and many $C^{*}$-algebras arising from dynamical systems are of stable rank one. One of the classical results of this kind states that all irrational rotation $C^{*}$-algebras are simple nuclear $C^{*}$-algebras with real rank zero and stable rank one (see [34] and [117]).
One may view (simple) $C^{*}$-algebras of real rank zero and stable rank one as some kind of generalization of AF-algebras. A more suitable generalization of AF-algebras has been demon-strated to be $C^{*}$-algebras with tracial topological rank zero. Simple $C^{*}$-algebras with tracial topological rank zero have real rank zero, stable rank one, with weakly unperforated K 0 and are quasidiagonal. All simple AH-algebras with slow dimension growth and with real rank zero have tracial topological rank zero. This shows that simple $C^{*}$-algebras with zero tracial rank could have rich K-theory. Simple AH-algebras with slow dimension growth and with real rank zero have been classified in [31] (together with [9,21] and [50]). A classification theorem for unital nuclear separable simple $C^{*}$-algebras with tracial topological rank zero which satisfy the UCT was given in [93] (see also [32,36] and [24] for earlier
references). Simple $C^{*}$-algebras with tracial topological rank zero are also called TAF (tracially $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebras.
We studiedly $C^{*}$-algebras of tracial rank one. A standard example of a $C^{*}$-algebra with stable rank one is of course $\mathrm{Mk}(\mathrm{C}([0,1])$ ) (which also has tracial rank one). A notion of tracially approximately interval $C^{*}$-algebras (TAI $C^{*}$-algebras) is introduced in this paper-see Definition 2.2 below. It turns out that simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebras are the same as simple $C^{*}$-algebras with tracial topological rank no more than one. Roughly speaking, TAI $C^{*}$-algebras are those $C^{*}$-algebras whose finite subsets can be approximated by $C^{*}$-subalgebras which are finite direct sums of finite-dimensional $C^{*}$-algebras and matrix algebras over $\mathrm{C}([0,1])$ in "measure" or rather in trace.
It is proved here that simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebras have stable rank one. From a result of G. Gong [51] we observe that all simple AH-algebras with very slow dimension growth are in fact TAI $C^{*}$-algebras. It is also shown here that simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebras are quasidiagonal, their ordered K0-groups are weakly unperforated and satisfy the Riesz interpolation property, and these $C^{*}$-algebras also satisfy the Fundamental Comparison Property of Blackadar.
Elliott, Gong and Li in [36] (also [51]) give a complete classification (up to isomorphism) for simple AH-algebras with bounded dimension growth by their K-theoretical data (an important special case can be found in K. Thomsen's work [131]). G. Gong also has a proof [52] that simple AH-algebras with very slow dimension growth can be rewritten as simple AH-algebras with bounded dimension growth.
These $C^{*}$-algebras are nuclear separable simple $C^{*}$-algebras of stable rank one. Their work is a significant advance in classifying finite simple $C^{*}$-algebras after the remarkable result of [31] which classifies simple AH-algebras of real rank zero (with slow dimension growth). Therefore, it is the time to classify nuclear simple separable finite $C^{*}$-algebras with real rank other than zero without assuming that they are inductive limits (AH-algebras are inductive limits of finite direct sums of some standard homogeneous $C^{*}$-algebras) of certain special building blocks.
Since then a great deal of progress on the subject has been made. The present paper absorbs both parts of the original preprint and reflects the new developments. But it is significantly shorter than the original preprint. More importantly, the main result of the paper has been greatly im-proved and a technical condition in original preprint has been removed. Let A and $B$ be two unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebras with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1, \mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leq 1$ and satisfying the UCT. Then $A \cong B$ if and only if they have the same Elliott invariant.
Consider two $C^{*}$-algebras A and B as above. As in [36], we will construct the following approximately commutative diagram:

An important fact is the following classification of monomorphisms from $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} M_{r(k)}$ $(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$ to a simple TAI-algebra. For any unital $C^{*}$-algebra C , denote by $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$ the tracial
state space of C (it could be an empty set). Let $\mathrm{A}=\oplus_{k=1}^{n} M_{r(k)}(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$ and B be a unital simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebra. Suppose that $\emptyset_{i}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ are two unital monomorphisms which induce the same map at the level of $K_{0}$ and satisfy

$$
\tau \circ \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{a})=\tau \circ \varphi_{2}(\mathrm{a})
$$

for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ and all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$. Then there exists a sequence of unitaries $u_{n} \in \mathrm{~B}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}^{*} \varphi_{1}(\mathrm{a})=\varphi_{2}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~A}
$$

The uniqueness theorem also has to be adjusted to deal with other complications caused by the fact that our $C^{*}$-algebras are no longer assumed to have real rank zero. A careful treatment on exponential length is needed. Our existence theorem also needs to be improved from that in [93]. The existence theorem should also control the exponential length. It turns out that when $C^{*}$-algebras are assumed to have only torsion $K_{1}$, the proof can be made much shorter. This is done without using de la Harpe and Skandalis determinants as in [36].
Let A be a $C^{*}$-algebra.
Definition(4.1.1)[89]: We denote byI the class of all unital $C^{*}$-algebras with the form $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}$, where each $B_{i} \cong M_{k(i)}$ for some integer $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{i})$ or $B_{i} \cong M_{k(i)} \quad(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$. Let $\mathrm{A} \epsilon \mathrm{I}$. We have the following well-known facts.
(i) Every $C^{*}$-algebra in I is of stable rank one.
(ii) Two projections p and q in a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{I}$ are equivalent if and only if
$\tau(\mathrm{p})=\tau(\mathrm{q})$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).
(iii) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exist $\delta>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ satisfying the following: if $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where B is a $C^{*}$-algebra, then there exists a homomorphism $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ such that $\| \mathrm{h}$ (a)-L (a) $\|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathcal{F}$.
Definition(4.1.2)[89]: A unital $C^{*}$-algebra A is said to be tracially AI (TAI) if for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset$ A containing a nonzero element $\mathrm{b}, \varepsilon>0$, integer $\mathrm{n}>0$ and any full element a $\in A_{+}$, there exist a nonzero projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathrm{I} \subset \mathrm{A}$ with $\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{I}$ and $1_{I}=\mathrm{p}$, such that:
(i) $\|[x, p]\|<\varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $p x p \in \varepsilon$ I for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|p b p\| \geq\|b\|-\varepsilon$,
(iii) $n[1-p] \leq[p]$ and $1-p \leqslant a$.

A non- unital $C^{*}$-algebra A is said to be TAI if $\mathrm{A}^{\tilde{2}}$ is TAI.
In 4.10, we show that, if A is simple, condition (iii) can be replaced by
(iii') $1-\mathrm{p}$ is unitarily equivalent to a projection in eAe for any previously given nonzero projec-tion e $\in A$.
If A has the Fundamental Comparability (see [5]), condition (iii) can be replaced by (iii ") $\tau(1-\mathrm{p})<\sigma$ for any prescribed $\sigma>0$ and for all normalized quasi-traces of A.

From the definition, one sees that the part of A which may not be approximated by $C^{*}$-algebras in I has small "measure" or trace. Note in the above, if I is replaced by finitedimensional $C^{*}$-algebras, then it is precisely the definition of TAF $C^{*}$-algebras (see [92]).
Every AF-algebra is TAI. Every TAF $C^{*}$-algebra introduced in [92] is a TAI $C^{*}$-algebra. However, in general, TAI $C^{*}$-algebras have real rank other than zero. In 4.5 we will show that every simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebra has stable rank one, which implies that simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebras have real ra-nk one or zero. It is obvious that every direct limit of $C^{*}$-algebras in I is a TAI $C^{*}$-algebra. These $C^{*}$-algebras provide many examples of TAI $C^{*}$-algebras that have real rank one. However, TAI $C^{*}$-algebras may not be inductive limits of $C^{*}$-algebras in I.
Let $\mathrm{A}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}, \emptyset_{n, m}\right)$, where $A_{n}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s(n)} P_{n, i} M_{n, i}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{n, i}\right)\right) P_{n, i}, X_{n, i}$ is a finite-dimensional compact metric space and $P_{n, i} \in M_{n, i}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{n, i}\right)\right)$ is a projection for all n and Such a $C^{*}$-algebra is called an AH-algebra. Suppose that A is unital. Following [51], A is said to have very slow dimension growth if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min _{i} \frac{\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{n, i}\right)}{\left(\operatorname{dim} X_{n, i}+1\right)^{3}}=\infty
$$

A is said to have no dimension growth if there is an integer $\mathrm{m}>0$ such that $\operatorname{dim} X_{n, i} \leq \mathrm{m}$. Note these $C^{*}$-algebras may not be of real rank zero. Since these $C^{*}$-algebras could have nontrivial K1-groups (see 10.1), they are not inductive limits of $C^{*}$-algebras in I. In [92], example of simple TAF $C^{*}$-algebras which are non-nuclear was given. In particular, there are simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebras that are not even nuclear.
Lemma (4.1.3)[89]: $\quad$ Let a be a positive element in a unital $C^{*}$-algebra A with $\operatorname{sp}(a) \subset[0$, $1]$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\mathrm{b} \in A_{+}$such that $\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{b})$ is a union of finitely many mutually disjoint closed intervals and finitely many points and

$$
\|a-b\|<\varepsilon
$$

Proof: Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{K}$ be all disjoint closed intervals in sp(a) with length at least $\varepsilon / 8$ such that if $\mathrm{I} \supset I_{j}$ is an interval, then $\mathrm{I} \not \subset \mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{a})$. Let $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}=\min \left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(I_{i}, I_{j}\right), \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{d}=$ $\min \left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime} / 2, \varepsilon / 16\right)$.
Choose $J_{i}=\left\{\xi \in[0,1]: \operatorname{dist}\left(\xi, I_{j}\right)<d_{i}\right\}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ with $d_{i} \leq \mathrm{d}$ and the endpoints of $J_{i}$ are not in $\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{a})$. Since the endpoints of $J_{i}$ are not in $\operatorname{sp}(\mathrm{a})$, there are open interVals $J^{\prime}{ }_{i} \subset J_{i}$ such that $J^{\prime}{ }_{i} \subset J_{i}$ and $I_{i} \subset J^{\prime}{ }_{i}$. Set $\mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{sp(a)} \backslash\left(\mathrm{U}_{i=1}^{k} J_{i}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{sp}(\mathrm{a}) \backslash\left(\mathrm{U}_{i=1}^{k} J^{\prime}{ }_{i}\right)=$ sp (a) $\backslash\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k} \overline{J^{\prime} \imath}\right)$.Since Y is compact and Y contains no intervals with length more than $\varepsilon / 8$, it is routine to show that there are finitely many disjoint closed intervals $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, K_{n}$ in $[0,1] \backslash\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k} J_{i}\right)$ with length more than $9 \varepsilon / 64$ such that $\mathrm{Y} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{m} J_{i}$.

Note that $\left\{\overline{J_{1}^{\prime}}, \overline{J^{\prime}}, \ldots ., \overline{J^{\prime}{ }_{k}}, K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots, K_{n}\right\}$ are disjoint closed intervals. Fix a point $\xi_{j} \in K_{j}$, $\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$. One can define a continuous function $\mathcal{F}:\left(\cup_{i=1}^{k} \overline{J_{l}^{\prime}}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{s=1}^{n} K_{s}\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$ which maps each $K_{1}$ onto $I_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$ and maps $K_{j}$ to single point $\xi_{j}$ such that $|f(\xi)-\xi|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $\xi \in[0,1]$
Define $b=f(a)$. We see that $b$ meets the requirements of the lemma.
Theorem(4.1.4)[89]: Let A be a unital simple AH-algebra with very slow dimension growth. Then A is TAI.
Proof. As in [51] (and [35]), to show that A is TAI, it suffices to assume that $\mathrm{A}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}, \emptyset_{n, m}\right)$, where $A_{n}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{i(n)} M_{n(i)}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{n, i}\right)\right), X_{n, i}$ are simplicial complexes
and $\emptyset_{n, m}$ are injective. Moreover, we may also assume that A satisfies the condition of very slow dimension growth.
Let $\varepsilon>0, \mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ be a finite subset and $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{A}$ be a non-zero projection. To verify (1), (ii) and (iii' ) in 2.2, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathrm{F} \subset A_{1}$ and $\mathrm{e} \in A_{1}$. By considering each summand separately, without loss of generality, we may also assume that $A_{1}=M_{r}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})\right.$ ) for some finite simplicial complex and integer $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1} \subset \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})$ be a finite subset such that
$\mathcal{F} \subset\left\{\left(f_{i, j}\right)_{r \times r}: f_{i, j} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}\right\}$.
Let $\mathrm{J}>\mathrm{r}+1$ be an integer. Let $\varepsilon / 2 r^{2}>\eta>0$ such that $|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})|<\varepsilon / 9 r^{2}$ for all $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ whenever $\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)<2 \eta$. Let $\delta>0$ and L be as in Theorem 4.35 corresponding to $\varepsilon / 2 r^{2}, \eta$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ above. Since A is simple, as in [51], each partial map of $\emptyset_{1, m}$ (for sufficiently large m ) has the property $\operatorname{sdp}\left(\eta / 32, \varepsilon / 2 r^{2}\right)$. To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $A_{m}=M_{k}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y}))$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\emptyset_{1, m}(1)\right)>2 \mathrm{~J} L^{2} 2^{L}(\operatorname{dim} X+\operatorname{dim} Y+1)^{3}$, where Y is a finite simplicial complex. To simplify notation, by considering each summand separately, without loss of generality, we may assume that Y is connected. Since A is simple, by choosing a larger m , we further assume that $\mathrm{e} \in M_{k}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y}))$ is a non-zero projection which has the rank at least $\operatorname{rank}\left(\emptyset_{1, m}(1)\right) / \mathrm{r}$.
As in [51], there are three mutually orthogonal projections $\mathcal{Q}_{0}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{2} \in A_{m}$ and homomorphisms $\psi_{i}: A_{1} \rightarrow Q_{i} A_{m} Q_{i}(\mathrm{i}=0,1,2)$ such that:
(i) $\emptyset_{1, m}=Q_{0}+Q_{1}+\mathcal{Q}_{3}$;
(ii) $\| \emptyset_{n, m}$ (f) $-\left(\emptyset_{0}\right.$ (f) $\oplus \emptyset_{1}$ (f) $\oplus \emptyset_{2}$ (f)) $\|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{F}$;
(iii) $\psi_{2}$ factors through $M_{r}(\mathrm{C}([0,1])$;
(iv) $\psi_{1}$ has finite-dimensional range;
( v) $\mathrm{J}\left[Q_{0}\right] \leq\left[\mathcal{Q}_{1}\right]$.
Put $\psi=\psi_{i} \oplus \psi_{i}$. It follows from Lemma (4.1.3) that there is a unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B_{1} \in \mathrm{I}$ of $\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right) \operatorname{Am}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
174
$$

We also have

$$
\left[Q_{0}\right] \leq[\mathrm{e}] .
$$

Thus, A is of TAI.
Lemma (4.1.5)[89]: For any $\mathrm{d}>0$ there are $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m} \in \mathrm{C}([0,1])+$ with the following properties. For any n , and any positive element $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B}=M_{n}(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ if there exist $a_{i j} \in \mathrm{~B}$,
$\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{j}), \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$ with

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} a_{i, j}, f_{j}(x) a_{i, j}^{*}-1_{A}\right\|<1 / 2, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m},
$$

then, for any subinterval J of $[0,1]$ with $\mu(\mathrm{J}) \geq \mathrm{d}\left(\mu\right.$ is the Lebesgue measure), $\operatorname{sp}\left(\pi_{t}(\mathrm{x})\right) \quad \cap$ $\mathrm{J} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in[0,1]$, where $\pi_{t}: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow M_{n}$ is the point evaluation at t . Moreover, denote by $\mathrm{N}=\max \{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{j}): j=1,2, \ldots, m\}$, $\left|s p\left(\pi_{t}(x)\right) \cap J\right| \geq 1 / \mathrm{N}\left|s p\left(\pi_{t}(x)\right)\right|$,
where $|S|$ means the number of elements in the finite set $S$ (counting multiplicities).
Proof: Divide [0, 1] into m closed subintervals $\left\{J_{j}\right\}$ each of which has the same length $<\mathrm{d} / 4$. Let $f_{j} \in \mathrm{C}([0,1])$ be such that $0 \leq f_{j} \leq 1, f_{j}(\mathrm{t})=1$ for $\mathrm{t} \in J_{j}$ and $f_{j}(\mathrm{t})=0$ for $\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{j}\right) \geq \mu\left(J_{j}\right)$. Note that, for any subinterval $J$ with $\mu(J) \geq \mathrm{d}$, there exists j such that $J_{j} \subset J$. For any $\mathrm{t} \in[0,1]$, set

$$
\mathrm{I}=\{\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t})=0\} .
$$

Then $I$ is a (closed) ideal of A . If $\operatorname{sp}\left(\pi_{t}(\mathrm{x})\right) \cap J=\emptyset$, there would be j such that $\pi_{t}\left(f_{j}(\mathrm{x})\right)=0$. Therefore $f_{j} \in I$. But this is impossible, since there is an element $z \in \mathrm{~B}$ with

$$
z\left(\sum_{i=1} a_{i, j} f_{j}(x) a_{i, j}^{*}\right)=1_{B}
$$

For the last part of the lemma, fix $\mathrm{t} \in[0,1]$ and an interval $J$ with $\mathrm{t} \in J$ and $\mu(J) \geq \mathrm{d}$. Let $\pi_{t}$ (B) $=M_{1}(\mathrm{t})$. Then $\left|\operatorname{sp}\left(\pi_{t}(x)\right)\right|=1(\mathrm{t})$. Suppose that $J_{j} \subset J$ so that $f_{j}(\mathrm{t})=0$ for all $\mathrm{t} \in / \mathrm{J}$. Let $q_{t}$ be the spectral projection of $\pi_{t}(\mathrm{x})$ in $M_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ corresponding to $J$. Then $\mathrm{qt} \geq f_{j}\left(\pi_{t}(x)\right)$. An elementary linear algebra argument shows that rank $q_{t} \geq(1 / \mathrm{N}) 1(\mathrm{i})$.
Theorem(4.1.6)[89]: Every unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra satisfying (i) and (ii) in property (SP), i.e., every hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra contains a nonzero projection.

Corollary(4.1.7)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra satisfying (i) and (ii) 2. Then, for any
integer N , we may assume that $I=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} M_{m i}(C([0,1])) \oplus_{j=1}^{l} M_{n i}$ wherem $_{i}, n_{i} \geq N$.
Proof:In the proof of 3.2 , we see that if $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d} \geq \mathrm{N}$, since $\operatorname{sp}\left(\pi_{t}(\right.$ pap $\left.)\right) \cap J_{i}=\emptyset$ for each j , then $\pi_{t}$ (pap) has at least N distinct eigenvalues (see also the proof of 3.1). Therefore, each summand C in the proof 3.2 has rank at least N .

Proposition (4.1.8[89]: Let A be a unital TAI $C^{*}$-algebra and e $\in$ A be a full projection. Then eAe satisfies (i) and (ii), and for any full positive element a $\in e A e$, we can have (iii' ) $1-\mathrm{p} \preccurlyeq$.
If A is also simple, eAe is TAI.
Proof: Fix $\varepsilon>0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset$ eAe, an integer $\mathrm{n}>0$ and nonzero elements $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{eAe}$ with $\mathrm{a} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{b} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\{\mathrm{e}\} \cup \mathcal{F}$. Since A is TAI, there exists $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a $C^{*}$ subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{C}=\mathrm{q}$ such that:
(i) $\|[x, q]\|<\varepsilon / 64$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $q \times q \in \varepsilon / 64 \mathrm{C}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$, and $\|q b q\| \geq\|b\|-\varepsilon / 64$; and,
(iii) $\mathrm{n}[1-\mathrm{q}] \leq[\mathrm{q}]$ and $1-\mathrm{q} \leqslant$ a.

Note that, by the second part of (ii), qeq $\neq 0$. We estimate that

$$
\|(e q e)^{2}-\text { eqe } \|<\varepsilon / 64 \text { and } \quad\|e q e-q e q\|<\varepsilon / 32 .
$$

Therefore there is a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{eAe}$ such that

$$
\|p-e q e\|<\varepsilon / 16
$$

Consequently, there is a projection $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{C}$ such that

$$
\|d-p\|<\varepsilon / 8 .
$$

Note that

$$
\|q p-p q\|<\varepsilon / 8+\| q e q e-\text { eqeq } \|<\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 32=5 \varepsilon / 32
$$

and $\mathrm{B}=[\mathrm{dCd} \in \mathrm{I}$. With $\varepsilon / 2<1 / 2$, we obtain a unitary $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that

$$
\|u-1\|<\varepsilon / 4 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{u}^{*} \mathrm{~d} u=\mathrm{p} .
$$

Set $C_{1}=\mathrm{u} * \mathrm{Bu}$. Then $C_{1} \in \mathrm{I}$ and $C_{1} \subset \mathrm{eAe}$. Now $1_{C_{1}}=\mathrm{p}$,
(i) $\|[x, p]\|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{pxp} \in \varepsilon / 2 C_{1}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|p b p\| \geq\|b\|-\varepsilon / 2$.

We also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|(e-p)-(1-q)(e-p)(1-q)\| \\
\leq\|(e-p)-(e-p)(1-q)+q(e-p)(1-q)\| \\
<\|(e-p) q\|+\varepsilon / 16<5 \varepsilon / 32+\varepsilon / 64+\|q e q-q p q\|+\varepsilon / 16 \\
<5 \varepsilon / 32+\varepsilon / 64+\varepsilon / 16+\|q e q-q e q e q\|+\varepsilon / 16<9 \varepsilon / 32
\end{gathered}
$$

We have (with $\varepsilon<1$ )

$$
\left(3^{\prime}\right)(e-p) \preccurlyeq(1-q) \preccurlyeq a .
$$

Finally, if we assume that A is simple, there is a nonzero projection $p_{1} \leq \mathrm{p}$ such that $\mathrm{n}\left[p_{1}\right] \leq$ [p]. There is a nonzero projection $p_{1} \in \overline{a A a}$. We obtain a nonzero projection $q_{1} \leq p_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{n}\left[q_{1}\right] \leq\left[p_{1}\right]$. Applying the first part of the proof to $(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}) \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p})$, we obtain a projection $\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \leq$ $(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p})$ and a unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $C_{2} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{C_{2}}=\mathrm{p}$ such that:
(i") $\left\|\left[(e-p) x(e-p), p^{\prime}\right]\right\|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii" ) $\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{xp}{ }^{\prime} \in \varepsilon / 2 C_{2}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$, and
(iii ") (e - p - p' ) $\leqslant p_{1}$.

Now since $\mathrm{n}\left[p_{1}\right] \leq[\mathrm{p}] \leq\left[\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right) \preccurlyeq(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}) \preccurlyeq \mathrm{a}$, we obtain
(iii) $\mathrm{n}\left[\left(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)\right] \leq\left[\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right) \preccurlyeq \mathrm{a}$.

We also have $\left\|\left[x,\left(p+p^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right) \in \varepsilon C_{1} \oplus C_{2}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence eAe is TAI.
Corollary (4.1.9) [89]: If A is a unital simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra, then condition (2) can be strengthened to (ii') $\operatorname{pxp} \in_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{B}$ and $\|p x p\| \geq\|x\|-\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.
We omit the proof.
Theorem (4.1.10)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra. Then A is TAI if and only if $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ is TAI for all n (or for some $\mathrm{n}>0$ ).
Proof. If $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ is TAI, then by identifying A with a unital hereditary $C^{*}$ - subalgebra of $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$. We know A is TAI. It remains to prove the "only if" part.
We prove this in two steps. The first step is to prove that $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ satisfies (i) and (ii) To do this, we let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a finite subset of the unit ball of $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$. Set $\mathcal{G}=\left\{f_{i j} \in \mathrm{~A}\right.$ : $\left.\left(f_{i j}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}$. Note that $\mathcal{G} \subset A$. Since A is TAI, there exists a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{I}$ such that:
(i) $\|[x, p]\|<\varepsilon / 2 n^{2}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{pxp} \in \varepsilon_{/ 2 n^{2}} \mathrm{~B}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{G}$ and for some $x_{1} \in \mathcal{G},\left\|p x_{1} p\right\| \geq\left\|x_{1}\right\| 1-\varepsilon / 2 n^{2}$.

Put $\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}) \in M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\mathrm{D}=M_{n}(\mathrm{~B})$. Then, it is easy to check that
(i) $\|[f, P]\|<\varepsilon$ and
(ii)Pf $\mathrm{P} \in \varepsilon \mathrm{D}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\left\|p f_{1} p\right\| \geq\left\|f_{1}\right\|-\varepsilon$ (if $f_{1}$ is prescribed).

This completes the first step. Now we also know that $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ has (SP). Let a $\in M_{n}$ (A) be given. Choose any nonzero projection e $\in \overline{a M_{n}(A) a}$. Since $M_{n}(\mathrm{~A})$ is simple and has (SP), in [92], there is a nonzero projection $\mathrm{q} \leq e$ and $[\mathrm{q}] \leq\left[1_{A}\right]$, there exists a nonzero projection $q_{1} \leq \mathrm{q}$ such that $(\mathrm{n}+1)\left[q_{1}\right] \leq[\mathrm{q}]$. In the first step, we can also require, for any integer $\mathrm{N}>0$, that (iii) $\mathrm{N}\left[1_{A}-\mathrm{p}\right] \leq[\mathrm{p}]$ and $1_{A}-\mathrm{p} \leqslant q_{1}$.

This implies that
(iii) $\mathrm{N}\left[1_{M_{n(A)}}-\mathrm{P}\right] \leq[\mathrm{P}]$ and $\left(1_{M_{n(A)}}-\mathrm{P}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{q} \leqslant \mathrm{e}$.

Therefore $M_{n(A)}$ is TAI.
Next we show that every simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra has the property introduced by Popa [116].
Proposition (4.1.11)[89]: Let A be a unital simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra. Then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a finite-dimensional $C^{*}$ - algebra F $\subset$ A with $1_{F}=\mathrm{p}$ such that
(P1) $\|[x, p]\|<\varepsilon$ and
(P2) $\operatorname{pxp} \in_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{F}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|p x p\| \geq\|x\|-\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$.
Proof. The clear that it suffices to prove the following claim: for any unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra B $\in I$, the proposition holds for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset B \subset A$.

This can be further reduced to the case that $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}([0,1]) \otimes M_{k}$. Moreover, it suffices to prove the claim for the case in which $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}([0,1])$. In this reduced case, we only need to consider the case in which $\mathcal{F}$ contains a single element $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{B}$, where x is the identity function on $[0,1]$. Now, for any $\varepsilon>0$, let $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ be $\varepsilon / 4$-dense in $[0,1]$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)>\varepsilon / 8$ if $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. Denote by $f_{i}$ continuous functions with $0 \leq f_{i} \leq 1$, which are one on $\left(\xi_{i}-\varepsilon / 32, \xi_{i}+\varepsilon / 32\right.$ ) and zero on $[0,1] \backslash\left(\xi_{i}-\varepsilon / 16, \xi_{i} \varepsilon / 16\right)$. By 3.2 , there is a nonzero projection $e_{i} \in \quad \overline{f_{l} A f_{l}}$. Note that $e_{i} e_{j}=0$ if $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. Set $\mathrm{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}$.

$$
\left\|x-\left[(1-p) x(1-p)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} e_{i}\right]\right\|<\varepsilon / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad\|[p, x]\|<\varepsilon \text { by }(\mathrm{P} 1) .
$$

Let $F_{1}$ be the finite-dimensional $C^{*}$ - subalgebra generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Then by (P2), $\operatorname{pxp} \in \varepsilon F_{1}$ and $\|p x p\| \geq\|x\|-\varepsilon$.
Theorem(4.1.12)[89]: Every unital separable simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra is MF [7].
Proof: Let A be such a $C^{*}$ - algebra and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a dense sequence in the unit ball of A, there are projections pn $\in A$ and finite-dimensional $C^{*}$ - subalgebras $B_{n}$ with $1_{B_{n}}=p_{n}$ such that
(i) $\left\|\left[p_{n}, x_{i}\right]\right\|<1 / \mathrm{n}$, and
(ii) $\mathrm{p} x_{i} \mathrm{p} \in 1 / \mathrm{n} B_{n}$ and $\left\|p_{n} x_{i} p_{n}\right\| \geq\left\|x_{i}\right\|-1 / \mathrm{n}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$, n .

Let $i d_{n}: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ be the identity map and let $\mathrm{j}: B_{n} \rightarrow M_{K_{(n)}}$ be a unital embedding. We note that such j exists provided that $K_{(n)}$ is large enough, there exists a completely positive map $L_{n}^{\prime}: p_{n} \mathrm{~A} p_{n} \rightarrow M_{K_{(n)}}$ such that $L_{n}^{\prime} \backslash B_{n}=\mathrm{j} \circ i d_{n}$. Since $L_{n}^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ is unital.
$L^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ is a contraction. We define $L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow M_{K_{(n)}}$ by $L_{n}(\mathrm{a})=L_{n}^{\prime}{ }_{n}\left(p_{n}\right.$ a $\left.p_{n}\right)$. Let $y_{i, n} \in B_{n}$ such that $\left\|p_{n} x_{i} p_{n-} y_{i, n}\right\|<1 / \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots$ Then

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-p_{n} x_{i} p_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|L_{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i, n}\right)-\left(y_{i, n}-p_{n} x_{i} p_{n}\right)\right\|<2 / \mathrm{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

As $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.Combining this with (i) above, we see that

$$
\left\|L_{n}(a b)-L_{n}(a) L_{n}(b)\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Define $\Phi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)}$ by sending a to $\left\{L_{n}(\mathrm{a})\right\}$. Then $\Phi$ is a completely
positive map. Denote by $\pi: \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)} / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)}$. Then
$\pi \circ \Phi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)} / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)}$.
is a (nonzero) homomorphism. Since A is simple, $\pi \circ \Phi$ is injective. It follows from [4, 3.22] that A is an MF-algebra.
Corollary (4.1.3)[89]: Every separable unital $C^{*}$ - algebra satisfying (P1) and (P2) is MF. Proof: We actually proved this above. Note, simplicity is not needed for injectivity since $\left\|p_{n} x p_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$.
Proposition (4.1.14)[89]: Every nuclear separable simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra is quasidiagonal. Proof. As in [7], a separable nuclear MF $C^{*}$ - algebra is NF, and it is quasidiagonal. In fact it is strong NF (see [8]).

Corollary. (4.1.15)[89]: Every unital separable simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra has at least one tracial state.
Proof: It is well known that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)} / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)}$. has tracial states. Tracial states are defined by weak limits of tracial states on each $M_{m(n)}$. Let $\tau$ be such a tracial state. Then, in the proof of 4.2, let $t(a)=\tau \circ \pi \circ \Phi(a)$.
Theorem(4.1.16)[89]: A unital simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebra has stable rank one.
Proof:Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra. Take a nonzero element a $\in \mathrm{A}$. We will show that a is a norm limit of invertible elements in A. So we may assume that a is not invertible and $\|a\|=1$. Since A is finite, a is not one-sided invertible. For any $\varepsilon>0$, by $[50,3.2]$, there is a zero divisor $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that $\|a-b\|<\varepsilon / 2$. We further assume that $\|b\| \leq 1$. Therefore, by [122], there is a unitary $u \in A$ such that $u b$ is orthogonal to a nonzero positive element $c \in A$. Set $d=u b$. Since A has (SP), there exists a nonzero projection e $\in$ A such that de $=\mathrm{ed}=0$. Since A is simple and has (SP), we may write $\mathrm{e}=e_{1} \oplus e_{2}$ with $e_{2} \lesssim e_{1}$. Note that $\mathrm{d} \leq(1-\mathrm{e})$ $\leq\left(1-e_{1}\right)$. Moreover, $\left(1-e_{1}\right) \mathrm{A}\left(1-e_{1}\right)$ is TAI.
Let $\eta>0$ be a positive number. There is a projection $\mathrm{p} \in\left(1-e_{1}\right) \mathrm{A}\left(1-e_{1}\right)$ and a unital $C^{*}$ subalgebra $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{B}=\mathrm{p}$ such that:
(i) $\|[x, p]\|<\eta$,
(ii) $\mathrm{pxp} \in \eta \mathrm{B}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$, and
(iii) $\left[1-e_{1}-\mathrm{p}\right] \leq\left[e_{2}\right]$,
where $\mathcal{F}$ contains $d$. Thus, with sufficiently small $\eta$, we may assume that
$\left\|d-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 16$,
where $d_{1} \in \mathrm{~B}$ and $d_{2} \in\left(1-e_{1}-\mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{A}\left(1-e_{1}-\mathrm{p}\right)$.
Since $C^{*}$ - algebras in I have stable rank one and $B \in I$, there is an invertible $d^{\prime} \in \mathrm{B}$ such that $\left\|d_{1}-d^{\prime}{ }_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 8$.
Let v be a partial isometry such that $\mathrm{v} * \mathrm{v}=\left(1-e_{1}-\mathrm{p}\right)$ and $\mathrm{vv} * \leq e_{1}$. Set $e^{\prime}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{vv} *$ and $d^{\prime}{ }_{2}=$ $\varepsilon / 8\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+(\varepsilon / 8) \mathrm{v}+(\varepsilon / 8) \mathrm{v} *+d_{2}$. Note that $(\varepsilon / 8) \mathrm{v}+(\varepsilon / 8) \mathrm{v} *+d_{2}$ has matrix decomposition

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \varepsilon / 8 \\
\varepsilon / 8 & d_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore $d^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ is invertible in $(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p})$. This implies that $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}={d^{\prime}}_{1}+d^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ is invertible in A .
We also have

$$
\left\|d_{2}^{\prime}-d_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon / 8
$$

whence

$$
\left\|d-d^{\prime}\right\|<\left\|d-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)-\left(d^{\prime}{ }_{1}+d^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 16+\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 8<3 \varepsilon / 8
$$

We have

$$
\left\|b-u * d^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|u * u\left(b-u * d^{\prime}\right)\right\|=\left\|u b-d^{\prime}\right\|<3 \varepsilon / 8 .
$$

Finally,

$$
\left\|a-u * d^{\prime}\right\| \leq\|a-b\|+\left\|b-u * d^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2+3 \varepsilon / 8<\varepsilon .
$$

Note that $\mathrm{u} * \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}$ is invertible.
Corollary (4.1.17)[89]: Every unital simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebra has the cancellation of projections, i.e., if $\mathrm{p} \oplus \mathrm{e} \sim \mathrm{q} \oplus \mathrm{e}$ then $\mathrm{p} \sim \mathrm{q}$.
Theorem (4.1.18)[89]: Every unital simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebra has the following Fundamental Compara-
bility [5]: if $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{A}$ are two projections with $\tau(\mathrm{p})<\tau(\mathrm{q})$ for all tracial states $\tau$ on A , then p <q.
Proof:Denote by T (A) the space of all normalized traces. It is compact. There is $\mathrm{d}>0$ such that $\tau(q-p)>d$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$. It follows that there exists a nonzero projection $\mathrm{e} \leq \mathrm{q}$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{e})<\mathrm{d} / 2$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. Set $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}=\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{e}$. Then $\tau\left(\mathrm{q}^{\prime}-\mathrm{p}\right)>\mathrm{d} / 2$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).
It follows from [8, 6.4] that there exists a nonzero $\mathrm{a} \in A_{+}$such that $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}-\mathrm{p}-(\mathrm{d} / 4)=\mathrm{a}+z$ and there is a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in A

$$
z=\sum_{n} u_{n} * u_{n}-\sum_{n} u_{n} u_{n} *
$$

Choose an integer $\mathrm{N}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{n} u_{n} * u_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n} * u_{n}\right\|<\mathrm{d} / 128 \text { and }\left\|\sum_{n} u_{n} u_{n} *-\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n} * u_{n}\right\|<d / 128
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}^{\prime}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{un}, \mathrm{u} * \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ and let $0<\varepsilon<1$. Since A is TAI, there exists a projection $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a $\mathrm{C} *$-subalgebra $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{B}=\mathrm{P}$ such that:
(i) $\|[x, P]\|<\varepsilon / 2 \mathrm{~N}$,
(ii) $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \in_{\varepsilon / 2 N} \mathrm{~B}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and
(iii) $(1-\mathrm{P})$ そe.

With sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, using a standard perturbation argument, we obtain projections q " = $q_{1}+q_{2}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}=p_{1}+p_{2}$, where $q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}$ are projections, $p_{1}, q_{1} \in \mathrm{~B}$ and $q_{2}, p_{2} \in(1-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{A}(1$ $-\mathrm{p})$ such that

$$
\left\|q^{\prime \prime}-q^{\prime}\right\|<\mathrm{d} / 32 \text { and } \quad\left\|p^{\prime}-p\right\|<\mathrm{d} / 32 .
$$

Furthermore (with sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ ), we obtain $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{N} \in \mathrm{~B}$ such that $\left\|\left(q_{1}-p_{1}-(d / 4) P\right)-\left(b+\sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{n} * v_{n} \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{n} v_{n} *\right)\right\|<\mathrm{d} / 16$,
where $\mathrm{b} \in B_{+}$and $\|P a P-b\|<\varepsilon / 2 \mathrm{~N}$. Denote by $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$ the space of all normalized traces on B.

Then

$$
\tau\left(q_{1}-p_{1}-(\mathrm{d} / 4) \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{b}\right)>-\mathrm{d} / 16
$$

for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (B). Therefore

$$
\tau\left(q_{1}-p_{1}\right)>\mathrm{d} / 4-\mathrm{d} / 16=3 \mathrm{~d} / 16
$$

for all $\tau \in T(B)$. This implies that $p_{1} \preccurlyeq q_{1} q 1$ in $B$, whence also in A. Since $p_{2} \prec(1-\mathrm{P}) \prec \mathrm{e}$, we conclude that

$$
[\mathrm{p}]=\left[p_{1}+p_{2}\right] \leq\left[q_{1}\right]+[\mathrm{e}] \leq[\mathrm{q}] .
$$

Theorem(4.1.19)[89]: Let A be a unital simple TAI $C^{*}$-algebra. Then $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$ is weakly unperforated and satisfies the Riesz interpolation property.
Theorem (4.1.20)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra. Then A is TAI if and only if the following hold. For any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ containing a nonzero element $\mathrm{b}, \varepsilon>0$, integers n $>0$ and $\mathrm{N}>0$, and any nonzero projection $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{A}$, there exist a nonzero projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra
$I=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} M_{n_{i}}(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$, with $1_{I}=\mathrm{p}$ and $\min \left\{n_{i}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{k}\right\} \geq \mathrm{N}$, such that:
(i) $\|[x, p]\|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{F}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{pxp} \in \varepsilon$ I for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|p b p\| \geq\|b\|-\varepsilon$, and
(iii) $1-\mathrm{p}$ is unitarily equivalent to a projection in eAe.

Proof: To show that the above is sufficient for A being TAI we note that A has property (SP) Then, a result of Cuntz, there exists a projection $q \in e A e$ such that $(n+1)[q] \leq[e]$. Then it is clear that the above (iii' ) implies (iii) (if we use the projection q instead of e).
To see it is also necessary, we use the fact that simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebras have stable rank one (so they have cancellation).
Definition(4.1.21)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra. Then A has tracial topological rank no more than one, denote by $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$, if the following holds. For any $\varepsilon>0$, and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ containing a nonzero element a $\in A_{+}$, there is a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra C in A with $C=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} M_{n_{i}}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\left[X_{i}\right)\right)\right.$, where each $X_{i}$ is a finite CW complex with dimension no more than
One such that $1_{C}=\mathrm{p}$ satisfying the following:
(i) $\|p x-x p\|<\varepsilon$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $\mathrm{pxp} \in_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and
(iii) $1-\mathrm{p}$ is equivalent to a projection in $\overline{a A a}$.

In the above definition, if T can be chosen to be a finite-dimensional $\mathrm{T}^{*}$-subalgebra then we write $\operatorname{TR}(A)=0$ (see [91]). If $\operatorname{TR}(A) \leq 1$ but $\operatorname{TR}(A) \neq 0$ (see [91]) then we will write $\operatorname{TR}(A)$ $=1$.
In the light of [91] in what follows, we will replace unital simple TAI $C^{*}$ - algebras by unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebras with tracial topological rank no more than one and write $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$.
Definition (4.1.22)[89]: A unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra A is said to be tracially approximately divisible if for any $\varepsilon>0$, any projection $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{A}$, any integer $\mathrm{N}>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exists a projection $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{A}$ and there exists a finite-dimensional $C^{*}$ - subalgebra B with each simple summand having rank at least N such that:
(i) $\|q x-x q\|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $\| y(1-q) x(1-q)-(1-q) x(1-q) y] \|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{B}$ with \| $y \| \leq 1$, and
(iii) q is unitarily equivalent to a projection of eAe.

Of course if A is approximately divisible, then A is tracially approximately divisible (see [4]).
Theorem(4.1.23)[89]: Every nonelementary unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$ is tracially ap-proximately divisible.
Proof. Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$. Fix $\varepsilon>0, \sigma>0, \mathrm{~N}>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$. Let $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$ with $\|b\|=1$ and assume that $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{F}$. There exist a projection p $\in \mathrm{A}$ and a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \in I$ with $1_{C}=\mathrm{p}$ such that:
(i) $\|p x-x p\|<\varepsilon / 4$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{pxp} \in_{\varepsilon / 4} \mathrm{C}$ and $\|p b p\| \geq\|b\|-\varepsilon / 2$, and
(iii) $\tau(1-\mathrm{p})<\sigma / 2$ for all traces $\tau$ on A.

Write $\mathrm{C}=\oplus C_{i}$, where $C_{i}=M_{l(i)}(\mathrm{C}[0,1])$, or $C_{i}=M_{l(i)}$. It will become clear that, without loss of generality, to simplify notation, we may assume that $\mathrm{C}=C_{i}$ (i.e., there is only one summand). If $\mathrm{C}=M_{l}$, let $\{$ eij $\}$ be matrix units for $M_{l}$. Since A is not elementary, there is a positive element a $\in e_{11} \mathrm{~A} e_{11}$ such that $\mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{a})=[0,1]$ (see [1, p. 6.1]). This implies that $\mathrm{C} \subset M_{l}$ $(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$. So, we may assume that $\mathrm{C}=M_{l}(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1} \subset \mathrm{C}$ be a finite subset such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{pxp}, \mathcal{G}_{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 4$
for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite subset of C containing $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}$ and $e_{i j} \mathrm{~g} e *_{i j}$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.
Let $\eta>0$. Denote by $\delta$ the positive number in [59] corresponding to $\eta$ (instead of $\varepsilon$ ). Let $\left\{f_{1}\right.$, $\left.f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\} \subset \mathrm{C}([0,1])$ respect to $\delta(=\mathrm{d})$. We identify $\mathrm{C}([0,1])$ with $e_{11} \mathrm{C} e_{11}$. Since $e_{11} \mathrm{~A}$ $e_{11}$ is simple, there are $b_{i j} \in e_{11} \mathrm{~A} e_{11}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1} b_{i j} f_{j} b *_{i j}-e_{11}\right\|<1 / 16
$$

$\mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ be a finite subset containing $\left\{f_{j}, b_{i j}, b *_{i j}\right\} \cup\left\{a_{i j} \in e_{11} \mathrm{Ae} e_{11}\right.$ : $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{l \times l} \in \mathcal{G}$ \}. By 3.4, $\operatorname{TR}\left(e_{11} \mathrm{~A} e_{11}\right) 1$. So for any $0<\sigma<\eta / 2$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{G}_{3} \supset \mathcal{G}_{2}$, there exist aprojection $\mathrm{q} \in e_{11} \mathrm{~A} e_{11}$ and a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{1} \subset e_{11} \mathrm{~A} e_{11}$ with $1_{C_{1}}=\mathrm{q}$ and $C_{1} \in \mathrm{I}$ satisfying the following:
(a) $\|q x-x q\|<\sigma$,
(b) $\operatorname{qxq} \in_{\sigma} C_{1}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$,
(c) $\tau\left(e_{11}-q\right)<\sigma / 2 l$ for all traces $\tau$.

With sufficiently small $\sigma$ and sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, we may assume that there exists a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathrm{C}([0,1]) \rightarrow C_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varnothing(x)-q x q\|<\eta / 2 \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{G}_{2} \cap \mathrm{C}([0,1]) . \tag{b'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that we also have $c_{i j} \subset C_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1} c_{i j} \emptyset\left(f_{j}\right) c *_{i j}-q\right\|<1 / 8, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} .
$$

We are now it follows that $\operatorname{Sp}(\emptyset \mathrm{t})$ is $\delta$-dense in [0, 1]. Byapplying in [59] there is a homomorphism $\psi: \mathrm{C}([0,1]) \rightarrow C_{1}$ and there is a finite-dimensional $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathrm{F}=\oplus_{i} F_{i}$ , where each $F_{i}$ is simple and $\operatorname{dim} F_{i} \geq \mathrm{N}$, with $1_{F}=\mathrm{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\psi(f)-\emptyset(f)\|<\eta / 2 \text { for all } \mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{G}_{2} \text { and } \\
\|\psi(g), b\|=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{C}([0,1])$ and $\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{F}$. Set $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{F}, \ldots, \mathrm{F})$ in $\mathrm{F} \otimes M_{l}, \psi^{\prime}=\psi \otimes i d_{M_{l}}$, $\emptyset^{\prime}=\emptyset \otimes i d_{M_{l}}$ and $\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}, \ldots, \mathrm{q}) \in M_{l}\left(C_{1}\right)$. With sufficiently small $\eta$ and large $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, we have

$$
\left\|\psi^{\prime}(g)-\emptyset^{\prime}(g)\right\|<\varepsilon / 2 \text { for } \mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}
$$

We also have

$$
\left\|\left[\psi^{\prime}(f), c\right]\right\|=0 \text { for } \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{C} \text { and } \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}
$$

These imply that

$$
\|[P x P, c]\|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{~F} \text { and } \mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{~F}^{\prime} .
$$

Note that $1_{F}=P$. Wealso have $\tau(1-\mathrm{P}) \leq \sigma / 2+l \sigma / 2 l=\sigma$.
We conclude that A is tracially approximately divisible. When $\mathrm{C}=\oplus C_{i}$, it is clear that we can do exactly the same as above for each summand. Let $d_{i}=1_{C_{i}}$. If we find a matrix algebra $F_{i} \in d_{i} \mathrm{~A} d_{i}$ with rank greater than N which commutes with $C_{i}$, then $\oplus F_{i}$ commutes with C.
$\operatorname{Lemma(4.1.24)[89]:~Let~A~be~a~unital~nuclear~simple~} C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, any $\sigma>0$, any integer $\mathrm{n}>0$, and any finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exist mutually orthogonal projections $\mathrm{q}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ with $\mathrm{q} \preccurlyeq p_{1}$ and $\left[p_{1}\right]=\left[p_{i}\right](\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$, a $C^{*}$ subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{C}=p_{1}$ and completely positive linear contractions $L_{1}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{qAq}$ and $L_{2}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|x-\left(L_{1}(x) \oplus \operatorname{diag}\left(L_{2}(x), L_{2}(x), \ldots, L_{2}(x)\right)\right)\right\|<\varepsilon \text { and } \\
\left\|L_{i}(x y)-L_{i}(x) L_{i}(y)\right\|<\varepsilon,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $L_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ is repeated n times, for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{F}$ and $\tau(\mathrm{q})<\sigma$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).
Proof. From the proof of 5.4, we have the following. For any $\eta>0$, any integer $K>0$, any integer $\mathrm{N}>4 \mathrm{~K} n^{2}$ and finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ (containing $1_{A}$ ), there exists a projection $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a finite-dimensional $C^{*}$-subalgebra B with $1_{B}=\mathrm{P}$ such that:
(i) $\|[P, x]\|<\eta$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{G}$;
(ii)every simple summand of B has rank at least N ;
(iii)there is a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathrm{D} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{D}=\mathrm{P}$ such that $[\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}]=0$ for all $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{B}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{D})<\eta \quad \text { for } \mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{G} ; \text { and }
$$

(iv) $5 \mathrm{~N}[(1-\mathrm{P})]<[\mathrm{P}]$.

Let $F_{1} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ be a finite subset (containing $1_{A}$ ) and $\sigma>0$. Since A is nuclear, with sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}$ and sufficiently small $\eta$, by [32, 3.2], there are unital completely positive linear contrac-tions $L_{1}^{\prime}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow(1-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{P})$ and ${ }^{\prime} L_{2}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ such that ${ }^{\prime} L_{1}(\mathrm{a})=(1-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{a}(1-\mathrm{P})$,

$$
\left\|x-L_{1}^{\prime}(x) \oplus L_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right\|<\sigma \text { and } \quad\left\|L_{2}^{\prime}(x)-P x P\right\|<\eta+\sigma
$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$. It follows that, with sufficiently small $\sigma$ and $\eta$,

$$
\left\|L_{i}^{\prime}(x y)-L_{i}^{\prime}(x) L_{i}^{\prime}(y)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathcal{F}_{i}$. Write $\mathrm{B}=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}$, where $B_{i} \cong M_{l(i)}$ with $\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{i}) \geq \mathrm{N}$, and denote by C the $C^{*}$ subalgebra generated by D and B . Note that $\mathrm{C} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{k} D_{0} \otimes B_{i}$, where $D_{0} \cong \mathrm{D}$. Let $\pi \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ $D_{0} \otimes B_{i}$ the projection. Denote $\emptyset_{i}=\pi_{i}{ }^{\circ} L^{\prime}{ }_{2}$. By (iii), we see that we may write $\emptyset_{i}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{i}, \ldots, \psi_{i}\right)$, where $\Psi_{i}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{i}\left(D_{0} \otimes M_{l(i)}\right) e_{i}$ and $e_{i}$ is a minimal rank-one projection of $M_{l(i)}$. Write $\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{i})=k_{i} \mathrm{n}+r_{i}$, where $k_{i} \geq \mathrm{n}>r_{i} \geq 0$ are integers. We may rewrite $\varnothing_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}, \ldots, \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \psi_{i}^{\prime}$,
where $\emptyset^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{i}, \ldots, \Psi_{i}\right): \mathrm{A} \rightarrow D_{0} \otimes M_{k_{i}}$ is repeated n times and $\psi^{\prime}{ }_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{i}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\psi_{i}\right): \mathrm{A} \rightarrow D_{0} \otimes M_{r_{i}}$.
Define $L_{2}=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} \emptyset_{i}^{\prime}$ and $L_{1}=L_{1}^{\prime} \oplus_{i=1}^{k} \psi^{\prime}{ }_{i}$. We estimate that

$$
\tau\left((1-P)+\oplus_{i=1}^{k} \psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(1_{A}\right)\right)<(1 / 5 \mathrm{~N}) \tau(\mathrm{P})+(1 / 4 \mathrm{nK}) \tau(\mathrm{P})<(1 / 2 \mathrm{n}) \tau(\mathrm{P})
$$

$\left.\leq \min \left(\sigma, \tau L_{2}\left[\left(1_{A}\right)\right]\right)\right)$,
provided that $1 / \mathrm{K}<\sigma$. By 4.7 , the lemma follows.
The following corollary follows from Lemma 5.5 immediately.
Corollary(4.1.25)[89]: Let A be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra $\operatorname{TR}(A) \leq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, any $\sigma>0$, any integer $\mathrm{n}>0$, and any finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exists a $C^{*}$ subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \in I$ such that
$\|x-(1-p) x(1-p) \oplus \operatorname{diag}(y, y, \ldots, y)\|<\varepsilon$
where $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{C}$ and $\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}) \in M_{n}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{p}=1_{M_{n}(C)}$ for all $\mathrm{x}, \in \mathrm{F}$ and $\tau((1-\mathrm{p}))<\sigma$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A). Moreover, we may require that $\|(1-p) x(1-p)\| \geqslant(1-\varepsilon)\|x\|$ for all x $\in F$.
Proof. Perhaps the last part of the statement needs an explanation. In the proof of (4.1.24), we know that we may require that $\|y\| \geq(1-\varepsilon / 2)\|x\|$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{F}$. Thus we may replace ( $1-$ $\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{x}(1-\mathrm{p})$ by $(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{x}(1-\mathrm{p}) \oplus \mathrm{y}$ and replace $(1-\mathrm{p})$ by $1-\mathrm{p} \oplus \operatorname{diag}\left(1_{C}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.
Lemma (4.1.26)[89]: $\quad$ Let $\mathrm{B}=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}$ be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra in I (where $B_{i}$ is a single summand).
For any $\varepsilon>0$, any finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{B}$ and any integer $\mathrm{L}>0$, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{B}$ depending on $\varepsilon$ and F but not on L , and $\delta=1 / 4 \mathrm{~L}$ such that the following holds. If A is a unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and $\emptyset_{i}: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ are two homomorphisms satisfying the following:
(i) there are $a_{g, i}, b_{g, j} \in \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \leqslant \mathrm{L}$ with

$$
\left\|\sum_{i} a *_{g, i} \emptyset_{1}(g) a_{g, i}-1_{A}\right\|<1 / 16 \text { and }\left\|\sum_{j} b *_{g, i} \emptyset_{2}(g) b_{g, i}-1_{A}\right\|<1 / 16
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}$;
(ii) $\left(\emptyset_{1}\right)_{*}=\left(\emptyset_{2}\right)_{*}$ on $K_{0}(B)$; and,
(iii) if $\left\|\tau^{\circ} \emptyset_{1}(g)-\tau^{\circ} \emptyset_{2}(g)\right\|<\delta$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists a unitary $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that $\left\|\emptyset_{1}(f)-u * \emptyset_{2}(f) u\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{F}$.
Theorem(4.1.27)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and C be a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ -subalgebra of A in I. Then for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{C}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $\delta>0, \sigma>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ satisfying the following: if $L_{1}, L_{2}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ are two unital $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$ multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps, where B is a unital simple $C^{*}$ algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(B) \leqslant 1$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(L_{1} \mid \mathrm{C}\right) *=\left(L_{2} \mid \mathrm{C}\right) * \text { on } K_{0}(\mathrm{C}) \text { and } \\
\left|\tau\left(L_{1}(g)\right)-\tau^{\circ} L_{2}(g)\right|<\sigma
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}$ and for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$, then there is a unitary $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that

$$
\left\|L_{1}(f)-u * L_{2}(f) u\right\|<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{~F}
$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and a finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1} \subset \mathrm{C}$ be the finite subset required by 5.7 (for a given $\varepsilon>0$ and a given finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ ). Suppose that $a_{g, i} \in A$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(g)} a *_{g, i} g a_{g, i}-1_{A}\right\|<1 / 64
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Set $\mathrm{L}=\max \{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{g}): \mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}\}$. Then, with sufficiently small $\delta>0$ and large $\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{G}_{1} \cup\left\{a_{g, i}: \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{i}\right\}$, we have $b_{g, i}, \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{A}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(g)} b *_{g, i, j} L_{j}(g) b_{g, i, j}-1_{B}\right\|<1 / 32
$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{j}=1,2$. Furthermore, for any $\eta>0$, with sufficiently small $\delta$, there is a homomorphism $\emptyset_{j}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{j}=1,2)$ such that

$$
\left\|\emptyset_{j}(g)-L_{j}(g)\right\|<\eta \quad \text { and }\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n(g)} b *_{g, i, j} \emptyset_{j}(g) b_{g, i, j}-1_{B}\right\|<1 / 16
$$

for $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. We also require that $\sigma<1 / 4 \mathrm{~L}$. Then we see the conclusions of the theorem follow from 5.7 (and its proof) immediately.
Theorem(4.1.28)[89]: $\quad$ Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{I}$. Let $\emptyset_{i}: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ be two monomorphisms such that

$$
\left(\emptyset_{1}\right) *=\left(\emptyset_{2}\right) *: K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) \rightarrow K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) \quad \text { and } \tau \circ \emptyset_{1}=\tau \circ \emptyset_{2}
$$

for all $\tau \in T$ (A). Then there is a sequence of unitaries un $\in A$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u *_{n} \emptyset_{1}(x) u_{n}=\emptyset_{2}(x) \text { for all } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{~B} .
$$

Proof:As before, we reduce the general case to the case in which $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{C}([0,1])$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{B}$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{B}$ be the finite subset (it does not depend on L ). Since A is simple, there exists an integer $\mathrm{L}>0$ and $a_{i, g}, b_{i, g} \in \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~L}$ (some of them could be zero) such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i} a *_{i, g} \emptyset_{1}(g) a_{i, g}-1\right\|<1 / 16 \text { and }\left\|\sum_{i} b *_{i, g} \emptyset_{2}(g) b_{i, g}-1\right\| 1 / 16
$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore the theorem follows from 5.7.
We start with the following observations.
Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra and $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$. Suppose that p is a projection, $\|a\| \leqslant 1$ and

$$
\|a * a-p\|<1 / 16 \quad \text { and } \quad\|a a *-p\|<1 / 16
$$

A standard computation shows that

$$
\|p a p-a p\|<3 / 16 \quad \text { and } \quad\|p a-p a p\|<3 / 16
$$

Also $\|p a-a\|<1 / 2$. Set $\mathrm{b}=$ pap. Then

$$
\|b * b-p\| \leqslant\|p a * a p-p a * a\|+\|p a * a-p\|<1 / 16+1 / 16=1 / 8
$$

So

$$
\left\|(b * b)^{-1}-p\right\|<\frac{1 / 8}{1-1 / 8}=1 / 7 \text { and } \quad\left\||b|^{-1}-p\right\|<2 / 7
$$

where the inverse is taken in pAp. Set $v=b|b|^{-1}$. Then $v^{*} v=p=v v^{*}$ and $\|v-b\|<2 / 7$.
We denote v by $\tilde{a}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely posi-tive linear map, u is a normal partial isometry and a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{B}$ is given so that

$$
\|L(u * u)-p\|<1 / 32
$$

Note if $v^{\prime}$ is another unitary in pApwith $\left\|v^{\prime}-b\right\|<1 / 3$, then $\left[v^{\prime}\right]=[v]$ in U $(\mathrm{pAp}) / U_{0}(\mathrm{pAp})$.We define $\tilde{L}$ as follows. Let $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{a}$. With small $\delta$ and large $\mathcal{G}$ we denote by $\widetilde{L}(u)$ the normal partial isometry (unitary in a corner) $v$ defined above. This notation will be used later. Note also, if $u \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$, then, with sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}$ and sufficiently small $\delta$, we may assume that

$$
\tilde{L}(\mathrm{u}) \epsilon U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) .
$$

Definition(4.1.29)[89]: Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra. Let $\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ be the closure of the commutator sub-group of $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A})$. Clearly that the commutator subgroup forms a normal subgroup of $U(A)$. It follows that $C U(A)$ is a normal subgroup of $A$. It should be noted that $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is commu-tative. It is an easy fact that if $\mathrm{A}=M_{r}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))$, where X is a finite CW complex of dimension 1 , then $\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. If $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$, it is known and easy to verify that every commutator is in $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Therefore $\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}$ (A), we will use $\bar{u}$ for the imageof $u$ in $U(A) / C U(A)$, and if $F \subset U(A)$ is a subgroup of $U(A)$, then $\bar{F}$ is the image of $F$ in $U(A) / C U(A)$.

If $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ define
$\operatorname{dist}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\inf \{\|x-y\|: \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A})$ such that $\bar{x}=\bar{u}, \bar{y}=\bar{v}\}$.
If $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A})$ then dist $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\inf \quad\{\|u v *-x\|: \mathrm{x} \quad \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})\} \operatorname{Let} \mathrm{g}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}{ }^{-1} b_{i}{ }^{-1}$, where $a_{i} b_{i} \in U(A)$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite subset of $\mathrm{A}, \delta>0$ and $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be a $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$ multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where B is a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra. From 6.1, for $\varepsilon>0$, if $\mathcal{G}$ is sufficiently large and $\delta$ is sufficiently small,

$$
\left\|L(g)-\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2,
$$

Where $a_{i}^{\prime} b^{\prime}{ }_{i} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B})$. Thus, for any $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$, with sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}$ and sufficiently small $\delta$,

$$
\|L(g)-u\|<\varepsilon
$$

for some $u \in C U(B)$. Moreover, for any finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset U(B)$ and subgroup $F \subset U$ (B) generated by $\mathcal{U}$, and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G}$ and $\delta>0$ such that, for any $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$ multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{L}$ induces a homomorphism $\mathrm{L} \ddagger: \bar{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that dist $\left(\overline{L^{\sim}(u)}, \mathrm{L} \ddagger(\bar{u})\right)<\varepsilon$ for all $U$ Note we may also assume that $\bar{F} \cap U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \subset U_{0}(B) / C U(B)$

If $\varnothing: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is a homomorphism then $\emptyset^{\ddagger}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is the induced homomorphism. It is continuous.
Recall that, for a unitary $\mathrm{u} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$ in a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra A , we write $\operatorname{cer}(\mathrm{u}) \leqslant \mathrm{k}$, if $\mathrm{u}=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{k} \exp (\mathrm{ihj})$ for some self-adjoint elements $h_{j} \in \mathrm{~A}$. We write $\operatorname{cer}(\mathrm{u}) \leqslant \mathrm{k}+\varepsilon$ if u is a norm limit of unitaries $u_{n}$ with $\operatorname{cer}\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{k}$.
Let $u \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Denote by cel(u) the infimum of the length of continuous paths of unitaries in A from u to $1_{A}$.
Lemma(4.1.30)[89]: (N.C. Phillips). Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra and $2>\mathrm{d}>0$. Let $u_{0}, u_{1}$,. $\ldots, u_{n}$ be $\mathrm{n}+1$ unitaries in A such that

$$
u_{n}=1_{A} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|u_{i}-u_{i+1}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{d}, \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1 .
$$

Then there exists a unitary $\mathrm{v} \in M_{2 n+1}(\mathrm{~A})$ with exponential length no more than $2 \pi$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(u_{0} \oplus 1_{M_{2 n}}(A)\right)-v\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{d} .
$$

Moreover, v can be chosen in $\mathrm{CU}\left(M_{2 n+1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$.
The following is another version of the above lemma.
Lemma(4.1.31)[89]: Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra and $\mathrm{u} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Then for each $\mathrm{L}>0$, if $\mathrm{u}=$ $\mathrm{v} \oplus(1-\mathrm{p})$ and $\mathrm{v} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{pAp})$ with $\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{v}) \leqslant \mathrm{L}$ in pAp and there are $\mathrm{N}(>2 \mathrm{~L})$ mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections in $(1-p) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p})$ each of which is equivalent to $p$, then $\operatorname{cel}(u) \leqslant 2 \pi+(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{n}) \pi$. Furthermore, there is a unitary $\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ such that cel $(\mathrm{uw})<$ (L/n) $\pi$.
(See [111] and also [109]. It should be noted that a unitary in $M_{2}(\mathrm{~A})$ with the form $\operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u} *)$ is in $\mathrm{CU}\left(M_{2}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$.)

Theorem(4.1.32)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $T R(A) \leqslant 1$. Let $u \in U_{0}(A)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there are unitaries $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathrm{~A}$ such that u 1 has exponential length no more than $2 \pi, u_{2}$ is an exponential and

$$
\left\|u-u_{1} u_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{cer}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 3+\varepsilon$.
Proof: Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive number. Let $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in U_{0}$ (A) such that

$$
v_{0}=\mathrm{u}, v_{n}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|v_{i}-v_{i+1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 16, \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1 .
$$

Let $\delta>0$. Since $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, there exists a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{A}$ with $B \in I$ and with $1_{B}=p$ such that:
(i) $\left\|\left[v_{i}, p\right]\right\|<\delta, \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$,
(ii) $\mathrm{p} v_{i} \mathrm{p} \in_{\delta} \mathrm{B}, 0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, and
(iii) $2(\mathrm{n}+1)[1-\mathrm{p}] \leqslant \mathrm{p}$.

There are unitaries $w_{i} \in(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p})$ with $w_{n}=(1-\mathrm{p})$ such that

$$
\left\|w_{i}-(1-p) v_{i}(1-p)\right\|<\varepsilon / 16, \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}
$$

for any given $\varepsilon>0$,provided $\delta$ is sufficiently small. Furthermore, there is a unitary $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{B}$ such that

$$
\|z-p u p\|<\varepsilon / 16
$$

Therefore (with $\delta<\varepsilon / 32$ )

$$
\left\|u-w_{1} \oplus z\right\|<\varepsilon / 8 .
$$

Write $z_{1}=w_{1} \oplus \mathrm{p}$. Since $2(\mathrm{n}+1)[1-\mathrm{p}] \leqslant \mathrm{p}$, there is a unitary $u_{1}$ with exponential length no more than $2 \pi$ such that

$$
\left\|z_{1}-u_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 4
$$

Now since $z \in B$ and it is well known that $B$ has exponential rank $1+\varepsilon$, there is an exponential $u_{2} \in$ A such that

$$
\left\|u_{2}-(1-p)-z\right\|<\varepsilon / 3
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|u-u_{1} u_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Since $\operatorname{cel}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant 2 \pi$, it follows from [121] that $\operatorname{cer}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant 2+\varepsilon$. Therefore $\operatorname{cer}(u) \leqslant 3+\varepsilon$. So $\operatorname{cer}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 3+\varepsilon$.
Lemma (4.1.33)[89]: Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra.
(i) $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is divisible.
(ii)If $u \in U(A)$ such that $u^{k} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Then there is $\mathrm{v} \in U_{0}$ (A) such that $v^{-k}=u^{-k}$ inU (A)/CU(A).
(3)Suppose that $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\mathrm{G} \subset \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is finitely generated subgroup. Then one has $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G} \cap\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})\right) \oplus \kappa(\mathrm{G})$, where $\kappa: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{A}) / U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$ is the quotient map.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{u} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Then there are $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in$ Asa such that $\mathrm{u}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp (\mathrm{iaj})$. For
any integer $\mathrm{k}>0$, let $\mathrm{v}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp (\mathrm{iaj} / \mathrm{k})$. Then $v^{-k}=\bar{u}$. This proves (1).
To see (2), put $u^{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp (\mathrm{iaj})$, where $a_{j} \in A_{s a}$. Let $\mathrm{v}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp (\mathrm{iaj} / \mathrm{k})$ Thus $\overline{(u v *)^{k}=} \overline{1}$. So $v^{-k}=u^{-k}$.To see (3), we note that (1) implies $0 \rightarrow U_{0}$ $(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}+U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \kappa(\mathrm{G}) \rightarrow 0$ splits.
 for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ and $\delta>0$ satisfying the following: for any $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$ multiplicative contractive linear map $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ (for any unital $C^{*}$ - algebra B ), there are unitaries $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{B}$ such that

$$
\|L(u)-v\|<\varepsilon / 2 \text { and } \operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{v})<\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{u})+\varepsilon / 2
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$.
Proof: Suppose that $z_{0}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{u}, z_{j}(\mathrm{u}) \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{u})$ such that $\frac{\operatorname{cel}(u)}{n(u)} \leqslant 1 / 4$ and $\operatorname{Cel}\left(z_{j}(\mathrm{u})\left(z_{j-1}(\mathrm{u})\right) *\right)<\frac{\operatorname{cel}(u)}{n(u)}, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{u})$,
for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathrm{N}=\max \{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{u}): \mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}\}$. It follows that (for sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}$ and sufficiently small $\delta$ ) there are unitaries $w_{j}(\mathrm{u}) \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B})$ such that

$$
\left\|L z_{j}(u)-w_{j}(u)\right\|<\varepsilon / 8 \mathrm{~N} \pi
$$

for all j and $\mathrm{u} \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus for all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$
\left\|L(u)-w_{0}(u)\right\|<\varepsilon / 2 \pi \text { and } \operatorname{cel}\left(w_{0}(\mathrm{u})\right)<\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{u})\left[\frac{\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{u})}{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{u})}+(\varepsilon / 8 \mathrm{~N}) 2 \pi\right]<\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{u})+\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

Lemma(4.1.35)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(A) \leqslant 1$ and let $u \in C U(A)$. Then $\mathrm{u} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{u}) 8 \pi$.
Proof: We may assume that u is actually in the commutator group. Write $\mathrm{u}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{k}$, where each $v_{i}$ is a commutator. We write $v_{i}=a_{i} b_{i} a *_{i} b *_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are in U (A). Fix integers $\mathrm{N}>0$ and $\mathrm{K}>0$. Since $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, by Corollary 3.3, there is a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $\mathrm{B} \in I$ with $1_{p}=\mathrm{B}$ and $\left.\mathrm{B}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} M_{m_{i}}(\mathrm{C}(0,1])\right) \oplus_{j=1}^{L} M_{n_{i}}$, where $m_{i}, n_{i} \geqslant \mathrm{~K}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|a_{i}-\left({a^{\prime}}_{i} \oplus a_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 4 k,\left\|b_{i}-\left({b^{\prime}}_{i} \oplus{b^{\prime \prime}}_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 4 \mathrm{k}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}, \\
\left\|u-\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \oplus a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}^{\prime b^{\prime \prime}}{ }_{i}\left(a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}\left(b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon / 8,
\end{gathered}
$$

$a^{\prime}{ }_{i}, b_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{U}((1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p})), a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}, b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ and $\mathrm{N}[1-\mathrm{p}] \leqslant[\mathrm{p}]$. Put $\mathrm{w}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} b^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(a^{\prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ and $\mathrm{z}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i} b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\left(a^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}\left(b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}$. Then $\operatorname{Det}(\mathrm{z})=1$. It follows from [43, 3.4](by choosing K large)we conclude that cel $(\mathrm{z}) \leqslant 6 \pi$ in pAp. It is standard to show that $a^{\prime}{ }_{i} b^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(a^{\prime}{ }_{i}\right)^{*}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \oplus(1-$ $\mathrm{p}) \oplus(1-\mathrm{p})$ is in $U_{0}\left(M_{4}((1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p}))\right)$ and it has exponential length no more than $4(2 \pi)+$ $\varepsilon / 8 \mathrm{k}$. This implies that $($ in $\mathrm{U}((1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p}))) \operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{w} \oplus(1-\mathrm{p})) 8 \mathrm{k} \pi+\varepsilon / 2$. Note the length only depends on $k$. We can then choose $N=N(8 k \pi+\varepsilon)$ as in 6.4. In this way, $\operatorname{cel}(w \oplus p) \leqslant$ $2 \pi+\varepsilon / 2$. It follows that
$\operatorname{Cel}((\mathrm{w} \oplus \mathrm{p})((1-\mathrm{p}) \oplus \mathrm{z})) \leqslant 8 \pi+\varepsilon / 2$.

The fact that $\|u-(w \oplus p)((1-p) \oplus z)\|<\varepsilon / 8$ implies that cel $(\mathrm{u}) \leqslant 8 \pi+\varepsilon$.
Theorem(4.1.36)[89]: Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$. Let $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{U}$ (A) such that $[\mathrm{u}]=[\mathrm{v}]$ in $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$ and

$$
u^{k}, v^{k} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{cel}\left(\left(u^{k}\right)^{*} v^{k}\right)<\mathrm{L} .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{cel}(\mathrm{u} * \mathrm{v}) \leqslant 8 \pi+\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{k}
$$

Moreover, there is $y \in U_{0}(A)$ with $\operatorname{cel}(y) \geqslant L / k$ such that $\overline{u * v}=\bar{y}$ in $U(A) / C U(A)$.
Theorem(4.1.37)[89]: Let A be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and u $\in U_{0}(A)$. Suppose that $u^{k} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ for some integer $\mathrm{k}>0$, then $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$. In particular, $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is torsion free.
Proof: Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let

$$
v=\prod_{j=1}^{r} a_{i} b_{i}\left({a_{i}^{\prime}}_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \quad \text { be such that }\left\|u^{k}-v\right\|<\varepsilon / 64
$$

Put $\mathrm{l}=\operatorname{cel}\left(u^{k}\right)$. Let $\delta>0$ be such that $2(1+\varepsilon) \delta<\varepsilon / 64 \pi$. Fix a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ which contains $\mathrm{u}, u^{k}, \mathrm{v}, a_{i}, b_{i}, a_{i}^{-1}, b_{i}^{-1}$ among other elements.
Since $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, there is a projection $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{A}$ and a unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{F}=\mathrm{p}$ such that:
(i) $\operatorname{pxp} \in_{\varepsilon / 64} \mathrm{~F}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathcal{G}$,
(ii) $\left\|v-v_{0} \oplus v_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 32$, $\left\|u-u_{0} \oplus u_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 32$, and $\left\|u^{k}-u_{0}^{k} \oplus u_{1}^{k}\right\|<\varepsilon / 32$,
(iii) $\operatorname{cel}\left(u_{0}^{k}\right)<1+\varepsilon / 32$ in $\mathrm{U}((1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p}))$ and
(iv) $\tau(1-\mathrm{p})<\delta$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

Here $u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathrm{U}((1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{A}(1-\mathrm{p}))$ and $u_{1}, v_{1} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{F})$. Moreover, we may assume that there are $a_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{F})$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{1}^{k}-\prod_{j=1}^{r} a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\varepsilon / 32
$$

Put w $=\prod_{j=1}^{r} a_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Since U(F) $\quad=U_{0}(\mathrm{~F})$, we may write

$$
\mathrm{w}=\prod_{m=1}^{L} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} d_{m}\right) \text { for }
$$

some $d_{m} F_{s a}$. Put $w_{k}=\prod_{m=1}^{L} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} d_{m} / \mathrm{k}\right)$ Then $w_{k}^{k}=w$ so

$$
\operatorname{cel}\left(\left(u_{1}\right)^{k}\left(w_{k}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon \pi}{32}
$$

Write $\mathrm{F}=\oplus_{s=1}^{N} F_{s}$ where each $F_{s}=M_{r(s)} \mathrm{C}([0,1])$ or $F_{s}=M_{r(s)}$, we may assume that each $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{s})$ $>\max \left(16 \pi^{2} / \varepsilon, \mathrm{K}(1)\right)$, where $\mathrm{K}(1)$ is the number described in [113] (with $\mathrm{d}=1$ ).

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\exp (\mathrm{if} / \mathrm{K}) \exp (\mathrm{ia} / \mathrm{k}) u_{1} w_{k}^{*}\right)=1
$$

for some $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f} \in F_{s a}$ with $\|f\| \leqslant 2 \pi$ and $\|a\|<\varepsilon \pi / 32$ (with $\mathrm{K}>\max \left(16 \pi^{2} / \varepsilon, \mathrm{K}(1)\right)$ ). $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{if} / \mathrm{K})$ $\exp (\mathrm{ia} / \mathrm{k}) u_{1} w_{k}^{*} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{F})$. We also have

$$
\left\|\exp (i f / K) \exp (i a / k)-1_{F}\right\| \quad<\varepsilon / 8 \varepsilon / 32
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{Dist}\left(\overline{u_{1} w_{k}^{*}}, \overline{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 8 \varepsilon / 32
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{w})=1$, as in the proof of Theorem(4.1.36), we also have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\exp (\mathrm{ig} / \mathrm{K}) w_{k}^{*}\right)=1
$$

for some $\mathrm{g} \in F_{s a}$ with $\|g\| \leqslant 2 \pi$. Again, $\exp (\mathrm{ig} / \mathrm{K}) w_{k}^{*} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{F})$. But $\left\|\left(\exp (i g / K) w_{k}\right) w_{k}^{*}-1\right\| \leqslant\|\exp (i g / K)-1\|<\varepsilon / 4$.
So

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\overline{w_{k}}, \overline{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 4 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\overline{u_{1}}, \overline{1}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(\bar{u}, \overline{w_{k}}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\overline{w_{k}}, \overline{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 32+\varepsilon / 4<\varepsilon / 2
$$

in $U(F) / C U(F)$. On the other hand, the choice of $\delta$,

$$
\operatorname{cel}\left(\left(u_{0} \oplus \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{z}\right)<\varepsilon /(8 \pi)
$$

for some $z \in \operatorname{CU}(A)$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Inf}\left\{\left\|u_{0} \oplus u_{1}-x\right\|: x \in \operatorname{CU}(\mathrm{~A})\right\}<\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 32+\varepsilon / 4<3 \varepsilon / 4
$$

This implies that

$$
\operatorname{Inf}\{\|u-x\|: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{~A})\}<\varepsilon .
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$. Consequently $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is torsion free.
Corollary (4.1.38)[89]: Let $B_{n}$ be a sequence of unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}\left(B_{n}\right) \leqslant 1$. Let $\prod_{n}^{b} K_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ be the set of sequences $\mathrm{z}=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, where $z_{n} \in K_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $z_{n}$ can be represented by unitaries in $M_{k(z)}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for some integer $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{z})>0$. Then the kernel of the map

$$
K_{1}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{n}^{b} K_{1}\left(B_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is a divisible and torsion free subgroup of $K_{1}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)$.
Proof: By 6.5, the exponential rank of each $B_{n}$ is bounded by 4. Therefore that the kernel is divisible follows from the fact that each $B_{n}$ has stable rank one (and has exponential rank bounded by 4) (see [45]). Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in \mathrm{U}\left(M_{K}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)\right)$ such that $\left[\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right]$ is in the kernel and $\mathrm{k}\left[\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right]=0$. By changing notation (with different $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and larger $K$ ), we may assume that $\left\{u_{n}^{k}\right\} \in U_{0}\left(M_{K}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)\right)$. Also each $u_{n} \in U_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)$. This implies that there is $\mathrm{L}>0$ such that $\operatorname{cel}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{L}$ for all n . It follows from 6.10 that

$$
\operatorname{cel}\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant 8 \pi+\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{k}+\pi / 4 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{n} .
$$

This implies (see for example [45]) $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \in U_{0}\left(M_{L}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)\right)$. Therefore $\left[\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right]=0$ in $K_{1}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)$.
So the kernel is torsion free.
Definition(4.1.39)[89]: Let Y be a connected finite CW complex with dimension no more than three with torsion $K_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y}))$ and set $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P} M_{r}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})) \mathrm{P}$, where $\mathrm{X}=S^{1} \mathrm{~V} S^{1} \mathrm{~V} \cdots \mathrm{~V} S^{1} \mathrm{~V}$ Y and $\mathrm{P} \in M_{r}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))$ is a projection and P has rank $\mathrm{R} \geqslant 6$. We assume that $S^{1}$ is repeated s $(\geqslant 0)$ times.Note that the above includes the case that $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=[0,1]$ Then $K_{1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=$ tor $\left(K_{1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right) \oplus G_{1}$ where $G_{1}$ is s copies of Z . Denote by $\xi$ the point in X where each $S^{1}$ and Y meet.

Rename each $S^{1}$ by $\Omega_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots$, s. Denote by $z^{\prime}{ }_{i}$ the identity map on ith $S^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$. Define $z^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}(\zeta)=\zeta$ on $\Omega_{i}\left(\right.$ which is identified with $\left.S^{1}\right)$ and $z^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}(\zeta)=\xi$ for all $\zeta / \Omega_{i}$. There is an obvious homomorphism $\prod: P M_{r}(C(X)) P \rightarrow D^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{K} E_{i}$, where $E_{i} \cong M_{R}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$. Note that if $\mathrm{s} \geqslant$ 2, then $\Pi$ is not surjective. We have that $G_{1}=K_{1}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$. We also use $\Pi_{i}: P M_{r}(C(X)) P \rightarrow$ $E_{i}$ which is the composition of $\Pi$ with the projection from $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ to $E_{i}$. Let z be the identity map on $S^{1}$.
We may write

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $P_{1}$ is a projection with rank 3 and $\mathrm{I}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ with 1 repeating $\operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{P})-3$ times.
Note that, since $\operatorname{rank}(P) \geqslant 6, \operatorname{tsr}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))=2$ and $\operatorname{csr}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})) \leqslant 2+1$ as in [119]). It fol-lows that $\operatorname{csr} M_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2$ in [120]. It then follows [120] that $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) / U_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)=K_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, $\mathrm{CU}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \subset U_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)$.
Denote by $u_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(z^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$, where 1 is repeated $\mathrm{r}-4$ times. If we write $z_{i} \in \mathrm{U}$ (C), we mean the unitary

$$
z_{i}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1} & 0 \\
0 & u_{i}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If we write $z_{i} \in E_{i}$, we mean $\Pi_{i}\left(z^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}\right)$. Note that in this case, $z_{i}$ has the form $\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1$, $\mathrm{z}, 1, \ldots, 1$ ), where z is in the 4 th position and there are $\mathrm{R}-1$ many 1 's.
Now let $\mathrm{C}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l+l_{1}} C^{(j)}$, where $C^{(j)}$ is either of the form $P_{j} M_{r(j)}\left(C\left(X_{j}\right)\right) P_{j}$ for $\mathrm{j} \leqslant 1$, where $X_{j}$ is ofthe form X described above, $C^{(j)}=M_{r(j)}$, or $C^{(j)}=P_{j} M_{r(j)}\left(C\left(Y_{j}\right)\right) P_{j}$, where $Y_{j}$ is a finite CW complex with dimension no more than 3 , rank of $P_{j}$ is $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{j}) \geqslant 6$ and $K_{1}\left(Y_{j}\right)$ is finite for $l+1$ $\leqslant \mathrm{j} \leqslant l+l_{1}$. Let $D^{(j)}$ be as $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ above for each $\leq l$. Let $\Pi^{(j)}$ be as $\Pi$ above for $C^{(j)}=P_{j} M_{r(j)}\left(C\left(X_{j}\right)\right) P_{j}$ Put $\mathrm{D}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} D^{(j)}$ and $\Pi=\oplus_{g=D}^{l} \Pi_{j}^{(j)}$. Since $K_{1}(\mathrm{C})$ is finitely generated and $U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}$, we may write

$$
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})=U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \oplus K_{1}(\mathrm{D}) \oplus \text { tor } K_{1}(\mathrm{C})
$$

Let $\pi_{1}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{D}), \pi_{0}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}), \pi_{2}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{tor}\left(K_{1}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ be fixed projection maps associated with the above decomposition. To avoid possible confusion, by $\pi_{i}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$, we mean a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{i}=0,1,2$. We also assume that $\pi_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{l}\right)=\bar{z}_{l}($ in $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ ).
It is worth pointing out that one could have $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=[0,1]$.
The notation established above will be used in the rest of this section.
Lemma (4.1.40)[89]: Let $\mathrm{C}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l+l_{1}} C_{i}$ be as above and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C})$ be a finite subset and F be the group generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Suppose that $G$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ which contains $\bar{F}$,
$\pi_{2}\left(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ and $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$.Suppose that the composition map $: \bar{F} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{U}$
$(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{D}) / U_{0}(\mathrm{D})$ is injective and $\gamma(\bar{F})$ is free. Let B be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra and $\Lambda$ $: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ be a homomorphism such that $\Lambda\left(\mathrm{G} \cap U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ $\subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$.Then there are homomorphisms $\beta: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ with $\beta\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$, and $\theta: \pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that

$$
\beta \circ \Pi^{\ddagger} \circ \pi_{1}(\bar{w})=\Lambda(\bar{w}) \theta \circ \pi_{2}(\bar{w})
$$

for all $w \in F$ and such that $\theta(\mathrm{g})=\Lambda \backslash_{\pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))}\left(g^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{g} \in \pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$. Moreover, $\beta\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$.

If furthermore $A$ is a simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(B) \leq 1$ and $\Lambda(U(C) / C U(C))$ $\subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$, then $\left.\beta \circ \Pi^{\ddagger} \circ\left(\pi_{1}\right)\right|_{\bar{F}}=\left.\Lambda\right|_{\bar{F}}$.
Proof:Let $\kappa_{1}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{D})$ be the quotient map. Let $\eta: \pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})) \rightarrow$ $K_{1}(\mathrm{D})$ be defined by $\eta=\kappa_{1} \circ \Pi \neq\left.\right|_{\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))}$. Note that $\eta$ is an isomorphism. Since $\gamma$ is injective and $\gamma(\bar{F})$ is free, we conclude that $\kappa_{1} \circ \Pi^{\ddagger} \circ \pi_{1}$ is also injective on $\bar{F}$ From this fact and the fact that $U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ is divisible (6.6), we obtain a homomorphism $\lambda: K_{1}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow$ $U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ such that

$$
\lambda \backslash_{\kappa_{1} \Pi^{\circ} \pi_{1}(\bar{F})}=\pi_{0}{ }^{\circ}\left(\left(\kappa_{1}{ }^{\circ} \Pi^{\ddagger \circ} \pi_{1}\right) \backslash_{\bar{F}}\right)^{-1}
$$

Now define $\beta=\Lambda\left(\left(\eta^{-1 \circ} \kappa_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\lambda^{\circ} \kappa_{1}\right)\right)$. Then for any $\bar{w} \in \bar{F}$, $\beta\left(\Pi^{\ddagger} \circ \pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)=\Lambda\left[\eta-1\left(\kappa_{1} \circ \Pi^{\ddagger} \pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right) \oplus \lambda \circ \kappa_{1}\left(\Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)\right)\right]=\Lambda \pi_{1}(\bar{w}) \oplus \pi_{0}(\bar{w})$.
Now define $\theta: \pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ by $\theta(\mathrm{x})=\Lambda\left(x^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ ). Then

$$
\beta\left(\Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)\right) \quad=\Lambda(\bar{w}) \theta \pi_{2}(\bar{w}) \quad \text { for } \mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{~F} .
$$

To see the last statement, we assume $\Lambda(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$. Then $\Lambda\left(\pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) /\right.$ $\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$ ) is a torsion subgroup of $U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$. By $6.11, U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is torsion free. Therefore $\theta=0$.
Lemma(4.1.41)[89]: Let A be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1$ and C be as de-scribed in 7.1. Let $U \subset U(A)$ be a finite subset and F be the subgroup generated by $U$ such that $\left(\kappa_{1}\right) \backslash_{\bar{F}}$ is injective and $\kappa_{1}(\bar{F})$ is free, where $\kappa_{1}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$ is the quotient map.Suppose that $\alpha: K_{1}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$ is an injective homomorphism and $\mathrm{L}: \bar{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ (A)/CU(A)is an injective homomorphism with $\mathrm{L}\left(\bar{F} \cap U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ such that $\pi_{1} \circ \mathrm{~L}$ is injective (see 7.1 for $\pi_{1}$ ) and

$$
\alpha \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \circ \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{~g})=\kappa_{1}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{g} \in \bar{F}
$$

where $\kappa_{1}^{\prime}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{C})$ is the quotient map. Then there exists a homomorphism $\beta$ : $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ with $\beta\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ such that

$$
\beta \circ \mathrm{L}(\bar{w})=\bar{w} \quad \text { for } w \in \mathrm{~F} .
$$

Proof:Let G be the preimage of $\alpha \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$ under $\kappa_{1}$. So we have the following short exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \alpha^{\circ} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is divisible, there exists an injective homomorphism

$$
\gamma: \alpha \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}
$$

such that $\kappa_{1} \circ \gamma(g)=\mathrm{g}$ for $\mathrm{g} \in \alpha{ }^{\circ} \kappa_{1}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$. Since $\alpha{ }^{\circ} \kappa_{1}^{\prime}{ }_{1}{ }^{\circ} L(f)=\kappa_{1}(\mathrm{f})$ for allf $\in$ $\bar{F}$, we have $\bar{F} \subset$ G. Moreover, $\left(\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} L(f)\right)^{-1} f \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ for all $f \in \bar{F}$.
Define $\psi: \mathrm{L}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ by

$$
\psi(\mathrm{x})=\gamma \circ \alpha \circ{\kappa_{1}^{\prime}}_{1} \circ \mathrm{~L}\left(\left[(L)^{-1}(x)\right]^{-1}\right) L^{-1}(\mathrm{x})
$$

for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{L}(\bar{F})$. Since $U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A})$ is divisible, there is homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}: \mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) /\left.\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{A}) \tilde{\psi}\right|_{L(\bar{F})}=\psi$. Now define

$$
\beta(\mathrm{x})=\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \tilde{\psi}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Hence $\beta(\mathrm{L}(f))=f$ for $f \in \bar{F}$.
Lemma(4.1.42)[89]: Let $B$ be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(B) \leqslant 1$ and $C$ be as in 7.1.
Let F be a group generated by a finite subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C})$ such that $\left.\left(\pi_{1}\right)\right|_{\bar{F}}$ is injective. Let G be a subgroup containing $\bar{F}, \pi_{0}(\bar{F}), \pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$ and $\pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$. Suppose that $\alpha: \mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is a homomorphism with $\alpha\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ satisfying the following: if $\varphi=\varphi_{0} \oplus \varphi_{1}: C \rightarrow B$ is a $\mathcal{G}-\eta-$ multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that:
(i)both $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are $\mathcal{G}-\eta$-multiplicative and $\varphi_{0}$ maps the identity of each summand of C into a projection,
(ii) $\mathcal{G}$ is sufficiently large and $\eta$ is sufficiently small which depend only on C and F (so that $\varphi^{\ddagger}$ is well defined on a G),
(iii) $\varphi_{0}$ is homotopically trivial (see (vi) in Section 1), $\left(\varphi_{0}\right)_{* 0} * 0$ is a well-defined homomorphism and $[\varnothing] \backslash_{k_{1}(\bar{F})}=\alpha_{*} \backslash_{k_{1}(\bar{F})}$, where $\alpha_{*}: K_{1}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})$ induced by $\alpha$ and $\kappa_{1}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ $\rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{C})$ is the quotient map,
(iv) $\tau\left(\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)<\delta$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (B),
then there is a homomorphism $\Phi: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow e_{0} \mathrm{~B} e_{0}\left(e_{0}=\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)$ such that:
(i) $\Phi$ is homotopically trivial and $\Phi_{* 0}=\left(\emptyset_{0}\right)_{* 0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\bar{w})^{-1}\left(\Phi \oplus \varphi_{1}\right)^{\ddagger}(\bar{w})=\overline{g_{w}}, \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{w} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ and $\operatorname{cel}\left(g_{w}\right)<\varepsilon$ for all $\mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{U}$.
Proof: By Lemma (4.1.40), there are homomorphisms $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ with $\beta_{i}\left(U_{0}(\mathrm{D}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{D})\right) \subset U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and homomorphisms
$\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \pi_{2}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that

$$
\beta_{1}{ }^{\circ} \Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)=\alpha(\bar{w}) \theta_{1}\left(\pi_{2}(\bar{w})\right) \operatorname{and} \beta_{2}{ }^{\circ} \Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)=\emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}(\bar{w} *) \theta_{2}\left(\pi_{2}(\bar{w})\right)
$$

for all $\bar{w} \in \bar{F} \quad$. Moreover $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{~g})=\alpha\left(\mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ and $\theta_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=\emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}(\mathrm{g})$ if $\mathrm{g} \in \pi_{2}(\bar{F})$. Since $\emptyset_{0}$ is homotopi-cally trivial, $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{~g}) \theta_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \pi_{2}(\bar{F})$.
Since $\pi_{2}\left(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ is torsion and $U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is torsion free, we conclude that $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{~g}) \theta_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=\overline{1}$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \pi_{2}(\bar{F})$.
To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathrm{C}=\oplus_{j=1}^{l+l_{1}} C^{(j)}$ (with $l=$ 1) such that $C^{(1)}=\mathrm{P} M_{r}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})) \mathrm{P}$ as described in Definition(4.1.39)and $C^{(j)}$ is also as described in Definition(4.1.39) for $2=l+1 \leqslant \mathrm{j} \leqslant l_{1}+1$. Let D be as described in 7.1.For each $\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C})$, we may write $\mathrm{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{1+l_{1}}\right)$ according to the direct sum $\mathrm{C}=\oplus_{j=1}^{l+l_{1}} C^{(j)}$. Note that $\pi_{1}(w)=\pi_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)$. Let $\pi(\bar{w})=\left(\bar{z}_{1}^{k(1, w)}, \bar{z}_{2}^{k(2, w)}\right.$, . ., $\left.\bar{z}_{s}^{k(s, w)}\right)$, wherek $(i, w)$ is an integer (here $z_{i}$ is described in Definition(4.1.39)). Then $\prod_{i}^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}\left(\overline{w_{1}}\right)\right)=$ $\bar{z}_{i}^{k(i, w)}$.On the other hand, we may also write $\prod_{i}^{\ddagger}\left(\overline{w_{1}}\right)=\overline{\bar{z}_{l}^{k(l, w)}} g_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{w}}$ for some $g_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{w}} \in U_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(S_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.M_{r}\right)\right)$ Let $1=\max \left\{\operatorname{cel}\left(g_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{w}}\right): \mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \leqslant \mathrm{i} \leqslant \mathrm{s}\right\}$. Choose $\delta$ so that $(2+1) \delta<\varepsilon / 4 \pi$. Let $e_{0}=$ $\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)$ and $e_{1}=\emptyset_{1}\left(1_{C}\right)$. Write $e_{0}=E_{1} \oplus E_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{1+l_{1}}$, where $E_{j}=\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C^{(j)}}\right), \mathrm{j}=1,2,$. $\ldots, 1+l_{1}$.
Recall that P has rank R . Since $\emptyset_{0}$ is homotopically trivial , we may also write $E_{1}=e_{01} \oplus \cdots$ $\oplus e_{0 R}$, where $\left\{e_{0 i}: 1 \leqslant \mathrm{i} \leqslant \mathrm{R}\right\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections. Since $e_{0} \mathrm{~B} e_{0}$ is simple and has the property (SP), $e_{01}$ can be written as a sum of s mutually orthogonal projections. Thus $E_{1}=p_{1} \oplus p_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus p_{s}$, where each $p_{i}$ can be written as a direct sum of R mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections $\left\{q_{i, 1}, \ldots \ldots, q_{i, R}\right\}$. For each $q_{i 1}$, we write $q_{i 1}=q_{i 1,1} \oplus q_{i 1,2}$, where both $q_{i 1,1}$ and $q_{i 1,2}$ are notzero. Let $\mathrm{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{S} q_{i 1,1}$ We may view $E_{1} \mathrm{~B} E_{1}=\oplus_{i=1}^{S} M_{R}\left(q_{i 1} B q_{i 1}\right)=M_{R}(q B q)$

Let $z_{i}$ be as in 7.1. Put $x_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{U}\left(q_{i 1,1} \mathrm{~B} q_{i 1,1}\right)$ such that $\overline{x^{\prime}}{ }_{l}=\beta_{1}\left(\bar{z}_{l}\right)$, and $y_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{U}\left(q_{i 1,2} \mathrm{~B} q_{i 1,2}\right)$ such that $\overline{y_{i}^{\prime}}=\beta_{2}\left(\overline{z_{l}}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}$. This is possible because of 6.7. Put $x_{i}=x_{i}^{\prime} \oplus q_{i 1,2}, y_{i}=$ $y_{i}^{\prime} \oplus q_{i 1,1}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~s} . \quad$ Note that $x_{i} y_{i}=y_{i} x_{i}$. Define $\emptyset_{1}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow$ $M_{R}(q B q)=\oplus_{i=1}^{S} M_{R}\left(q_{i 1} B q_{i 1}\right) \quad$ by $\emptyset_{1}(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{S} f_{i}\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right), \quad$ where $\quad f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2},, f_{s}\right), f_{i} \in$ $C\left(S^{1}, M_{R}\right)$
Define $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{g})=\emptyset_{1}(\Pi(\mathrm{~g})) \oplus \emptyset_{1}(\mathrm{~g})$ for $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{C}$. We compute that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{h(w)}=\prod_{i=1}^{S} \overline{x_{l}^{k(l, w)} g_{l, w}\left(x_{l} y_{l}\right) y_{l}^{k(l, w)} \emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}(\bar{w})} \\
=\beta_{1}\left(\Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)\right) \emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.\bigoplus_{l=1}^{S} g_{i, w}\right) \\
\beta_{2}\left(\Pi^{\ddagger}\left(\pi_{1}(\bar{w})\right)\right) \emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}(\bar{w}) \\
=\alpha(\bar{w}) \theta_{1}\left(\pi_{2}(\bar{w})\right) \theta_{2}\left(\pi_{2}(\bar{w})\right) \emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}\binom{\bigoplus_{l=1}^{S}}{g_{i, w}}=\alpha(\bar{w}) \emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\bigoplus_{l=1}^{S} g_{i, w}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

for all w $\in \mathcal{U}$. Put $g^{\prime}{ }_{w}=\emptyset_{1}^{\ddagger}\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{S} g_{i, w}\right) \oplus\left(1-\varphi_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)$. Since $\tau\left(\varphi_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)<\delta$, by the choice of $\delta$, we conclude from $\operatorname{Lemma}(4.1 .33)$ that there exists $w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that $\operatorname{cel}\left(\mathrm{w}^{\prime} g^{\prime}{ }_{w}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $\mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{U}$.
Note that $\emptyset_{1} \circ \Pi$ factors through D and $\left(\varnothing_{1}\right)_{* 1}=0$. In particular, $\emptyset_{1} \circ \Pi$ is homotopicallytrivial. Since $\emptyset_{0}$ is homotopically trivial, it is easy to see that there is a pointevaluation map $\emptyset_{1}: \bigoplus_{i=2}^{l+l_{1}} C^{(j)} \rightarrow\left(\oplus_{i=2}^{l+l_{1}} E_{j}\right) \mathrm{B}\left(\bigoplus_{i=2}^{l+l_{1}} E_{j}\right)$. Now define $\Phi=\Phi_{1} \circ \Pi \oplus \Phi_{2}$. We see that we can make (by a right choice of $\left.\Phi_{2}\right)\left.\Phi\right|_{* 0}$. It is clear that $\Phi$ is homotopically trivial. Let $g^{\prime \prime}{ }_{w}=\Phi_{2}(\mathrm{w}) \oplus\left(1-\left(e_{0}-E_{1}\right)\right)$. Since $\Phi_{2}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{l+l_{1}} C^{(j)}\right)$ is finite-dimensional, $\operatorname{cel}\left(\Phi_{2}(\mathrm{w})\right) \leqslant 2 \pi$ (in $U_{0}\left(\left(e_{0}-E_{1}\right) \mathrm{B}\left(e_{0}-E_{1}\right)\right)$ for all $\left.\mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{U}\right)$. By the choice of $\delta$, we conclude that there is $\mathrm{w}^{\prime \prime} \in$ $\mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that $\operatorname{cel}\left(\mathrm{w}^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}{ }_{w}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ (see 6.4). Put $g_{w}=\mathrm{w} \mathrm{g}_{w} \mathrm{w} \mathrm{g}_{w}$. We have, for all $\mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{U}$, $\alpha(\bar{w})^{-1}\left(\Phi \oplus \emptyset_{1}\right)^{\ddagger}(\bar{w})=\overline{\mathrm{g}_{w}}$ withg $_{w} \in U_{0} \quad$ (B) and $\operatorname{cel}\left(\mathrm{g}_{w}\right)<\varepsilon$.
Lemma (4.1.43)[89]: Let B be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ and C be as described in 7.1. Let $U \subset U(B)$ be a finite subset and $F$ be the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\kappa_{1}(\bar{F})$ is free, where $\kappa_{1}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})$ is the quotient map. Let $\emptyset \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism such that $\emptyset_{* 1}$ is injective. Suppose that $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{L}: \bar{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ are twoinjective homomorphisms with $\mathrm{j}\left(\bar{F} \cap U_{0} \quad(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})\right), \quad \mathrm{L}\left(\bar{F} \cap U_{0} \quad(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})\right) \subset$ ( $U_{0}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})$ )such that $\kappa_{1} \circ \emptyset^{\ddagger \circ} L=\kappa_{1} \circ \emptyset^{\ddagger \circ} j=\kappa_{1} \backslash_{\bar{F}}$ and all three are injective.

Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\emptyset=\emptyset_{0} \oplus \emptyset_{1}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, where $\emptyset_{0}$ and $\emptyset_{1}$ are homomorphisms satisfying the following:
(i) $\tau\left(\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)<\delta$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (B) and
(ii) $\emptyset_{0}$ is homotopically trivial,
then there is a homomorphism $\psi: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow e_{0} \mathrm{~B} e_{0}\left(e_{0}=\emptyset_{0}\left(1_{C}\right)\right)$ such that:
(i) $[\psi]=\left[\emptyset_{0}\right]$ in $\operatorname{KL}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B})$ and
(ii) $\left(\emptyset^{\neq \circ} j(\bar{w})\right)^{-1}\left(\psi \oplus \emptyset_{1}\right)^{\ddagger}(\mathrm{L}(\bar{w}))=\mathrm{g}_{w}$, where $\mathrm{g}_{w} \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ and $\operatorname{cel}\left(\mathrm{g}_{w}\right)<\varepsilon$ for all w $\in \mathcal{U}$.
Proof: The first part of the proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma (4.1.40). Let $\kappa_{1}^{\prime}$ : $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{C})$ be the quotient map and let G be the preimage of $\emptyset_{* 1} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$ un-der $\kappa_{1}$. Since $U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is divisible, there exists an injective homomorphism $\gamma: \emptyset_{* 1}{ }^{\circ} \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C})) \rightarrow G$ such that $\kappa_{1}{ }^{\circ} \gamma(\mathrm{g})=\mathrm{g}$ for $\mathrm{g} \in \emptyset_{* 1}{ }^{\circ} \kappa^{\prime}{ }_{1}(\mathrm{U}$ $(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}))$. Since $\emptyset_{* 1}{ }^{\circ} \kappa_{1}{ }^{\circ} L(f)=\kappa_{1}(f)=\kappa_{1}\left(\emptyset^{\ddagger}{ }^{\circ} j(f)\right)$ for all $f \in \bar{F}$, we have $\bar{F} \subset$ G Moreover,

$$
\left[\gamma \circ \emptyset_{-1} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \circ \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{f})\right]^{-1} \emptyset^{\ddagger} \circ \mathrm{j}(f) \in U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{~B})
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Define $\psi: \mathrm{L}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ by

$$
\psi(\mathrm{x})=\left[\gamma^{\circ} \emptyset_{* 1} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right]^{-1}\left[\emptyset^{\ddagger} \circ \mathrm{j} \circ L^{-1}(\mathrm{x})\right]
$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{L}(\bar{F})$. Since $U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ is divisible, there is a homomorphism
$\bar{\psi}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow U_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B})$ such that $\left.\bar{\psi}\right|_{L(\bar{F})}=\bar{\psi}$.
Define $\alpha: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{C}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{CU}(\mathrm{B}) \quad$ by $\alpha(\mathrm{x})=\gamma^{\circ} \emptyset_{* 1} \circ \kappa_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \bar{\psi}(\mathrm{x})$ for all $\mathrm{X} \epsilon \mathrm{U}$ (C)/CU(B). Note that

$$
\alpha(\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{f}))=\emptyset^{\ddagger} \circ \mathrm{j}(f) \quad \text { for all } f \quad \in \bar{F} .
$$

Definition (4.1.44)[89]: Let A and B be $C^{*}$ - algebras. Two homomorphisms $\emptyset, \psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ are said to be stably unitarily equivalent if for any monomorphism $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}, \varepsilon>0$ and finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$,there exists an integer $\mathrm{n}>0$ and a unitary $\in M_{n+1}(\tilde{B})$ (or in $M_{n+1}(B)$, if B is unital) such
that

$$
\left\|U^{*} \operatorname{diag}(\varnothing(a), h(a), h(a), \ldots, h(a)) U-\operatorname{diag}(\psi(a), h(a), h(a), \ldots, h(a))\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all a $\in \mathrm{F}$, where $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{a})$ is repeated n times on both diagonals.
Let A and B be $C^{*}$ - algebras and $\emptyset, \psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be (linear) maps. Let $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We write

$$
\varphi \sim \varepsilon \psi \quad \text { on } F,
$$

if there exists a unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$
\left\|\operatorname{ad}(u)^{\circ} \emptyset(a)-\psi(a)\right\| \quad<\varepsilon \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~F} .
$$

We write

$$
\varphi \approx \varepsilon \psi \text { on } \mathrm{F} \text {, if }\|\varnothing(a)-\psi(a)\| \quad<\varepsilon \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~F} .
$$

Definition(4.1.45)[89]: Let A be a $C^{*}$ - algebra.
(i) Denote by $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ the set of all projections and unitaries in $M_{\infty}\left(A \overline{\hat{\otimes} C_{n}}\right), \mathrm{n} 1,2, \ldots$, where $C_{n}$ is an abelian $C^{*}$ - algebra so that
$K_{i}\left(\mathrm{~A} \otimes C_{n}\right)=K_{*}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{n} \mathbf{Z})$.
(see [126]). As in [25], we use the notation

$$
\underline{K}(\mathrm{~A})=\quad \underset{\mathrm{i}=0,1, \mathrm{n} \in Z_{+}}{\oplus} \quad K_{i}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{n} \mathbf{Z}) .
$$

By $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(\mathrm{~A}), \underline{K}(\mathrm{~B}))$ we mean all homomorphisms from $\underline{K}(\mathrm{~A})$ to $\underline{K}(\mathrm{~B})$ which respect to the direct sum decomposition and the so-called Bockstein operations (see [25]). Denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))^{++}$those $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(\mathrm{~A}), \underline{K}(\mathrm{~B}))$ with the property that $\alpha\left(K_{0}(A)_{+} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ $\subset K_{0}(B)_{+} \backslash\{0\}$. It follows from [25] that if A satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem,then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)) \cong \operatorname{KL}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$. Moreover, one has the following short exact sequence,

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Pext}\left(K_{*}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{*}(\mathrm{~B})\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{KL}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

A separable $C^{*}$ - algebra A is said to satisfy Approximate Universal Coefficient Theorem (AUCT) if

$$
\mathrm{KL}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(\mathrm{~A}), \underline{K}(\mathrm{~B}))
$$

for any $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$ - algebra B (see [76]). A separable $C^{*}$ - algebra A which satisfies the UCT must satisfy the AUCT. If A satisfies the AUCT, for convenience, we will use $\operatorname{KL}(A, B)^{++}$ forHom ${ }_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))^{++}$.
(ii) Let $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, be a contractive completely positive linear map. We also use L for the extension from $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathbf{K}$ as well as maps from $A \widetilde{\otimes C}_{n} \rightarrow B{\widetilde{\otimes} C_{n}}$ for all n . Given a pro-jection $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$, if $L: A \rightarrow B$ is an $F-\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map with sufficiently large F and sufficiently small $\delta$, $\left\|L(p)-q^{\prime}\right\|<1 / 4$ for some projection $q^{\prime}$. Define $[\mathrm{L}](\mathrm{p})=\left[\mathrm{q}^{\prime}\right]$ in $\underline{K}(\mathrm{~B})$. It is easy to see this is well defined (see [67]). Suppose that q is also in $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ with $[\mathrm{q}]=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{p}$ ] for some integer k . By adding sufficiently many elements (partial isometries) inF, we can assume that $[\mathrm{L}](\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{L}](\mathrm{p})$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ be a finite subset. We say $\left.[L]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined if $[L](p)$ is well de-fined for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and if $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right]=[\mathrm{p}]$ and $\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}$, then $[\mathrm{L}]\left(\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)=[\mathrm{L}](\mathrm{p})$. This always occurs if F is sufficiently large and $\delta$ is sufficiently small. In what follows we write $\left.[L]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$ when [L] is well defined on $\mathcal{P}$.
(iii)Let $\mathrm{A}=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$, where each $A_{i}$ is a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra. Suppose that $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ - $\varepsilon$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map. For any $\eta>0$, if $\mathcal{G}$ is large enough and $\varepsilon$ is small enough, we may assume that

$$
\left\|L\left(1_{A_{i}}\right)-p_{i}\right\|<\eta, \quad\left\|p_{j} L\left(1_{A_{i}}\right)\right\|<\eta \text { and } \quad\left\|L\left(1_{A_{i}}\right) p_{j}\right\|<\eta
$$

for some projection $p_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$. Let $\mathrm{b}=p_{1} \mathrm{~L}\left(1_{A_{1}}\right) p_{1}$. Then, with sufficiently small $\eta$, we may assume that b is invertible in $p_{1} \mathrm{~B} p_{1}$. Define $L_{1}(\mathrm{a})=b^{-1 / 2} p_{1} \mathrm{~L}$ (a) $p_{1} b^{-1 / 2}$. Then $L_{1}\left(1_{A_{1}}\right)=p_{1}$. Consider $\left(1-p_{1}\right) \mathrm{L}\left(1-p_{1}\right)$. It is clear that, for any $\delta>0$, by induction and choosing a sufficiently large $\mathcal{G}$ and sufficiently small $\eta$ and $\varepsilon$,

$$
\|L-\Psi\|<\delta,
$$

where $\Psi(\mathrm{a})=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\left(1_{A_{i}} \mathrm{a} 1_{A_{i}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$. So, to save notation in what follows, we may assume that $\mathrm{L}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}$, where each $L_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}$ is a completely positive contraction which maps $1_{A_{i}}$ to a projection in B and $\left\{L_{1}\left(1_{A_{1}}\right), L_{2}\left(1_{A_{2}}\right), \ldots, L_{n}\left(1_{A_{n}}\right)\right.$ are mutually orthogonal. Throughout the rest of this section, A denotes the class of separable nuclear $C^{*}$ - algebras satisfying the Approximate Universal Coefficient Theorem.
Lemma(4.1.46)[89]: Let B be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra and let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra in A which is a unital $C^{*}$ - subalgebra of B . Let $\alpha: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and $\beta: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be two homomorphisms. Then $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are stably approximately unitarily equivalent if $[\alpha]=[\beta]$ in $\operatorname{KK}(A, B)$ and if $A$ is simple or B is simple.
The following is a modification in[90]. A proof was given in the earlier version of this section. Since then a more general version of the following appeared in [76]. We will omit the original proof and view the following as a special case.

Theorem (4.1.47)[89]: (Cf. [35, Theorem 4.8].) Let B be a $C^{*}$ - algebra with stable rank one and $\operatorname{cel}\left(M_{M}(\mathrm{~B})\right) \leqslant \mathrm{k}$ for some $\mathrm{k} \geqslant \pi$ and for all m , and let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra in A which is a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra of B . Let $\alpha: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and $\beta: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be two homomorphisms. Then and $\beta$ are stably approximately unitarily equivalent if $[\alpha]=[\beta]$ in $\operatorname{KL}(A, B)$.
The following uniqueness theorem is a modification of [35, Theorem 5.3].
Theorem (4.1.48)[89]: (See [90].) Let A be a unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra in $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{L}: \mathrm{U}$ $\left(M_{\infty}(\mathrm{A})\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$be a map. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subset $\mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ there exist a positive number $\delta>0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \boldsymbol{P}(\mathrm{A})$ and an integer $\mathrm{n}>0$ satisfying the following: for any unital simple $C^{*}$ - algebra B with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$, if $\emptyset, \psi, \sigma: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ are three $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps with
$\left.[\varnothing]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.[\psi]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$,
$\operatorname{cel}(\widetilde{\emptyset}(\mathrm{u}) * \tilde{\psi}(\mathrm{u})) \leqslant \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{u})$
for all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathcal{P}$ and $\sigma$ is unital, then there is a unitary $\mathrm{u} \in M_{n+1}(\mathrm{~B})$ such that
$\|u * \operatorname{diag}(\emptyset(a), \sigma(a), \ldots, \sigma(a)) u-\operatorname{diag}(\psi(a), \sigma(a), \ldots, \sigma(a))\|<\varepsilon$
for all a $\in \mathrm{F}$, where $\sigma(\mathrm{a})$ is repeated n times.
Proof. Suppose that the theorem is false. Then there are $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a finite subset FCA such that there are a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ with $\delta_{n} \downarrow 0$, an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n}\right\}$ whose union is dense in the unit ball of A, a sequence of finite subsets $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ with $\overline{\mathrm{U}_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{n}}=\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ and with $U_{n}=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{n}$, a sequence $\{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{n})\}$ of integers $(\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{n}) \boldsymbol{\nearrow})$
and sequences $\left\{\emptyset_{n}\right\},\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{n}-\delta_{n}$-multiplicative positive linear maps from A to $B_{n}$ with $\left.\left[\emptyset_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}_{n}}=\left.[\psi]\right|_{\mathcal{P}_{n}}$ and
$\operatorname{cel}\left(\widetilde{\varnothing_{n}}(\mathbf{u}) * \widetilde{\psi_{n}}(\mathbf{u})\right) \leqslant \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u})$
for all $\mathrm{u} \in U_{n}$ satisfying the following:
$\inf \left\{\sup \left\{\left\|u^{*} \operatorname{diag}\left(\emptyset_{n}(a), \sigma_{n}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(a)\right) u-\operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{n}(a), \sigma_{n}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(a)\right)\right\|: \quad \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{F}\right\}\right\} \geqslant$ $\varepsilon_{0}$
where $\sigma_{n}$ (a) is repeated $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{n})$ times and the infimum is taken over all unitaries in $M_{k(n)+1}\left(B_{n}\right)$.
Set $D_{0}=\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$ and $\mathrm{D}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$. Define $\Phi, \Psi, \Sigma: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ by $\Phi(\mathrm{a})=\left\{\varnothing_{n}(a)\right\}$,
$\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathrm{a})=\left\{\psi_{n}(\mathrm{a})\right\}$ and $\Sigma(\mathrm{a})=\left\{\sigma_{n}(\mathrm{a})\right\}$ for $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$. Let $\pi: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} / D_{0}$ be the quotient map and set $\bar{\Phi}=\pi^{\circ} \Phi, \bar{\Psi}=\pi{ }^{\circ} \Psi$ and $\bar{\Sigma}=\pi^{\circ} \Sigma$. Note that $\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi}$, and $\bar{\Sigma}$ are monomorphisms. For any $\mathrm{u} \in U_{K}$,
$\operatorname{cel}\left(\widetilde{\varnothing_{n}}(\mathrm{u}) * \widetilde{\psi_{n}}(\mathrm{u})\right) \leqslant \mathbf{L}(\mathrm{u})$
for all sufficiently large $\mathrm{n}(>\mathrm{k})$. This implies that there is an equi-continuous path $\left\{v_{n}(\mathrm{t})\right\}(\mathrm{t}$ $\in[0,1])$ such that

$$
v_{n}(0)=\quad \widetilde{\varnothing_{n}}(\mathrm{u}) \quad \text { and } v_{n}(1)=\quad \widetilde{\psi_{n}}(\mathrm{u})
$$

(see, for example, [45]). Therefore, we conclude that $\left.[\bar{\Phi}]\right|_{K_{1}}(\mathrm{~A})=\left.[\bar{\Psi}]\right|_{K_{1}}(\mathrm{~A})$.
Given an element $\mathrm{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{k} \backslash U_{k}$ (for some k ), we claim that

$$
[\bar{\Phi}(\mathrm{p})]=[\bar{\Psi}(\mathrm{p})] .
$$

We have (see [45])

$$
K_{0}\left(\Pi B_{n}\right)=\frac{b}{\Pi^{\prime}} K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad K_{0}\left(\mathrm{D} / D_{0}\right)=K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right) / K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)
$$

Where $\prod^{b} K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is the sequences of elements $\left\{\left[p_{n}\right]-\left[q_{n}\right]\right\}$, where $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ can be repr by projections in $M_{L}\left(B_{n}\right)$ for some integer $L$. Since each $\operatorname{TR}\left(B_{n}\right) \leqslant 1, B_{n}$ has stable sented rank one and $K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is weakly unperforated. As in [45] each $B_{n}$ has $K_{i}$-divisible rank T withT $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})=1$., $\operatorname{cer}\left(M_{k}\left(B_{n}\right)\right) \leqslant 4$ for all k and n , and the kernel of the $\operatorname{map} K_{0}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}\right)$ to $\prod_{n}^{b} K_{1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ is divisible and torsion free.
We also have

$$
K_{i}\left(\prod_{n} B_{n}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{m} \mathbf{Z}\right) \subset \prod_{n} K_{i}\left(B_{n}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{m} \mathbf{Z}\right), \quad \mathrm{m}=2,3, \ldots
$$

(In fact, by 6.10 , each $B_{n}$ has exponential length divisible rank $E$ with $E(L, k)=8 / \pi+L / k$ +1 so that [45] can be applied directly.
Since $\left[\emptyset_{n}(\mathrm{p})\right]=\left[\psi_{n}(p)\right]$ in $K_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)$ or in $K_{i}\left(B_{n}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{m} \mathbf{Z}\right)(\mathrm{i}=0,1, \mathrm{~m}=2,3, \ldots)$ for large n , $[\bar{\Phi}(\mathrm{p})]=[\bar{\Psi}(\mathrm{p})]$.
Then $\bar{\Phi}_{*}=\bar{\Psi}_{*}$. Therefore $[\bar{\Phi}]=[\bar{\Psi}]$ in $\operatorname{KL}\left(\mathrm{A}, \prod_{n} B_{n} / \oplus_{n} B_{n}\right)$.
By applying 8.4 , we obtain an integer N anda unitary $\mathrm{u} \in M_{N+1}\left(\mathrm{D} / D_{0}\right)$ such that $\left\|u^{*} \operatorname{diag}(\bar{\Phi}(a), \bar{\Sigma}(a), \ldots, \bar{\Sigma}(a)) u-\operatorname{diag}(\bar{\Psi}(a), \bar{\Sigma}(a), \ldots, \bar{\Sigma}(a))\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 3$
for all a $\in \mathcal{F}$, where $\bar{\Sigma}($ a) is repeated N times. It is easy to (see [67] for example) there is a unitary $\mathrm{U} \in M_{N+1}(\mathrm{D})$ such that $\pi(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{u}$ and for each a $\in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $c_{a} \in M_{N+1}\left(D_{0}\right)$ such that
$\left\|U^{*} \operatorname{diag}(\Phi(a), \Sigma(a), \ldots, \Sigma(a)) U-\operatorname{diag}(\Psi(a), \Sigma(a), \ldots, \Sigma(a))+c_{a}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 3$
where $\Sigma(\mathrm{a})$ is repeated N times. Write $\mathrm{U}=\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, where $u_{n} \in M_{N+1}\left(B_{n}\right)$ are unitaries. Since $c_{a} \in M_{N+1}\left(D_{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is finite, there is $N_{0}>0$ such that for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant N_{0}$.
$\left\|u_{n}{ }^{*} \operatorname{diag}\left(\emptyset_{n}(a), \sigma_{n}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(a)\right) u_{n}-\operatorname{diag}\left(\psi_{n}(a), \sigma_{n}(a), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(a)\right)\right\|<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$
for all a $\in \mathcal{F}$, where $\sigma_{n}$ is repeated N times. This contradicts the assumption that the theorem is false.
Theorem(4.1.49)[89]: Let A be a separable unital nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant$ 1 satisfying the AUCT and let $\mathbf{L}: \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset$

A, there exist $\delta_{1}>0$, an integer $\mathrm{n}>0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$, a finite subset $\mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{A}$ satisfying the following:
(i) there exist mutually orthogonal projections $\mathrm{q}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ with $\mathrm{q} \leqslant p_{1}$ and $p_{1}, \ldots$, $p_{n}$ mu-tually unitarily equivalent, and there exists a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \in \mathrm{I}$ with $1_{C}=$ $p_{1}$ and unital S- $\delta_{1} / 2$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\emptyset_{0}$ $: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{qAq}$ and $\emptyset_{1}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ such tha
$\| x-\left(\emptyset_{0}(x) \oplus \emptyset_{1}(x), \emptyset_{1}(x), \ldots, \emptyset_{1}(x) \|<\delta_{1} / 2\right.$
for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{S}$, where $\emptyset_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ is repeated n times; moreover, there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_{0} \subset \mathrm{~A}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ of projections in $M_{\infty}(\mathrm{C})$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_{s a}, \delta_{0}>0$ and $\sigma>0$ (which depend on the choices of C );
for any unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra B with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ and any two $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{G}_{0}-\delta$-multiplicative completely positive linear contractions $L_{1}, L_{2}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ for which the following hold (with $\left.\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{0}\right\}\right):$
(ii) $\left[L_{1}\right]_{\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}_{0}}=\left.\left[L_{2}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}_{0}}$;
(iii) $\left|\tau \circ L_{1}(\mathrm{~g})-\tau \circ L_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right|<\sigma$ for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A);
(iv) $\mathrm{e}=L_{1} \circ \emptyset_{0}\left(1_{A}\right)=L_{2} \circ \emptyset_{0}\left(1_{A}\right)$ is a projection;
(v) $\operatorname{cel}\left(\widetilde{L_{1}}\left(\emptyset_{0}(\mathrm{u})\right) * \widetilde{L_{2}}\left(\left(\emptyset_{0}(\mathrm{u})\right)\right)\right) \leqslant \mathbf{L}(\mathrm{u})($ in $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eBe}))$ for all $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathcal{P}$,
there exists a unitary $U \in B$ such that
$\operatorname{ad}(\mathrm{U}){ }^{\circ} L_{1} \approx_{\varepsilon} L_{2} \quad$ on $\mathcal{F}$.
Note that (i) holds as long as $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and does not depend on $\mathbf{L}, \varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{F}$.
Theorem(4.1.50)[89]: Let A be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and with torsion $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset$ A there exist $\delta>0, \sigma>0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$ satisfying the following: for any unital simple $C^{*}$ algebra B with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$, any two $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative completely positive linear contractions $L_{1}, L_{2}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ with

$$
\left.\left[L_{1}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\left[L_{2}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~B})}\left\{\left|\tau^{\circ} L_{1}(\mathrm{~g})-\tau^{\circ} L_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right|\right\}<\sigma
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a unitary $\mathrm{U} \in \mathrm{B}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{ad}(\mathrm{U}) \circ L_{1} \approx_{\varepsilon} L_{2} \text { on } \mathcal{F}
$$

Theorem. (4.1.51)[89]: Let A be a unital nuclear simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and with torsion $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$ which satisfies the AUCT . Then an automorphism $\alpha: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is approximately inner if and only if $[\alpha]=\left[i d_{A}\right]$ in $\operatorname{KL}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A})$ and $\tau \circ \alpha(\mathrm{x})=\tau(\mathrm{x})$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$.
Proof: If $\alpha$ is approximately inner, then it is clear that

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau} \circ \alpha(\mathrm{x})=\tau(\mathrm{x})
$$

for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A). The "only if" part follows from [35]. It is also clear that the "if part".

## Section (4.2) Existance and Classfication Theorms

Definition(4.2.1)[89]: Let A and B be two unital stably finite $C^{*}$ - algebras and let $\alpha: K_{0}$ (A) $\rightarrow K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ be a positive homomorphism and $\Lambda: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$ be a continuous affine map. We say $\Lambda$ is compatible with $\alpha$ if $\Lambda(\tau)(\mathrm{x})=\tau(\alpha(\mathrm{x}))$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$, where we view $\tau$ as a state on $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Let S be a compact convex set. Denote by $\mathrm{A} f f(\mathrm{~S})$ the set of all (real) continuous affine functions on S . Let $\Lambda: \mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ be a continuous affine map from S to another compact convex set T . We denote by $\Lambda: \mathrm{A} f f(\mathrm{~T}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f(\mathrm{~S})$ the unital positive linear continuous map defined by $\Lambda(f)(\mathrm{s})=f(\Lambda(\mathrm{~s}))$ for $f \in \mathrm{~A} f f(\mathrm{~T})$. A positive linear map $\xi$ : $\mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B})$ is said to be compatible with $\alpha$ if $\xi(\hat{p})(\tau)=\tau(\alpha(\mathrm{p}))$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (B) and any projection $\mathrm{p} \in M_{\infty}(\mathrm{A})$. Let A be a unital $C^{*}$ - algebra (with at least one normalized trace). Define $\mathcal{Q}: A_{s a} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A})$ by $\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{a})(\tau)=\tau$ (a) for $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$. Then $\mathcal{Q}$ is a unital positive linear map.
A $C^{*}$ - algebra A is said to be KK-attainable for a class of stably finite $C^{*}$ - algebras C , if for any $C^{*}$ - algebra $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{C}$, any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))^{++}$(see 8.2 ) and any finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ with $\left[1_{A}\right] \subset \mathcal{P}$, there exists a sequence of completely positive linear contractions $L_{n}$ : $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes$ Ksuch that

$$
\left.\left\|L_{n}(a) L_{n}(b)-L_{n}(a b)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \operatorname{and}\left[L_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathcal{P}} \text { for all } \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b} \in \mathrm{~A} .
$$

For the rest of the section, when we say a $C^{*}$ - algebra A is KK-attainable, we mean that A is KK-attainable for unital separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebras with tracial rank no more than 1.
As in [77], if for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exists a $C^{*}$ - subalgebra $A_{1}$ of A which is KK -attainable such that $\mathrm{F} \subset_{\varepsilon} A_{1}$, then A is KK -attainable.
A unital nuclear separable simple $C^{*}$ - algebra A with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ is said to be pre-classifiable if it satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem and is KK-attainable, and, in addition to the above, for any unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and any continuous affine map $\Lambda: T(B) \rightarrow T(A)$ compatible with $\alpha$,

$$
\sup _{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~B})}\left\{\left|\Lambda(\tau)(a)-\tau^{\circ} L_{n}(a)\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~A}
$$

Or, equivalently, for any contractive positive linear map $\xi: \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B})$ compatible with $\alpha$,

$$
\sup _{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~B})}\left\{\left|\xi(Q(a))(\tau)-\tau^{\circ} L_{n}(a)\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in A_{s a}
$$

If $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is a unital homomorphism, then h induces a unital positive affine map $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}$ $(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B})$. The map $h_{\#}$ is contractive. Suppose that Y is a compact metric space and $\mathrm{P} \in M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y}))$ is a non-zero projection with constant rank. It is known and easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{A} f f \mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{P} M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y})) \mathrm{P}\right)=\mathrm{A} f f\left(\mathrm { T } \left(M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y}))=C_{R}(\mathrm{Y}) .\right.\right.
$$

Theorem(4.2.2)[89]: Let A be a simple unital $C^{*}$ - algebra with at least one tracial state. Then for any affine function $f \in \mathrm{~A} f f(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))$ with $\|f E\| \leqslant 1$ and any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an
element $\mathrm{a} \in A_{s a}$ with $\|a\|<\|f\|+\varepsilon$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{a})=f(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A). Furthermore, if $f>$ 0 , we can choose a 0 .
Proof:We prove this using the results in [19]. We may identify T (A) with the real part of the unit sphere of $\left(A^{q}\right) *$ (see [19] for the notation). By [8, 2.8], it suffices to consider those $f \in \mathrm{~A} f f(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))$ with $f(\tau)>0$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. There is an element $\mathrm{b} \in\left(A^{q}\right) * * *$ such that $\mathrm{b}(\tau)=f(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. Since f is (weak-*) continuous, $\mathrm{b} \in A^{q}$. Since $\mathrm{b}(\tau)>0$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A), by [19] there is $\mathrm{c} \in A_{+}$and $\mathrm{z} \in A_{s a}$ with $\tau(\mathrm{z})=0$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A) (i.e., $\mathrm{z} \in A_{0}$ using the notation in [19]) such that $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{z}$. Now the theorem follows from [19].
$\operatorname{Lemma}(4.2 .3)[89]:$ Let A be a separable unital $C^{*}$ - algebra. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ be a finite subset. Then there exists $\delta>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset$ A satisfying the following: for any unital separable $C^{*}$ - algebra C with at least one tracial state and any unital contractive positive linear maps $L: A \rightarrow C$ which is $\mathcal{G}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative, then, for any $t \in T(C)$ there is a trace $\tau \in T$ (A) such that

$$
|\tau(a)-t(L(a))|<\varepsilon \text { for all } \mathrm{a} \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Proof. Otherwise, there would be an $\varepsilon 0>0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$, a sequence of unital separable $C^{*}$ - algebra $C_{n}$, a sequence of unital contractive positive linear map $L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow C_{n}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(a) L_{n}(b)-L_{n}(a b)\right\|=0 \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b} \in \mathrm{~A},
$$

and a sequence $t_{n} \in \mathrm{~T}\left(C_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\max \left\{\left|t(a)-t_{n}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right|: \mathrm{a} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}: \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A})\right\} \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}
$$

for all n . Let $s_{n}$ be a state of A which extends $t_{n}{ }^{\circ} L_{n}$. Let $\tau$ be a weak limit of $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$. So there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ such that $\tau(\mathrm{a})=\lim _{\mathrm{m} \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_{k}}$ (a) for all a $\in \mathrm{A}$. It is a routine to check that $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. Therefore, there exists $\mathrm{K}>0$, such that

$$
\left|\tau(a)-t_{n_{k}}\left(L_{n_{k}}(a)\right)\right|<\varepsilon_{0} / 2
$$

for all $\mathrm{k} \geqslant \mathrm{K}$. We obtain a contradiction.
Lemma(4.2.4)[89]: Let $A=C(X)$, where $X$ is a path connected finite CW-complex. Let $B$ be a unital separable nuclear non-elementary simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ and $\Lambda: T$ (B) $\rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ (A) be a continuous affine map. Then, for any $\sigma>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathrm{A} f f$ $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$, there exists a unital monomorphism $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ such that the image of h is in a $C^{*}$ subalgebra $B_{0} \in \mathrm{I}$ and

$$
\|h(f)-\Lambda(f)\|<\varepsilon
$$

for all $\mathrm{f} \quad \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathrm{h}, \Lambda: \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B})$ are the maps induced by h and $\Lambda$, respec-tively.
Moreover, if there is positive homomorphism $\alpha: K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ with $\alpha\left(\left[1_{A}\right]\right)=\left[1_{B}\right]$ and $\Lambda$ is compatible with $\alpha$, then the above is also true for $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{P} M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})) \mathrm{P}$, where $\mathrm{P} \in M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))$ is a projection in $M_{1}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))$. Furthermore, if X is contractible, we can also require that $h_{* 0}=\alpha$.

Corollary(4.2.5)[89]: Let A $\in \mathrm{I}$, B be a unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$ - algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1, \gamma: K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ be a positive homomorphism and $\Lambda: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$ be an affine contin-uous map which is compatible with $\gamma$. Then, for any $\sigma>0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{A}$, there exists a unital monomorphism $\emptyset: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~B})}\left\{\left|\tau^{\circ} \emptyset(g)-\Lambda(\tau)(g)\right|\right\}<\sigma
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\emptyset_{*}=\gamma$.
Proof: Note that 9.5 holds for $\mathrm{A}=M_{n}$. It is then clear that, by considering each summand of A, the corollary follows from 9.5.
Proposition (4.2.6)[89]: Every KK-attainable, unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra A with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ which satisfies the AUCT is pre-classifiable.
Proof. Let A be a KK-attainable separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ satisfying the AUCT and B be a unital nuclear separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))^{++}, \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ be a finite subset containing $\left[1_{A}\right]$, and $\Lambda: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B}) \rightarrow$ T (A) be a continuous map which is comparable to $\left.\alpha\right|_{K_{0}}(\mathrm{~A})$. Suppose that $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{B}$ is a projection such that $\alpha\left(1_{A}\right)=e$. To save notation, without loss of generality, we may assume that $B=e(B$ $\otimes K)$ e. Let $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n}=0$. For each n , since A is a unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, there are nonzero projections $p_{n} \in \mathrm{~A}$ and a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{n} \in I$ with $1_{C_{n}}=p_{n}$, and a sequence of unital completely positive linear contractions $\Phi_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow C_{n}$ such that:
(i) $\left\|\left[x, p_{n}\right]\right\|<\delta_{n}$,
(ii) $\left\|p_{n} x p_{n}-\Phi_{n}(x)\right\|<\delta_{n}$
(iii) $\left\|x-\left(p_{n} x p_{n} \oplus \Phi_{n}(x)\right)\right\|<\delta_{n}$ for all $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}$ with $\|x\| \leqslant 1$ and
(iv) $\tau\left(1-p_{n}\right)<1 / 2 \mathrm{n}$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

Denote by $\Psi_{n}(\mathrm{x})=\left(1-p_{n}\right) \mathrm{x}\left(1-p_{n}\right)+\Phi_{n}(\mathrm{x})($ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A})$. Note that $\left\|\Psi_{n}(a b)-\Psi_{n}(a) \Psi_{n}(b)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\Phi_{n}(a b)-\Phi_{n}(a) \Phi_{n}(b)\right\| \rightarrow 0$
for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.
Since A is KK-attainable, for each n , there exists a sequence of completely positive linear contractions $L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathrm{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left.\left[\Psi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.[i d]\right|_{\mathcal{P}},\left.\quad\left[L_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathcal{P}},\left.\quad\left[L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Psi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathcal{P}},} \\
\left\|L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Psi_{n}(a b)-L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Psi_{n}(a) L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Psi_{n}(b)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \\
\left\|L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Phi_{n}(a b)-L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Phi_{n}(a) L_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Phi_{n}(b)\right\| \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$. Suppose that $C_{n}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t(n)} D_{n, i}$, where $D_{n, i} \cong M_{(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})}$ or $D_{n, i} \cong M_{(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})}$ ( $\mathrm{C}(0,1])$ ). Let $d_{n, i}=i d_{D_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}}$. We may also assume that, for each $\mathrm{n}, L_{n}\left(d_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right)$ is a projection and $\quad\left[L_{n}\right]\left(\left[d_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right]\right)=\alpha\left(\left[d_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right]\right)$ for all $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}$.
Let $\gamma_{n}: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}\left(C_{n}\right)$ be defined by $\gamma_{n}(\tau)=\left.\left(1 / \tau\left(p_{n}\right)\right) \tau\right|_{C_{n}}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ be a finite subset (containing generators) of $C_{n}$ and let $\left\{d_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with
$\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} d_{n}=0$. For large n , we obtain a homomorphism $h_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow q_{n} \mathrm{~B} q_{n}$, where $\left[d_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right]=$ $\alpha\left(\left[d_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right]\right)$, such that

$$
\left|\tau{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{h}_{n}(\mathrm{~g})-\gamma_{n}{ }^{\circ} \Lambda(\tau)(\mathrm{g})\right|<\mathrm{dn} \text { for all } \tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~B}) \text { and }
$$

for all $\mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$. Put $\emptyset_{n}(\mathrm{x})=L_{n} \circ\left(\left(1-p_{n}\right) \mathrm{x}\left(1-p_{n}\right)\right)+h_{n} \circ \Phi_{n}(\mathrm{x})$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{A}$. It is easy to see, by choosing a large $\mathrm{n}, \emptyset_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ meets the requirements of Definition (4.2.1)
Lemma(4.2.7)[89]: Let A be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra, B be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ and $\mathrm{F} \in \mathrm{I}$ be a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of B . Let G be a subgroup generated by a finite subset of $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$. Suppose that there is an $\mathcal{F}-\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{B}$ such that $\left.[\psi]\right|_{G}$ is well defined. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a finite-dimensional $C^{*}$-subalgebra $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{B}$ and an $\mathcal{F}$ - $\delta$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{B}$ such that

$$
\left.[L]\right|_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})}=\left.[\psi]\right|_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})}, \quad \text { and } \quad \tau\left(1_{C}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

for all tracial states $\tau$ in $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$ and for all $\mathrm{k} \geqslant 1$ so that $\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{k})=\neq\{0\}$, where $\mathbf{L}$ and $\psi$ are viewed as maps to $B$. Furthermore, if $[\psi]_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})}$ is positive, so is $\left.[L]\right|_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})}$.
Proof: Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. Without loss of gen-erality, we may assume that $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C}([0,1]) \otimes M_{n}$. Let $q_{1} \in \mathrm{~F}$ be a minimal projection. Suppose that

$$
\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{k} \mathbf{Z})=\{0\} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{K} .
$$

with $\mathrm{m}=2 l \mathrm{~K}!+1$ and $1 / l<\varepsilon / \mathrm{n}$, we may write $q_{1}=\mathrm{q}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}$, where $[\mathrm{q}] \leqslant\left[p_{1}\right]$, $\mathrm{q}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are mutually orthogonal projections, $\left[p_{1}\right]=\left[p_{i}\right], \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$ and $\tau\left(p_{1}\right)$ $<1 / 2 l<\varepsilon / 2 \mathrm{n}$. Set $e_{1}=\mathrm{q}+p_{1}$ and $q_{0}=\sum_{j=2}^{2 l+1} p_{j}$. Then $\left[e_{1}\right]+\mathrm{K}!\left[q_{0}\right]=\left[q_{1}\right]$ in $K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}\left(e_{1}\right)<\varepsilon / n$ for all tracial states $\tau$ on B.From this we obtain a $C^{*}$-subalgebra C of B such that $\mathrm{C} \cong M_{n}$ and its minimal projection is equivalent to $e_{1}$. In particular, $\tau\left(1_{C}\right)<\varepsilon$. Let $\emptyset$ : $\mathrm{F} \rightarrow M_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be a unital homomorphism, where the map $\mathrm{F} \rightarrow M_{n}$ is a point-evaluation. Let L $=\emptyset \circ \psi, j_{1}: \mathrm{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and $j_{2}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be embeddings. By the choice of $q_{1},\left[e_{1}\right]$ and $\left[q_{1}\right]$ have the same image in $K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{k} K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ for $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}$. Therefore $\left(j_{1}\right)_{*}=\left(j_{2}{ }^{\circ} \emptyset\right)_{*}$ on $K_{0}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbf{Z} /$ $\mathrm{k} \mathbf{Z}$ ) for all $\mathrm{k} \leqslant \mathrm{K}$. Since $K_{1}(\mathrm{~F})=K_{1}(\mathrm{C})=0$, by the six-term exact sequence in 8.2 (see [32, 1.6]), both [L] and [ $\psi$ ] map $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})$ to $K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}) / \mathrm{k} K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})$ and factor through $K_{0}(\mathrm{~F}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})$. Therefore

$$
\left.[L]\right|_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})}=\left.[\psi]\right|_{\mathrm{G} \cap K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{kZ})}, \quad \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K} .
$$

The general case in which F is a direct sum of $M_{1}(\mathrm{C}([0,1]))$ follows immediately.
Lemma (4.2.8)[89]: Let $\mathrm{C}=\oplus_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}$, where each $C_{j}=P_{j} M_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{j})}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{j}\right)\right) P_{j}, P_{j}$ is a projection in $M_{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{j})}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{j}\right)\right)$ and $X_{j}$ is a path connected compact metric space with finitely generated $K_{1}\left(C_{j}\right)$ $K_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{j}\right)\right)=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \operatorname{tor}\left(K_{0}\left(C_{j}\right)\right), K_{1}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(X_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\left.K_{0}\left(C_{j}\right)\right) \subset\{(z, \mathrm{x}): z \in \mathbf{N}$, or $(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x})=(0,0)\}$.
Then C is KK -attainable.
Proposition(4.2.9)[89]: Let A be a separable unital simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$. If A is locally AH , then A is pre-classifiable.

Proof: It follows from [76] that A satisfies the AUCT. We may assume that $\mathrm{A}=\overline{\mathrm{U}_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}}$, where each $A_{n}$ is a finite direct sums of $P_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}} M_{\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i})} \mathrm{C}\left(X_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}\right) P_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}$ and $X_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}}$ is a path connected finite CW complex. One may assume that $1_{A_{n}}=1_{A}$. Put $j_{n}: A_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ the embedding. Consider $j_{n} \times \alpha$. If $A_{n}$ has only one summand, then $K_{0}\left(A_{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ ker $\rho_{A_{n}}$. Since $\alpha$ $\in K L(A, B)^{++}$,
$\left(j_{n} \times \alpha\right) \in K L\left(A_{n}, B\right)^{++}$. By considering each summand separately, we may assume An has only one summand. Since A is simple it suffices to show that, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X})$ is
KK-attainable for every path connected finite CW complex X.
Let $\alpha \in K K(A, B)^{++}$. Suppose that $\alpha\left(1_{A}\right)=[\mathrm{p}](\neq 0)$, where $\mathrm{p} \in M_{l}(\mathrm{~B})$ is a projection. Fix a unital nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra B with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$. By [134], there is a unital simple $C^{*}$ algebra C which is direct limit of $C^{*}$-algebras such that

$$
\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C}), K_{0}(C)_{+},\left[1_{C}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{C})\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})\right) .
$$

By [125], there exists $\beta \in \operatorname{KK}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B})$ which gives the above isomorphism.
Let $\alpha \in K L(A, B)^{++}$and $\gamma=\alpha \times \beta^{-1} \in K L(A, C)^{++}$. Since $K_{i}(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{X}))$ is finitely generated, $\operatorname{KL}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{KK}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C})$. In particular, $\gamma\left(K_{0}(A)_{+} \backslash\{0\}\right) \subset K_{0}(\mathrm{C})+\backslash\{0\}$. By [58], there is a homomorphism $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{p} M_{l}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{p}$ such that $[\mathrm{h}]=\gamma$. But by 9.9 , since each $C^{*}$-algebra described in Lemma (4.2.8)is KK-attainable, C is KK-attainable (see 9.1). Let $\varepsilon>0$ and fix finite subsets $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathcal{Q}=[\mathrm{h}](\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{C})$. Let $\Lambda: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be a $\mathcal{G}$ - $\varepsilon$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that $\left.[\Lambda]\right|_{Q}=\left.\beta\right|_{Q}$.
Define $\mathrm{L}=\Lambda \circ h$. Then $\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow B$ is a $\mathcal{F}$ - $\varepsilon$-multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that
$\left.[L]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$.
So A is $K K$-attainable.
Lemma(4.2.10)[89]: Let A be a unital separable $C^{*}$-algebra, $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{k}\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of finitesubsets of the unit ball of A such that $\cup k \mathcal{F}_{k}$ is dense in the unit ball of A, and let $\Phi_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ be a sequence of unital contractive completely positive linear maps such that $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Phi_{n}(\mathrm{a})\right\|=\|a\|$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ and

$$
\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left\|\Phi_{k}(\mathrm{ab})-\Phi_{k}(a) \Phi_{k}(b)\right\|<\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \delta_{n}
$$

for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$, and for anynfinite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A}),\left.\left[\Phi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.[i d]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$ for all sufficiently large n , where $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \supset \cup_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_{k}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \cup \mathcal{F}_{n} \cup \Phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right), \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots$, and where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<$ $\infty$
. Let B $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathrm{~A}, \Phi_{n}\right)$ be the generalized inductive limit in the sense of [7]). Then $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}$ induces an isomorphism
$\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$.

Proof: The proof is standard. We sketch here. Write $K_{i}(\mathrm{~A})=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}^{(i)}$, where each $G_{n}^{(i)}$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. Let $\Phi_{n, n+m}=\Phi_{n+m-1}{ }^{\circ} \ldots . .{ }^{\circ} \Phi_{n}$ and $\Psi_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be the map induced by the inductive system which maps the $n$th A to B. For each $G_{n}^{(i)}$, we may assume that $\left.\left[\Psi_{n}\right]\right|_{G_{n}^{(i)}}$ is well defined for all $\mathrm{m} \geqslant \mathrm{n}$. The assumption that $\left.\left[\Phi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=$ $\left.\left[i d_{A}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$
for all sufficiently large n implies that $\left.\left[\Psi_{m}\right]\right|_{G_{n}^{(i)}}=\left.\left[\Psi_{m}\right]\right|_{G_{n}^{(i)}}$ for all $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m} \geqslant \mathrm{n}$. This gives a homomorphism $\beta_{i}: K_{i}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow K_{i}(\mathrm{~B})(\mathrm{i}=0,1)$.
Suppose that $p_{1}, p_{2}, v \in M_{l}(\mathrm{~B})$ such that $v^{*} v=p_{1}$ and $v v^{*}=p_{2}$. There is a sequence $\left\{\Psi_{n}\left(a_{k}\right.\right.$ $)\}$, where $a_{k} \in M_{l}(\mathrm{~A})$, such that it converges to $v$. Since $v^{*} v=p_{1}$, we have $\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(a_{k}^{*} a_{k}\right) \rightarrow p_{1}$ and $\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(a_{k} a_{k}{ }^{*}\right) \rightarrow p_{2}$. Therefore we may assume that $\left\|\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(a_{k}^{*} a_{k}-\left(a_{k}^{*} a_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right\|<1 / 2^{k+1}$ and $\left\|\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(a_{k}^{*} a_{k}\right)-p_{1}\right\|<1 / 2^{k+1}$.
Since $\left\|\Psi_{m}(x)\right\|=\lim \sup \left\|\Phi_{m, n}(x)\right\|$ for all $x \in A$ and $m \geqslant 1$, by passing to a subsequence and possibly replacing ak by $\Phi_{m_{k}, n_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right), \Psi_{n_{k}}$ by $\Psi_{m_{k}}$, if necessary, we may assume that $\left\|a_{k}^{*} a_{k}-\left(a_{k}^{*} a_{k}\right)^{2}\right\|<1 / 2^{2}, \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots$
It is standard that there is a partial isometry $v_{k}$ and a projection $q_{k} \in$ A such that $v_{k}^{*} v_{k}=q_{k}$ and $\left\|v_{k}-a_{k}\right\|<1 / 2^{k-1}$
for all large k. Let $q_{k}=v_{k} v_{k}^{*}$. Note also, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have
$\left\|\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(q_{k}\right)-p_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon \quad$ and $\quad\left\|\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(q_{k}\right)-p_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon$
for all large k . Hence $\left[\Psi_{n_{k}}\right]\left(q_{k}\right)=\left[p_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\Psi_{n_{k}}\right]\left(q_{k}\right)=\left[p_{2}\right]$ This, in particular, implies that $\left[p_{1}\right]$ is in the image of $\beta_{0}$. It follows that $\beta_{0}$ is surjective. Note also that $\left[q_{k}\right]=\left[q_{k}\right]$ in $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$. It follows that $\beta_{0}$ is also injective. It is also easy to check from the definition that $\beta_{0}$ preserves the order.
In the above, if we let $\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{w}=p_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ww} * \leqslant p_{2}$, then exactly the same argument shows that there are partial isometries $v_{k} \in \mathrm{~A}$ such that $v_{k} v_{k}^{*}=q_{k}, v_{k} v_{k}^{*} \leqslant q_{k}$ and $\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(v_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{v}$, $\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(q_{k}\right) \rightarrow p_{1}$ and $\Psi_{n_{k}}\left(q_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{VV}^{*} \leqslant p_{2}$. These imply that $\beta_{0}$ is an order isomorphism.

A similar argument shows that $\beta_{1}$ is an isomorphism and $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})=K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})$.
Theorem(4.2.11)[89]: Let $A$ be a unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(A) \leqslant 1$ satisfy-ing the AUCT. Then there exists a unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebra B with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B})=0$ satisfying AUCT and the following:
(i) $\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{B}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})\right)$,
(ii)there exists a sequence of contractive completely positive linear maps $\Phi_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ such that:
(i) $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\Phi_{n}(\mathrm{ab})-\Phi_{n}(\mathrm{a}) \Phi_{n}(\mathrm{~b})\right\|=0$ for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$,
(ii)For each finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$ there exists an integer $\mathrm{N}>0$ such that $\left.\left[\Phi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.[\alpha]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$
for all $\mathrm{n} \geqslant \mathrm{N}$, where $\alpha \in \operatorname{KL}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ which gives an identification in (i) above.
Theorem(4.2.12)[89]: (Cf. [134].) Suppose that G is a countable, partially ordered abelian group which is simple, weakly unperforated with the Riesz interpolation property, that $\mathrm{G} / \operatorname{tor}(\mathrm{G})$ is non-cyclic,
$\mathrm{u} \in G_{+}, \mathrm{H}$ is a countable abelian group, $\Delta$ is a metrizable Choquet simplex and $\lambda: \Delta \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{u})$ is a continuous affine map with $\lambda\left(\partial_{e} \Delta\right)=\partial_{e} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{u})$. Then there is a simple AHalgebra $\mathrm{A}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}, h_{n}\right)$ with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and with $A_{n}=C_{1} \oplus C_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{m(n)}$, where $C_{1}$ is of the form as described in 7.1 (a single summand) and $C_{j}$ is of the form $\mathrm{C}([0,1]) \otimes M_{m(j)}$ (for
j> 1 ), such that:
(1) $h_{n}=h_{n}^{(0)} \oplus h_{n}^{(1)} \oplus h_{n}^{(2)}$, where $h_{n}^{(0)}, h_{n}^{(1)}$ factor through a $C^{*}$-algebra in I, and $h_{n}$ is injective, in particular, $h_{n}^{(0)}$ is homotopically trivial,
(i) $\tau \circ h_{\mathrm{n}+1, \infty} \circ h_{n}^{(0)}\left(1_{A_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on T (A),
(ii) $\tau \circ h_{\mathrm{n}+1, \infty} \circ h_{n}^{(2)}\left(1_{A_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on T (A),
(iii) $\left(h_{n}\right)_{* 1}$ is injective and
(iv) $\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A}), r_{A}\right)=\left(\mathrm{G}, G_{+}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{H}, \Delta, \lambda\right)$.

Proof: The proof of this is a combination of Villadsen's proof of the main theorem in [134]. Let $\mathrm{A}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}, h_{n}\right)$ be as in [75]. This algebra A satisfies (iii), (iv) and $\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=\left(\mathrm{G}, G_{+}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{H}\right)$. Moreover each An can be chosen so it has the form as required. Here one needs one modification and one explanation. We use $C_{j}=M_{m(j)}$ for $\mathrm{j}>1$. But we can map $\mathrm{C}\left([0,1], M_{m(j)}\right)$ into $M_{m(j)}$ by a one point-evaluation and then map $M_{m(j)}$ into $\mathrm{C}\left([0,1], M_{m(j)}\right)$ (as constant functions). So we can assume that $A_{n}$ has the required form. Note also that the new $A_{n}$ has the same K-theory as the old one. If $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{F}=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(F_{n}, \gamma_{n}\right), K_{1}\left(A_{n}\right)=F_{n}$ and the map $\Phi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}+1}$ has the property $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}+1}\right)_{* 1}=$ $\gamma_{n}$. However, since F is a countable abelian group, one can always assume that Fn is finitely generated and $\gamma_{n}$ is injective (by choosing $F_{n}$ as subgroups and $\gamma_{n}$ as embeddings) so that (iv) holds.
We will revise the map hn to meet the other requirements. Villadsen's proof in [134] is to replace $h_{n}$ by $\emptyset_{n}$ without changing its K-theory in such a way that one gets $\Delta$ as tracial space and $\lambda$ as pairing. We will follow his proof with a minor modification. Each $h_{n}$ may be written as $h_{n} \oplus h_{n}$, where hn is a point-evaluation, as in [75]. that holds when $X_{q}^{i}$ is a compact connected CW complex with dimension at least one but no more than three. Following Villadsen's proof, as in [134] and its proof, one can replace $h_{n}$ to achieve exactly what [134]achieved. It should be noted that Villadsen's proof of the main theorem in [134] works when $X_{q}^{j}$ has lower dimension (but at least one), since the required maps $i_{q}^{j}:[0,1] \rightarrow X_{q}^{j}$ and
$k_{q}^{j}: X_{q}^{j} \rightarrow[0,1]$ still exist. The new map obtained from Villadsen's proof has the form $\widetilde{\Psi}_{n}=$ $h_{n} \oplus \tilde{h}_{n}$, where $\tilde{h}_{n}$ is homotopically trivial. Furthermore, it can be chosen so that it factors through a $C^{*}$-algebra in I. The construction of Villadsen then gives a simple AH-algebra $B$ with $\operatorname{TR}(B) \leqslant 1$ and satisfies (5). Moreover, one has $\tau^{\circ} \widetilde{\Psi}_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} h_{n}\left(1_{A_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $T$ (B). The construction does not change (3) and (4). It is also easy to get (1) and (2). For example, consider $h_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} h_{n} \oplus h_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} \widetilde{h}_{n} \oplus \tilde{h}_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} \widetilde{\Psi}_{n}$. Note that $h_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} \widetilde{h}_{n}$ and $\tilde{h}_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} \widetilde{\Psi}_{n}$ are homotopically trivial and $\tau^{\circ} \widetilde{\Psi}_{n, \infty}{ }^{\circ} h_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} \tilde{h}_{n}\left(1_{A_{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on T(B).
Definition(4.2.13)[89]: Let C be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra. We denote by $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ the set of states on $K_{0}(\mathrm{C})$, i.e., the set of order and unit preserving homomorphisms from $K_{0}(\mathrm{C})$ to (the additive group) $\mathbb{R}$. There is an affine map $\lambda: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ such that $\lambda(\mathrm{t})([\mathrm{p}])=\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{p})$ for all projections $\mathrm{p} \in M_{\infty}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$. Suppose that C is stably finite. It was proved in [123] (for the simple case) that each state in $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ ) is induced
by a quasitrace $\mathrm{t} \in Q \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})$. If C is exact, or if it is both simple and of tracial rank at most one, then all quasitraces on C are traces.
Let A and B be two unital $C^{*}$-algebras. We say
$\gamma:\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})\right) \rightarrow\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{B}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})\right)$
is an order isomorphism if there is an order isomorphism
$\gamma_{0}:\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})_{+}\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})_{+}\right)$
which maps $\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right]$ to $\left[1_{\mathrm{B}}\right]$, there is an isomorphism $\gamma_{1}: K_{1}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow K_{1}(\mathrm{~B})$ and an affine homeomorphism $\gamma_{2}: T(A) \rightarrow T(B)$ such that $\gamma_{2}^{-1}(\tau)(x)=\tau\left(\gamma_{0}(\mathrm{x})\right)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$ and $\mathrm{x} \in K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$, where we view $\tau$ as a state on $K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})$.
Theorem(4.1.14)[89]: Let $A$ and $B$ be two unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebras with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ satisfying AUCT such that $\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{B}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})\right)$
in the sense of 10.2 . Then there is a sequence of contractive completely positive linear maps $\left\{\Psi_{n}\right\}$ from A to B such that:
(i) $\quad \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{n}(\mathrm{ab})-\Psi_{n}(\mathrm{a}) \Psi_{n}(\mathrm{~b})=0$ for all $a, \mathrm{~b} \in \mathrm{~A}$,
(ii) for any finite subset set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{A})$,
$\left.\left[\Psi_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$,
for all sufficiently large n , where $\alpha \in K L(A, B)^{++}$gives the above identification on K-theory and
(iii) $\quad \lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\operatorname{sit}(\mathrm{B})}\left\{\left|\tau{ }^{\circ} \Psi_{n}(\mathrm{a})-\xi(Q(\mathrm{a}))(\tau)\right|\right\}$
$f$ or all $\mathrm{a} \in A_{s a}$, where $\xi: \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} f f \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B})$ is the affine isometry given above.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 9.12 that there is a unital separable simple nuclear $C^{*}$ - algebra C with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{C})=0$ satisfying the AUCT such that
$\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A),\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})\right)=\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C}), K_{0}(C)_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{C}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{C})\right)$
and a sequence of contractive completely positive linear maps $L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ satisfying condition
(ii) of theorem(4.1.63) In particular, $\left.\left[L_{n}\right]\right|_{\mathcal{P}}=\left.\beta\right|_{\mathcal{P}}$, for any finite subset $\mathcal{P}$ and all sufficiently large $n$, where $\beta \in K L(A, C)^{++}$gives the above identification on K-theory. It follows from [93] that there is a unital separable simple AH -algebra $C_{1}$ such that $C_{1} \cong \mathrm{C}$. To simplify notation, we may assume that $C_{1}=\mathrm{C}$.
It follows from 9.10 that there exists a sequence of contractive completely positive linear maps $\Phi_{n}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ such that:
(i ) $\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Phi_{n}(a b)-\Phi_{n}(a) \Phi_{n}(b)\right|=0$ for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{C}$,
(ii ) for any finite subset $Q \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{C})$,
$\left.\left[\Phi_{n}\right]\right|_{Q}=\left.\left(\beta^{-1} \times \alpha\right)\right|_{Q}, \quad$ for all sufficiently large $n$.
Thus by choosing a subsequence $\{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{n})\}$ and defining $\Psi_{n}=\Phi_{k(n)}{ }^{\circ} L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ we see that $\Psi_{n}$ satisfies (i) and (ii). (In fact one can show that A is KK-attainable.) We then apply the proof of 9.7, to obtain a (new) sequence $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}$ which also satisfies (iii).
Using the argument of [93], Zhuang Niu gives a different proof of the above theorem.
Theorem(4.2.15)[89]: $\quad$ Let $A$ and $B$ be two unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebras with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ satisfying the AUCT. Suppose that $\lambda\left(\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))\right)=\partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)\right)$ and $\lambda\left(\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~B}))\right)=\partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B})\right)\right)$. Then A is isomorphic to B if and only if there exists an order isomorphism

$$
\gamma=\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A}), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{A}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~A})\right) \rightarrow
$$

$\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~B}), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{\mathrm{B}}\right], K_{1}(\mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})\right)$,
where $\gamma_{2}^{-1}(\tau)(\mathrm{x})=\tau\left(\gamma_{0}(\mathrm{x})\right)$ for all $\quad \tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{B})$ and $\mathrm{x} \in K_{0}(A)$ (see 10.2).
Theorem(4.2.16)[89]: Let A and B be two unital simple AH-algebras with very slow dimension growth and with torsion $K_{1}(\mathrm{~A})$. Then A is isomorphic to $B$ if and only if

$$
\left(K_{0}(A), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(A), T(A)\right) \cong\left(K_{0}(B), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(B), T(B)\right)
$$

Let C be a stably finite non-unital $C^{*}$-algebra with an approximate identity consisting of projections $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$. Let $T$ (C) denotes the set of traces $\tau$ on C such that $\sup _{n} \tau\left(e_{n}\right)=1$. We refer to these traces as tracial states on C , and to $T(\mathrm{C})$ the tracial state space of C. Note that each tracial state extends to a tracial state on $\tilde{C}$. Therefore $T(\tilde{C})$ is the set of convex combinations of $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$ and the tracial state which vanishes on C . We also denote by $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$ the set of those order preserving homomorphisms from $K_{0}(C)$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sup _{n} s\left(\left[e_{n}\right]\right)=1$. Then eachelement in $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\tilde{C})\right)$ is the convex combination of s $\in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$ and the state which vanishes on $j_{*}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$, where $\mathrm{j}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is the embedding.

Lemma(4.2.17)[89]:Let A be a unital separable simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$. Then there is a $C^{*}$-algebra $C=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(C_{n}, \varphi_{n}\right)$, where $C_{n} \in \mathrm{I}$, satisfying the following:
(i) each $C_{n}$ is a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of A and $\left\{\varphi_{n, \infty}\left(1_{C_{n}}\right)\right\}$ forms an approximate identity for C;
(ii)there is a sequence of contractive completely positive linear maps $L_{n}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(a b)-L_{n}(a) L_{n}(b)\right\|=0, \quad a, b \in A ;
$$

(iii) there is an affine continuous (face-preserving) isomorphism $\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$ such that r $(\tau)\left(\varphi_{n, \infty}(\mathrm{~b})\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\varphi_{n, k}(b)\right)$ for all $b \in C_{n}$ and $\tau \in T(A)$;
(iv) there is an affine continuous ( face-preserving) isomorphism $\mathrm{r}: S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right) \rightarrow$ $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(A)\right)$ such that

$$
r(s)([p])=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{s}\left(L_{n}(p)\right) \text { for all } s \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right) \text { and projectionp } \in A,
$$ where $\tau_{s}$ is the trace which induces s.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}_{1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{2} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n} \subset \cdots$ be a sequence of finite subsets of A such that $\cup n \mathcal{F}_{n}$ is
dense in A. Since $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, there is a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{1} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ with $C_{1} \in \mathrm{I}$ and $1_{C_{1}}=p_{1}$ such that:
(i) $\left\|a p_{1}-p_{1} a\right\|<1 / 2$ for all a $\in \mathcal{F}_{1}$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dist}\left(p_{1} \mathrm{a} p_{1}, C_{1}\right)<1 / 2$ for all a $\in \mathcal{F}_{1}$,
(iii) $\tau\left(1-p_{1}\right)<1 / 4$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

Let $1>\eta_{1}>0$, there is a projection $e_{(1,1)} \leqslant p_{1}$ such that $e_{(1,1)}$ is equivalent to $1-p_{1}$. Since $\tau\left(p_{1}-e_{(1,1)}\right)>1 / 2>\tau\left(1-p_{1}\right)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$, by 4.7 again, we obtain mutually orthogonal projections $e_{(1,1)}, e_{(1,2)}$ such that $e_{(1, i)} \leqslant p_{1},\left[e_{(1,1)}\right]=\left[e_{(1,2)}\right] \geqslant\left[1-p_{1}\right]$. There are $x_{(1,1)}, x_{(1,2)} \in$ A such that $x_{(1, i)}^{*} x_{(1, i)} \geqslant 1-p_{1}$ and $x_{(1, i)} x^{*}{ }_{(1, i)}=e_{(1, i)}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ be a finite set of generators of $C_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{2} \cup \mathcal{G}_{1} \cup\left\{x_{(1, i)}, x^{*}{ }_{(1, i)}, e_{(1, i)}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2\right\}$. There is a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{2} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ with $C_{2} \in \mathrm{I}$ and $1_{C_{2}}=p_{2}$ such that:
(i) $\left\|a p_{2}-p_{2} a\right\|<\eta_{1} / 4$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$,
(ii ) $\operatorname{dist}\left(p_{2}\right.$ a $\left.p_{2}, C_{2}\right)<\eta_{1} / 4$ for all a $\in \mathcal{G}_{2}$, and
(iii) $\tau\left(1-p_{2}\right)<1 / 8$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

With sufficiently small $\eta_{1}$, there is a homomorphism $\varphi_{1}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ such that $\left\|\emptyset_{1}(b)-p_{2} b p_{2}\right\|<1 / 4$ for all $\mathrm{b} \in \mathcal{F}_{1} \cup \mathcal{G}_{1}$.
Put $q_{2}=\emptyset_{1}\left(1_{C_{1}}\right)$. With sufficiently small $\eta_{1}$, since $x_{(1, i)} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$, we may also assume that $2\left[p_{2}\right.$ $\left.-q_{2}\right] \leqslant\left[q_{2}\right]$ in $K_{0}\left(C_{2}\right)$. Note that $q_{2} \leqslant p_{2}$.

We continue in this fashion. Suppose that $C_{n} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ is a unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra which is in I has been constructed. If $\tau\left(1-p_{n}\right)<1 / 2^{n+1}$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$, there are partial isometries $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}) \in$ A
such that $p_{n}=1_{C_{1}}, x_{(1, i)}^{*} x_{(1, i)} \geqslant 1-p_{n}, x_{(1, i)} x_{(1, i)}^{*}=e_{(n, i)} \leqslant p_{n}, e_{(n, i)} e_{(n, j)}=0$ if $i \neq$ $j$ and
$\left[e_{(n, i)}\right]=\left[e_{(n, 1)}\right] \geqslant\left[1-p_{n}\right], 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ be a finite set which contains a set of generators of $C_{n}, \emptyset_{i, n}\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)$ and $\emptyset_{i, n}\left(p_{i}\right), \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1$, where $\emptyset_{i, n}=\emptyset_{n-1} \circ \emptyset_{n-2} \circ \cdots \circ \emptyset_{i}$. (Note that $C_{i} \subset \mathrm{~A}$.) Let $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}=\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{G}_{n} \cup\left\{e_{(n, i)}, x_{(1, i)}, x_{(1, i)}^{*}: 1 \leqslant \mathrm{i} \leqslant 2^{n}\right\}$. Let $1>\eta_{n+1}>0$ be a positive number to be determined (but it depends only on $C_{n}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n} \cup \mathcal{G}_{n}$ ). Since A has tracial topological rank one, there exist a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{n+1} \subset$ A with $C_{n+1} \in \mathrm{I}$ and a projection $p_{n+1}$ with $1_{c_{n+1}}=p_{n+1}$ such that:
(i) $\left\|a p_{n+1}-p_{n+1} a\right\|<\eta_{n+1} / 2^{n+1}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$,
(ii ) dist $\left(p_{n+1}\right.$ a $\left.p_{n+1}, C_{n+1}\right)<\eta_{1} / 2^{n+1}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$, and
(iii) $\tau\left(1-p_{n+1}\right)<1 / 2^{n+2}$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

We choose $\eta_{n+1}$ so small that there exist a homomorphisms $\emptyset_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ such that $\left\|\emptyset_{n}(b)-p_{n+1} b p_{n+1}\right\|<1 / 2^{n+1}$ for all $\mathrm{b} \in \mathcal{F}_{n} \cup \mathcal{G}_{n}$. (e1)
Put $q_{n+1}=\emptyset_{n}\left(p_{n}\right)$. It is useful to note that $q_{n+1} \leqslant p_{n+1}$. Since $x_{(n, i)} \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$, we may further assume that
(4) $2^{n}\left[p_{n+1}-q_{n+1}\right] \leqslant\left[q_{n+1}\right]$ in $K_{0}\left(C_{n+1}\right)$.

Set $C=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(C_{n}, \emptyset_{n}\right)$. Since each $C_{n}$ is nuclear $C^{*}$-subalgebra of A, there is a contractive completely positive linear map $L_{n}: A \rightarrow C_{n}$ (see, for example, [69]) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(a)-p_{n} a p_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$. Note that, by (1),
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(a b)-L_{n}(a) L_{n}(b)\right\|=0$ for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{A}$
Define $L_{n}=\emptyset_{n, \infty}{ }^{\circ} L_{n}$.It is clear that $L_{n}$ satisfies (ii). Put $\emptyset_{n, n+1}=\emptyset_{n}$ and for $k>\mathrm{n}+1$, $\emptyset_{n, k}=\emptyset_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ \emptyset_{n}$. Define r: $T(A) \rightarrow T(C)$ as follows. For each $\mathrm{b} \in C_{n}$, define $\mathrm{r}(\tau)\left(\varphi_{n, \infty}(\mathrm{~b})\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)$ for $\tau \in T(A)$
Note that $\emptyset_{n, k}(b) \in C_{k} \subset A$. We will show that the right-hand side above converges. Since we may replace b by $\emptyset_{n, k}(b)$ (replacing n by a larger integer if necessary), without loss of generality, we may also assume that $\mathrm{b} \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$. From (1), one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\emptyset_{n, k+j+1}(b)-p_{k+j+2} \emptyset_{n, k+j}(b) p_{k+j+2}\right\|<1 / 2^{k+j+2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any integer $\mathrm{k} \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\tau\left(p_{k+j+2} \emptyset_{n, k+j}(b) p_{k+j+2}\right)-\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k+j}(b)\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\tau\left(\left(1-p_{k+j+2}\right) \emptyset_{n, k+j}(b)\right)\left(1-p_{k+j+2}\right)\right|+\left|\tau\left(p_{k+j+2} \emptyset_{n, k+j}(b)\right)\left(1-p_{k+j+2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left|\tau\left(\left(1-p_{k+j+2}\right) \emptyset_{n, k+j}(b) p_{k+j+2}\right)\right| \\
< & 3\|b\| \tau\left(1-p_{k+j+2}\right) \leqslant 3\|b\| / 2^{k+j+2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$
\left|\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k+j+1}(b)\right)-\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k+j}(b)\right)\right|<1 / 2^{k+j+2}+3\|b\| / 2^{k+j+2} .
$$

Therefore, for any $\mathrm{m} \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left|\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)-\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k+m}(b)\right)\right|<\sum_{j=0}^{m} 1 / 2^{k+j+2}+3\|b\| \sum_{j=0}^{m} 1 / 2^{k+j+2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)$ converges. To see r is well defined, we let c $\in C_{m}$ so that $\emptyset_{m, \infty}(c)=\emptyset_{n, \infty}(b)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\mathrm{N}>\max \{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}\}$ such that

$$
\left\|\emptyset_{n, k}(b)-\emptyset_{m, k}(c)\right\|<\varepsilon\left(\operatorname{in} C_{k}\right)
$$

for all $k \geqslant \mathrm{~N}$. It follows that $\left(C_{k} \subset \mathrm{~A}\right)$ $\left|\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)-\tau\left(\emptyset_{m, k}(c)\right)\right|<\varepsilon$
for all $\tau \quad \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$ and $k \geqslant \mathrm{~N}$. It follows thatr is well defined on $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(C_{n}\right)$. Since $\left|\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)\right| \leqslant \|\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b) \|, r \quad(\tau)\right.$ is bounded linear functional on $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(C_{n}\right)$. It defines (uniquely) a bounded linear functional on C. One then easily sees that $\mathrm{r}(\tau)$ is a state.Moreover, one checks that it is a tracial state. Thus ris well defined. It is then easy to see that $r$ is an affine continuous map. Define $\mathrm{r}^{-1}: T(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow T(\mathrm{~A})$ by
$r^{-1}(t)(a)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(L_{n}(a)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right)$ for all $t \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})$ and $a \in A$
To justify the definition, we first need to show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right)$ exists. Let $a \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{k}>0$. Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{n, k, 1}(a)=\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right) L_{n+k+2}(a)\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right), \\
b_{n, k, 2}(a)=\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right) L_{n+k+2}(a) q_{n+k+2} \\
b_{n, k, 3}(a)=q_{n+k+2} L_{n+k+2}(a)\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right)
\end{gathered} \text { and }
$$

and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{n, k, 1}(a)=p_{n+k+2}\left(1-q_{n+k+1}\right) L_{n+k+2}(a)\left(1-p_{n+k+1}\right) p_{n+k+2}, \\
& b_{n, k, 2}(a)=p_{n+k+2}\left(1-q_{n+k+2}\right) L_{n+k+2}(a) p_{n+k+1} p_{n+k+2} \text { and } \\
& b_{n, k, 3}(a)=p_{n+k+2} p_{n+k+1} L_{n+k+1}(a)\left(1-p_{n+k+1}\right) p_{n+k+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $b_{n, k, i}(a) \in C_{n+k+2}, \mathrm{i}=1,2,3$. By (2) and (1) above, in A,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left[\emptyset_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} L_{n+k+1}(a)-L_{n+k+2}(a)\right]-\left[b_{n, k, 1}(a) b_{n, k, 2}(a) b_{n, k, 3}(a)\right]\right\|<5 / 2^{n+k+2}+ \\
5 \eta_{n+k+2} / 2^{n+k+2} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We also estimate:

$$
\left\|\left(b_{n, k, 1}(a) b_{n, k, 2}(a) b_{n, k, 3}(a)\right)-\left(b_{n, k, 1}(a) b_{n, k, 2}(a) b_{n, k, 3}(a)\right)\right\|<3 / 2^{n+k+2}
$$

It follows that (in $C_{n+k+2}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\left(\emptyset_{n+1}{ }^{\circ} L_{n+k+1}(a)-L_{n+k+2}(a)\right)- & \left(b_{n, k, 1}(a) b_{n, k, 2}(a) b_{n, k, 3}(a)\right) \| \\
& <8 / 2^{n+k+2}+5 \eta_{n+k+2} / 2^{n+k+2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(L_{n+k+1}(a)-L_{n+k+2}(a)\right)-\emptyset_{n+k+2, \infty}\left(b_{n, k, 1}(a) b_{n, k, 2}(a) b_{n, k, 3}(a)\right)\right\| \\
<1 / 2^{n+k-1}+5 \eta_{n+k+2} / 2^{n+k+2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (iv), in $K_{0}(C)$,

$$
2^{n+k+1}\left[\emptyset_{n+k+2, \infty}\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right)\right] \leqslant\left[\emptyset_{n+k+2, \infty}\left(q_{n+k+2}\right)\right]
$$

It follows that, for any $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$,

$$
\mathrm{t}\left(\emptyset_{n+k+2, \infty}\left(p_{n+k+2}-q_{n+k+2}\right)\right)<1 / 2^{n+k+1}
$$

From this, we estimate that

$$
\mathrm{t}\left(\emptyset_{n+k+2, \infty}\left(b_{n, k, i}(a)\right)\right) \leqslant\|a\| 2^{n+k+1}, \mathrm{i}=1,2,3
$$

for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$. Combing this with (e6), we have
$\mid \mathrm{t}\left(\left(L_{n+k+1}(a)\right)-\mathrm{t}\left(\left(L_{n+k+2}(a)\right) \mid<1 / 2^{n+k-1}+5 \eta_{n+k+2} / 2^{n+k+2}+3\|a\| / 2^{n+k+1}\right.\right.$.
Hence

$$
\mid \mathrm{t}\left(\left(L_{n+1}(a)\right)-\mathrm{t}\left(\left(L_{n+m}(a)\right) \mid<\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(1 / 2^{n+k-1}+5 \eta_{n+k+2} / 2^{n+k+2}+3\|a\| / 2^{n+k+1}\right) \rightarrow 0\right.\right.
$$

as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right.$ exists. Then one shows that $r^{-1}(\mathrm{t})$ is well defined. By (ii), which we have shown, $r^{-1}(\mathrm{t})$ is a trace on A. It is then clear that $r^{-1}$ is an affine continuous map. It should be noted that even if $a \in C_{m}$ (for $m<n$ ), $L_{n}(a) \in C_{n}$.
Now let $\tau \in T(\mathrm{~A})$ and $a \in \mathrm{~A}$. To show that $\left(r^{-1} \circ \mathrm{r}\right)(\tau)(\mathrm{a})=\tau(\mathrm{a})$, we note that

$$
\left(r^{-1} \circ \mathrm{r}\right)(\tau)(\mathrm{a})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{r}(\tau)\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname { l i m } _ { k \rightarrow \infty } \tau \left(\emptyset_{n, k}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right.\right.\right.
$$

for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ for some integer $n>0$. Moreover, with sufficiently large $n$, we may assume that $1 / 2^{n}<\varepsilon / 8$ and

$$
\left\|L_{n}(a)-p_{n} a p_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon / 4
$$

One estimates, by (e1) (with k > n),

$$
\left\|\emptyset_{n, k}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)-p_{k} p_{k-1} \ldots p_{n+1} p_{n} a p_{n} p_{n+1} \ldots p_{k-1} p_{k}\right\|<\sum_{j=1}^{k-n} 1 / 2^{n+j}+\varepsilon / 4<\varepsilon / 2
$$

By (iii) and as in (3), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \tau\left(p_{k} p_{k-1} \ldots p_{n+1} p_{n} a p_{n} p_{n+1} \ldots p_{k-1} p_{k}\right)-\tau(\mathrm{a}) \mid \\
& <3\|a\| \sum_{j=1}^{k-n} 1 / 2^{n+2}<3\|a\| \varepsilon / 8 \quad \text { for all } \tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~A})
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that
$\left|\tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right)-\tau(\mathrm{a})\right|<3\|a\| \varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 2 \quad$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A)
if $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{n}$. Therefore
(5) $\tau$ (a) $\quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\emptyset_{n, k}\left(L_{n}(a)\right)\right)\right)$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A).

This also proves $r^{-1} \circ \mathrm{r}(\tau)(\mathrm{a})=\tau(\mathrm{a})$ for all $\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})$. Therefore $r^{-1} \circ \mathrm{r}=$ $i d_{\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A})}$.
Suppose that $\mathrm{t} \in T$ (C) and $b \in C_{n}$. Then
$\operatorname{ror}^{-1}(\tau)\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}(b)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r^{-1}(\tau)\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)\right)\right)\right)$.
Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Choose $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{n}$ such that $1 / 2^{k}<\varepsilon / 32$. We may assume that $\|b\| \leqslant 1$. For any $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{k}$, put $r_{j}=\emptyset_{j, m}\left(p_{j}\right), j=k, \ldots, m-1$. Since $\emptyset_{j}\left(p_{j}\right) \leqslant p_{j+1}, r_{j} \leqslant r_{j+1}$. By choosing a larger k , applying (1) and (2) above, we may assume that there is $c_{1} \in \mathrm{~A}$ such that (we view $\left.\emptyset_{n, k}(b) \in C_{k} \subset \mathrm{~A}\right)$

$$
r_{j} c_{1}=c_{1} r_{j}, k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant m-1, \text { and }\left\|c_{1}-\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right\|<\varepsilon / 8
$$

We also have

$$
\left\|\emptyset_{n, m}(b)-p_{m} r_{m-1} \ldots r_{k} \emptyset_{n, k}(b) r_{k} \ldots r_{m-1} p_{m}\right\|<\sum_{j=1}^{m-k} 2^{k+j}<\varepsilon / 8
$$

Put $c_{2}=p_{m} r_{m-1} \ldots r_{k} c_{1}$. It then follows that

$$
c_{3}=L_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)-c_{2} \leqslant 2\left(p_{m}-r_{k}\right)
$$

Since each $C_{j}$ has stable rank one, by (4), there are $y_{i} \in C_{m}$ such that $y_{i}^{*} y_{i}=p_{m}$ $r_{k}$ and $y_{i} y_{i}{ }^{*}\left(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{m}\right)$ are mutually orthogonal. Let $z_{i}=\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(y_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{m}$. Then $z_{i}^{*} z_{i}=\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(p_{m}-r_{k}\right)$ and $z_{i} z_{i}^{*}\left(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{m}\right)$ are mutually orthogonal. It follows that

$$
t\left(\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(c_{3}\right) \leqslant 2\left(1 / 2^{m}\right)<\varepsilon / 8\right.
$$

for all $\mathrm{t} \in T(\mathrm{C})$. On the other hand, from the above estimates,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left[\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)\right)-\emptyset_{n, \infty}(b)\right]-\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(c_{3}\right)\right\| \\
\leqslant\left\|\left[L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)-\emptyset_{n, m}(b)\right]-c_{3}\right\| \\
\leqslant\left\|L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)-L_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|\emptyset_{n, m}(b)-c_{2}\right\|+\left\|\left(L_{m}\left(c_{1}\right)-c_{2}\right)-c_{3}\right\| \\
\leqslant \varepsilon / 8+(\varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 8)+0=3 \varepsilon / 8 .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left|t\left(\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)\right)\right)-t\left(\emptyset_{n, m}(b)\right)\right|<3 \varepsilon / 8+t\left(\emptyset_{n, m}\left(c_{3}\right)\right)<3 \varepsilon / 8+\varepsilon / 8<\varepsilon
$$

for all $\mathrm{t} \quad \in T(\mathrm{C})$ if $\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{k}$. Thus
(6) $t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}(b)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(b)\right)\right)\right)\right)$ for all $b \in C_{n}$ and $\tau \in T(C)$

It follows that $\mathrm{r} \circ r^{-1}=\mathrm{id}_{T(C)}$. Thus we have shown that r is an affine continuous surjective map with an affine continuous inverse $r^{-1}$. To see $r$ is face-preserving, let $\tau \in \mathrm{T}$ (A), $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})$ and $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1$ for which

$$
r(\tau)=a t_{1}+(1-a) t_{2}
$$

Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathrm{~T}$ (A) such that $r\left(\tau_{i}\right)=t_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$. Then, since $\mathrm{r}-1$ is the inverse of r , we see that

$$
\tau=a \tau_{1}+(1-a) \tau_{2}
$$

Fix a projection $p \in A$ and $\mathrm{s} \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$. One obtains a sequence of projections $e_{n} \in C_{n}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|p_{n} p p_{n}-e_{n}\right\|=0
$$

or equivalently

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{n}(p)-e_{n}\right\|=0
$$

We have shown that, for each $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C}), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(p)\right)\right)$ exists. So $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ exists. If $p \in M_{K}(\mathrm{~A})$ for some integer $\mathrm{K}>0$, by replacing C by $M_{K}(\mathrm{C}) p_{n} \operatorname{diag}\left(p_{n}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, we also obtain a projection $e_{n} \in C_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(p)\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since C is an inductive limit of $C^{*}$-algebras in I, there exists $\sigma_{s} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})$ such that $\mathrm{s}([\mathrm{e}])=\sigma_{s}$ (e) for any projection e $\in M_{K}(\mathrm{C})$ and for any integer $\mathrm{K} \geqslant 1$ (recall that we use $\sigma_{s}$ for $\sigma_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Tr}$ ). Suppose that $\sigma_{s}, \tau_{s} \in T(C)$ such that $\sigma_{s}(e)=\tau_{s}(e)$ for all projections $e \in M_{K}(\mathrm{C})$ (for all integer $\mathrm{K} \geqslant 1$ ). For any projection $p \in M_{K}(C)$, let $e_{n}$ be a projection in $C_{n}$ for which (7) holds. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty} L_{n}(p)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(e_{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(e_{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}{ }^{\circ} L_{n}(p)\right)
$$

It follows that the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s)([p])=r^{-1}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)(p)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(p)\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(e_{n}\right)\right]\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of the choices of $\tau_{s}$ and is well defined from $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$ to $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$. (Here we extend $L_{n}$ and $\emptyset_{n, \infty}$ to $M_{K}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $M_{K}(\mathrm{C})$ in the obvious way.) It is clear that r is affine. Let $t \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$. Since A is a simple $C^{*}$-algebra with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$, there exists $\tau_{t} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A})$ such that $\tau_{t}$ induces $t$. Suppose that $\sigma_{t} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A})$ such that $\tau_{t}(\mathrm{p})=\sigma_{t}(\mathrm{p})$ for all projections $\mathrm{p} \in M_{K}$ (A) (for all integer $\mathrm{K} \geqslant 1$ ). Let e $\in M_{K}\left(C_{n}\right)$ be a projection. Then (note that $C_{K} \subset \mathrm{~A}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{t}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{t}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right) \\
r(t)\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, \infty}(e)\right]\right)=r \quad(t)\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}(e)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{t}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is independent of the choice of $\tau_{t}$ and it is well-defined affine map (where we view $C_{n}$ as a
$C^{*}$-subalgebra of A).
Now let $p \in \mathrm{~A}$ be a projection and $t \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$. By $10.2, \mathrm{t}$ is induced by a trace $\tau_{t} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A})$. One has, by (5) and (e8),
$r(r(t))([p])$

$$
=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r(t)\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, \infty}\left(L_{n}(p)\right)\right]\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{t}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}\left(L_{n}(p)\right)\right)\right)=\tau_{t}(p)=t([p])
$$

It follows that $\mathrm{r} \circ \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{id}_{S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)}$. On the other hand, let $e \in M_{K}\left(C_{n}\right)$ be a projection and $\mathrm{s} \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ ). Let $\sigma_{s} \in \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})$ which induces s. Then, by (6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(r(s))\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, \infty}(e)\right]\right) \\
&=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r(s)\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right]\right) \\
&=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{s}\left(\emptyset_{m, \infty}\left(L_{m}\left(\emptyset_{n, k}(e)\right)\right)\right)\right)=\sigma_{s}\left(\emptyset_{n, \infty}(e)\right)=s\left(\left[\emptyset_{n, \infty}(e)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{r} \quad \circ \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{id}_{S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)}$.
Lemma(4.2.18)[89]: Let A be a unital separable simple nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$. Then the map $\lambda: \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{A}) \rightarrow S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)$ maps $\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))$ onto $\partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{~A})\right)\right.$ ). Moreover, if A is infinite-dimensional, $K_{0}(A) / \operatorname{tor}\left(K_{0}(A)\right) \not \approx \mathbb{z}$. In particular, there is a unital simple AHalgebra B with no dimension growth described in 10.1 such that

$$
\left(K_{0}(A), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(A), T(A)\right)=\left(K_{0}(B), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(B), T(B)\right)
$$

Proof. We will apply Lemma (4.2.17). Let C be the inductive limit of $C^{*}$-algebras in I as describedin Lemma (4.2.17). the map from $\mathrm{T}(\tilde{C})$ to $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\tilde{C})\right)$ maps extremal points onto extremal points. Let $t_{0} \in \mathrm{~T}(\tilde{C})$ be the trace such that $t_{0}(\mathrm{C})=0$ for all $\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{C}$ and let $s_{0} \in$ $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\tilde{C})\right.$ such that $s_{0}(\mathrm{x})=0$ for all $x \in j_{*}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)$, where $\mathrm{j}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is the embedding. Note that $\mathrm{T}(\tilde{C})$ is the set of convex combinations of $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{C})$ and $t_{0}$ and $S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\tilde{C})\right)$ is the set of convex combinations of $\mathrm{s} \in S_{u}\left(K_{0}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ and $s_{0}$. Suppose that $\tau \in \partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))$. Then, by 10.8, $r(\tau) \in$ $\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{C})) \subset \partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\tilde{C}))$. It follows thatr $(\tau)$ gives an extremal state $s_{\tau} \mathrm{in}_{u}\left(K_{0}(\tilde{C})\right)$. It follows that $s_{\tau} \in \partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)\right)$. Note that $\lambda(\tau)=r \quad\left(s_{\tau}\right)$. This shows that $\lambda\left(\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))\right)$ $\subset \partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(A)\right)\right)$. To see that $\lambda\left(\partial_{e}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~A}))\right)=\partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(A)\right)\right)$, let $\mathrm{s} \in \partial_{e}\left(S_{u}\left(K_{0}(C)\right)\right)$. Set

$$
\mathrm{F}=\{\tau \in \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~A}): \lambda(\tau)=\mathrm{s}\} .
$$

It is clear that $F$ is a closed and convex subset of $T(\mathrm{~A})$. Furthermore it is a face. By the Krein-Milman theorem, it contains an extremal point $t$. Since $F$ is a face, $t \in \partial_{e}(T(A))$. To see $K_{0}(A) / \operatorname{tor}\left(K_{0}(A)\right) \nsucceq \mathbb{Z}$ when A is infinite-dimensional, we note that A has (SP). Since A is simple, we obtain, for any integer $\mathrm{n}>0, \mathrm{n}+1$ mutually orthogonalnonzero projections $\quad p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and q in A for which $1=q+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}, \quad\left[p_{1}\right]=\left[p_{i}\right](i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $[q] \leqslant\left[p_{1}\right]$. This implies that $K_{0}(A) / \operatorname{tor}\left(K_{0}(A)\right) \not \equiv \mathbb{Z}$.
Theorem(4.2.19)[89]: Let A and B be two unital separable nuclear simple $C^{*}$-algebras with $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{A}) \leqslant 1$ and $\mathrm{TR}(\mathrm{B}) \leqslant 1$ which satisfy the AUCT. Then $\mathrm{A} \cong \mathrm{B}$ if and only if

$$
\left(K_{0}(A), K_{0}(A)_{+},\left[1_{A}\right], K_{1}(A), T(A)\right) \cong\left(K_{0}(B), K_{0}(B)_{+},\left[1_{B}\right], K_{1}(B), T(B)\right)
$$
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