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ABSTRACT

In this paper we classify of liver diseases using Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) to extract classification features from CT images. The techniques used here included Mean, variance, contrast, energy, entropy, correlation. To find the gray level variations in CT images, it complements the GLCM features extracted from CT images can be used to estimate the size distribution of the subpatterns. Linear discriminant analysis was used for the tissue classification. In this study found that the liver diseases texture reveals a different underlying pattern compared to the normal liver and other abdominal tissues with classification sensitivity and specificity 85.2% and 88.9% respectively, and the combination of the texture features throughout the different tri-phasic image phases provide the highest predictive, overall accuracy of 83.8% using linear discriminant analysis. These relationships are stored in a Texture Dictionary that can be later used to automatically annotate new CT images with the appropriate organ names.

INTRODUCTION

The most familiar medical imaging studies for early identification of liver diseases comprise ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). CT is often the preferred method for diagnosing many different cancers than ultrasonography, since the image allows a physician to confirm the presence of a tumor and to measure its size, precise location and the extent of the tumor's involvement with other nearby tissue. Despite the excellence of CT images has been appreciably improved during the last years, it is hard in some cases, even for experienced doctors, to make a 100% precise diagnosis. In radiology computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) are procedures in medicine that help doctors in the analysis of medical images. CAD system can only provide a second opinion and cannot replace radiologists.

Focal liver lesions can be defined as any lesion in the liver other than the normal parenchyma with or without causing structural and functional abnormality of hepatobiliary system and can be of variable size. These lesions can be benign or malignant. Prevalence of various liver lesions has marked differences across geographic regions and ethnic groups. Focal liver lesion is more likely to represent a metastatic deposit than primary malignancy in Europe and United States; however, hepatocellular carcinomas is the fourth most common hepatic disorder in Pakistan with prevalence of 8-10%. This prevalence rate is high when compared to western data.

It is often difficult to characterize hepatic lesions with various imaging studies. Although histopathology is the gold standard, biopsy is always not possible as it is an invasive technique. Computed tomography (CT) is the imaging modality most often used to evaluate focal liver lesions, however, the complex blood supply of the liver frustrates the search for an optimal contrast-enhanced CT protocol for the detection and characterization of focal hepatic lesions. Although the liver receives approximately 30% of its blood supply from the hepatic artery and 70% from the portal vein, most primary and secondary liver neoplasms receive 80-95% of their blood supply from the hepatic artery. Because of the high frequency of benign focal liver lesions such as cysts, haemangiomas and focal nodular hyperplasia, characterization of these lesions is essential. Consequently, the preferred liver CT technique should combine a high sensitivity for lesion detection with a good ability for lesion characterization, to differentiate lesions that do need further diagnostic tests or treatment for lesions that do not. To meet these requirements, a triphasic spiral CT technique was developed to image the entire liver in arterial, portal, and equilibrium phases. Although current literature search shows that MRI has a comparable rate in detection and classification of focal liver lesions, however, rapid availability and short scanning time made CT an ideal imaging technique.

Recent studies have also reported an improvement in lesion detection if arterial phase imaging is performed in addition to
portal venous imaging especially in the presence of hypervascular neoplasms, such as hepatocellular carcinoma. \(^9-11\)

### Texture measurement features and techniques

Several textural analysis techniques have been proposed to extract useful features for reliable liver tissue classification. Some extensively used techniques are:

- **Gray Level Difference Statistics (GLDS):** GLDS is the Probability Density Function (PDF) of pair pixels lying at specific distance and having a particular intensity value difference. Inter pixel gray level values have large variation for fine texture and least variation for coarse texture.
- **Spatial Gray level Dependence Matrices (SGLDM):** SGLDM \([1]\) exploits the fact that spatial relationship between gray levels of an image contributes to overall texture properties of the image. It computes matrix by counting how many times pixels with intensity \(i\) and \(j\) occur at specified offset.
- **Gray level Run length Statistics (RUNL):** RUNL \([2]\) makes use of the fact that there are consecutive points in image having same gray level along a particular direction. Coarse texture contains relatively long runs than short runs. Opposite is true for fine texture.
- **Gray Level Histogram:** It employs intensity distribution of image to find out texture parameters.
- **Edge Frequency based Texture Features:** These features are inversely related to the autocorrelation function and are based on distance related gradient. Micro-edges and macro-edges can be detected using small and large distance operator respectively.

### The Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix for texture characterization

The Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) is a pixel-based well-known statistic used for texture analysis, because it provides some information like the texture contrast, homogeneity, entropy, energy, and correlation. It computes, for each possible pair of grey levels \((g_1, g_2)\), the number of pairs of pixels, having intensities \(g_1\) and \(g_2\), which are situated from each other at a distance given by a specified displacement vector \((dx, dy)\). In practice, the GLCM probability is used, in order to scale the result:

\[
p(g_1, g_2) = \frac{C_d(g_1, g_2)}{\sum_{g_1, g_2} C_d(g_1, g_2)}
\]

The most relevant second order statistics computed using GLCM, which reveal important properties of the texture, are:

- **Contrast:**
  \[
  \text{Contrast} = \sum_i \sum_j (i - j)^2 p(i, j)
  \]
  
- **Total Energy (The angular second moment)**
  \[
  \text{Total Energy} = \sum_{i,j} (p(i,j))^2
  \]

\[\text{Entropy} = \sum_{i,j} p(i, j) \log p(i, j)\]

\[\text{Variance} = \sum_i \sum_j (i - \mu)^2 p(i, j)\]

\[\text{Correlation} = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j (i - \mu_x)(j - \mu_y)p(i, j)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}\]

Where \(\mu_x\) and \(\mu_y\) are the GLCM mean after the first, respectively the second component and \(\sigma_x\) and \(\sigma_y\) are the GLCM variances after the first, respectively the second component.

### Data based acquisition

All patients examined on a Helical Multi detector CT scanner scanner (Somatom Siemens scanner dual slice, Asteion, TX-021B Toshiba scanner 16 slice, Philips Brilliance 64 slice and Aquilion ,CXXG-012A Toshiba scanner 64 slice), used for collecting data from CT Abdominal images. With 8 second rotation Time, Large SFOV, 120 kVp and 320 mAs which differ through the phases. the protocol used for Abdomen scanning triple-phase helical CT with KVp of 120 and 250 and 320 mAs, slice thickness is depending on the structure being scanned, thin data require slice thickness of 5mm for reformatted images.

### Technique

Images from 180 patients was gathered. The acquisitions were performed with MDCT device and the standardized acquisition protocol was applied: helical scanning, with slice thickness 5 mm for each patient, an appropriate amount of 60% Iodinated Contrast material (about 100 -150 ml), was injected at 4 ml/s rate. The acquisition of the images in the arterial phase started about 20 seconds after contrast injection. Images corresponding to the portal phase were acquired with delay of 50–60s sequences with single Breath-hold.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper were features extracted from CT images using GLCM, and this features showed significant correlation with the predefined classes (Disease Liver, Normal Liver, Bone and Ribs) they included Mean, variance, contrast, energy, entropy, correlation. All these feature were calculated for all images and then the data were ready for discrimination which was performed using step-wise technique in order to select the most significant feature that can be used to classify the HCC in tri-phase CT imaging and the results show that:
Fig. 1. Scatter plot generated using discriminate analysis function for four classes represents; liver disease, normal liver, bone and ribs.

The features of the classified regions of the whole images (as raw data) were classified further using linear discriminate analysis.

Table 1. Showed the classification accuracy of the liver disease using linear discriminant analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Predicted Group Membership</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Disease</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Liver</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83.8% of original grouped cases correctly classified.

Table (1) show classification score matrix generated by linear discriminante analysis and classification accuracy of 83.8%. The classification accuracy of liver disease 85.2%, normal liver accuracy 88.9%, While the bone and ribs showed a classification accuracy of 66.7, 94.4% respectively.

Error bar plot for the CI Mean textural features

Figure (2) show error bar plot for the CI Mean textural features that selected by the linear stepwise discriminante function as a discriminate feature where it discriminate between all features. From the discriminate power point of view in respect to the applied features the mean can differentiate between all the classes successfully.

Error bar plot for the CI IDM textural features

Figure (3) show error bar plot for the CI IDM textural features that selected by the linear stepwise discriminante function as a discriminate feature where it discriminate between all features.

Error bar plot for the CI entropy textural features

Figure (4) show error bar plot for the CI entropy textural features that selected by the linear stepwise discriminante function as a discriminate feature where it discriminate between all features.
function as a discriminante feature where it discriminate between all features.

Conclusion

The classification of regions in CT Abdomen were define liver disease, normal liver, bone and ribs and the features of the classified regions of the whole images as raw data were classified futhers using linear discriminate analysis. The classification processes were carried out using Interactive Data Language (IDL) program as platform for the generated codes The result of the classification showed that the liver diseases areas were classified well from the rest of the tissues although it has characteristics mostly similar to surrounding tissues. Using Linear discriminate analysis generated a classification function which can be used to classify other image into the mention classes as using the following multi-regression equation; where the vote will be for the class with a higher classification score

Liver Disease = \( \text{Mean} \times 680.508 \) + \( \text{IDM} \times -5275.470 \) + (entropy \times 5786.405) - 22306.152

Normal Liver = \( \text{Mean} \times 679.860 \) + \( \text{IDM} \times -5269.056 \) + (entropy \times 5785.504) - 22304.197

Bone = \( \text{Mean} \times 681.278 \) + \( \text{IDM} \times -5278.841 \) + (entropy \times 5789.992) - 22312.293

Ribs = \( \text{Mean} \times 683.005 \) + \( \text{IDM} \times -5292.097 \) + (entropy \times 5792.124) - 22310.872

In conclusion the applied algorithm showed a potential success to adopt such procedure in medical image processing.

REFERENCES


