آستفتاح

قال الله تعالى:

صدق الله العظيم

البقرة - الاية (286)

Dedication

To my

parents, husband, children, brothers and sister.

Acknowledgment

My greatest thanks are due to Allah the most Compassionate and the most Merciful.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Mohamed sulieman for his continuous encouragement and patience throughout the period of this work, and for his suggestion, care, consideration and helpful comments, throughout this research work.

Thanks are also due to our teachers at Sudan University of science and technology.

Thanks are also due to all who encouraged me to do this work.

Abstract:

In this research the corrosion behavior of some metals in different acid solutions using weightloss technique were studied. The magnitude of corrosion of these metals in (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1 M) solutions of NaCl, HCl, H₂SO₄, and HNO₃ were investigated for an exposure period from3 to 9 days.Rate of corrosion in metals followed this order: iron >aluminum, while rate of corrosion in aqueous media followed this order: HNO₃> H₂SO₄>HCl>NaCl. It has been observed that concentration increases lead to increase the rate of corrosion. Further study on the corrosion kinetics has revealed that the rate of reaction of the metals increases with increase in solution concentration, while the half life decreases with increase of solution concentration.

The corrosion behavior of iron and aluminum in the 1M HNO₃, 1M H₂SO₄,1MHCl and 1M NaCl solutions containing various weight of urea and acetanilide range (0.3, 0.5, 1, 1.5 g) at room temperature were investigated, using weight loss measurement, and atomic absorption analysis, to find the amounts of metal in acidic solutions in presence and absence of urea and acetanilide. It is found that the inhibition efficiency (IE) and the corrosion rate increased by increasing the weight of urea and acetanilide. Urea and acetanilide inhibitors acts as a cathodic inhibitor. The results showed that urea caused protection efficiency more than acetanilide because the main functional groups in urea molecule contains two amino groups

في هذا البحث اجريت دراسة لسلوك تأكل المعادن في بعض المحاليل المختلفة بتراكيز مختلفة وهي (M1,0.8) (0.6, 0.4), من حامض النتريك, حامض الكبريتيك, حامض الهيدروكلوريك, محلول كلوريد الصوديوم وذلك بأستخدام تقنية فقدان الوزن وكانت فترة غمر المعادن في المحاليل (7, 5, 5, 9 ايام) ووجد ان معدل التأكل في المعادن بالترتيب :الحديد> الالمونيوم وان التأكل في الوسط المائي يأتي بهذا الترتيب :حامض النتريك >حامض الكبريتيك > حامض الهيدروكلوريك > محلول كلوريد الصوديوم وبهذا فأن اي زيادة في التركيز تؤدي الي زيادة في معدل التأكل . وكشفت دراسة لحركية التأكل ان معدل رد فعل المعدن يزيد بزيادة تركيز المحلول .

تم دراسة السلوك التأكلي للحديد والالمونيوم في مجموعة من المحاليل هي 1مولاري من حامض النتريك و 1مولاري من حامض الكبريتيك و 1مولاري من المختلفة لليوريا والاستناليد كمثبطات من محلول كلوريد الصوديوم على مدى من الاوزان المختلفة لليوريا والاستناليد كمثبطات وتراوحت اوزانها بين (0.5 ، 0.5 ، 0.5 ، 0.5) في درجة حرارة الغرفة وتمت هذة الطريقة بأستخدام تقنية تقدير الوزن المفقود للحديد والالمونيوم نتيجة التأكل وقد اظهرت النتائج ان اليوريا خفضت عملية التأكل اكثر من الاستناليد وذلك لوجود المجموعات الوظيفية في اليوريا وهي ذرتي النتروجين .

Table of contents

Title	Page. No
الاية	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Abstract	iv
ملخص البحث	V
Table of contents	vi
List of tables	viii

List of figures	X
CHAPTER ONE:INTRODUCTION	
1.Introduction	1
1.1. Sid effect of Corrosion	3
1.2. Importance of Corrosion Studies	4
1.3. Basic Causes of Corrosion	4
1.3.1. Conditions necessary for corrosion	5
1.3.2. Effect of material selection	5
1.3.2. Water intrusion	5
1.3.4. Environmental factors	6
1.4. Classification of Corrosion	6
1.5. Environmental corrosion	7
1.6. Factors affect corrosion rate	7
16.1. Physical factors	7
1.6.1.1. Temperature	7
1.6.1.2. Fluid velocity	8
1.6.1.3. Deposits	8
1.6.1.4. Nature of the metal	8
1.7. General corrosion	8
1.8. Theories of Corrosion	8
1.8.1. Direct Chemical Attack or Chemical or Dry Corrosion	9
1.8.2. Electrochemical Theory or Wet Corrosion	10
1.8.3. Differential Aeration or Concentration Cell Corrosion	10
1.10. Types of Corrosion	10
1.10.1. General or uniform corrosion	10
1.10.2. Pitting corrosion	11
1.10.3. Stress corrosion cracking	11
1.10.4. Intergranular corrosion	11
1.10.5. Filiform corrosion	11
1.10.6. Crevice corrosion	12
1.10.7. Galvanic or bi-metallic corrosion	12
1.10.8. Fretting corrosion	12
1.10.9. Erosion corrosion	12
1.10.10. Selective leaching or demetalification	12
1.11. Corrosion of metal	14
1.13. Cost of Corrosion	14
1.14. The Electrical Metals: Steel, Aluminum and Copper	15
1.15. Atmospheric, Soil and Galvanic Corrosion	16
1.15.1 Atmospheric Corrosion of Steel	16
1.15.2 Atmospheric Corrosion of Aluminum	16
1.16. Corrosion of Carbon Steel	17
1.16.1 Atmospheric corrosion	18
1.16.2 Aqueous Corrosion	18
1.16.3. Plain Carbon Steel	19
1.17. Corrosion of aluminum	19

1.18. Corrosion Inhibitors	20
1.18.1 Types of corrosion inhibitors	20
1.18.1.1. Anodic inhibitors	20
1.18.1.2. Cathodic inhibitors	20
1.7.1.3 Mixed Inhibitors	21
1.18.1.4. Volatile Corrosion Inhibitors	21
1.19. Literature Review	22
CHAPTER TWO: 2. Experimental	
2.1 chemicals	26
2.2. Apparatus	26
2.3.Sample	26
2.3.1. Corrosion of Samples	26
3.4. Solution Preparation	27
2.5. Determination of corrosion	27
2.6. Determination of Corrosion Rate	27
2.7 Method	27
2.7.1.1. Weight loss method	27
2.7.1.2. Weight loss method with inhibitors	28
2.7.1.3. Evaluation method	28
CHAPTER THREE: 3. Results and Discussion	
3.1. Corrosion of Aluminum	30
3.2. Corrosion of Iron	37
3.3. Kinetic Study	43
3.4. Study the effectiveness of inhibitors	46
3.4.1. Inhibitors used urea	46
3.4.2. Inhibitors used acetanilide	51
4. Conclusions	56
References	57

List of tables

No	Name	Page. No
Table(1-1)	The various types of corrosion.	12
Table(2-1)	Shows the chemical composition of aluminum used in this study by XRF.	26
Table(2-2)	Shows the chemical composition of iron used in this study by XRF.	26
Table(3-1)	Weight losses of the corrosion of Aluminum in HNO ₃	30

Table(3-2)	Weight losses of the corrosion of Aluminum in H ₂ SO ₄	32
Table(3-3)	Weight losses of the corrosion of Aluminum in HCl	33
Table(3-4)	Weight losses of the corrosion of Aluminum in NaCl	35
Table(3-5)	Weight losses of the corrosion of iron in HNO ₃	37
Table(3-6)	Weight losses of the corrosion of iron in H ₂ SO ₄	38
Table(3-7)	Weight losses of the corrosion of iron in HCl	40
Table(3-8)	Weight losses of the corrosion of iron in NaCl	41
Table(3-9)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Aluminum in HNO ₃	43
Table(3-10)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Aluminum in H ₂ SO ₄	44
Table(3-11)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Aluminum in HCl	44
Table(3-12)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Aluminum in NaCl	44
Table(3-13)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Iron in HNO ₃	45
Table(3-14)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Iron in H ₂ SO ₄	45
Table(3-15)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Iron in HCl	45
Table(3-16)	Kinetics of the corrosion of Iron in NaCl	46
Table(3-17)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	47
	values of aluminum used urea in (1M) HNO ₃	''
Table(3-18)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	47
	values of aluminum used urea in (1M) H ₂ SO ₄	
Table(3-19)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	48
Table(3-20)	values of aluminum used urea in (1M) HCl The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	48
1 aoic(3-20)	values of aluminum used urea in (1M) NaCl	46
Table(3-21)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	49
, ,	values of ironused urea in (1M) HNO ₃	-
Table(3-22)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	50
T-1-1-(2, 22)	values of ironused urea in (1M) H ₂ SO ₄	50
Table(3-23)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R) values of ironused urea in (1M) HCl	50
Table(3-24)	The inhibition efficiency (IE %) and corrosion rate (R)	61
	values of ironused urea in (1M) NaCl	
Table(3-25)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	61
	values of aluminum used acetanilide in (1M) HNO ₃	
Table(3-26)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	52
Table(3-27)	values of aluminum used acetanilide in (1M) H ₂ SO ₄ The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	50
1 able(3-27)	values of aluminum used acetanilide in (1M) HCl	52
Table(3-28)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	53
	values of aluminum used acetanilide in (1M) NaCl	
Table(3-29)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	53
TD 11 (2.20)	values of iron usedacetanilide in (1M) HNO ₃	~ .
Table(3-30)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	54
Table(3-31)	values of iron usedacetanilide in (1M) H ₂ SO ₄ The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	51
1 4016(3-31)	values of iron usedacetanilide in (1M) HCl	54
	various of from ascuacetaining in (1141) Her	<u> </u>

Table(3-32)	The inhibitor efficiency (IE%) and corrosion rate (R)	55
	values of iron usedacetanilide in (1M) NaCl	

List of figures

No	Name	Page
Figure(3-1)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.4M and 0.6M HNO ₃	31
Figure(3-2)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.8M and 1M HNO ₃	31
Figure(3-3)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.4M and 0.6M H ₂ SO ₄	32

Figure(3-4)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.6M and 1M H ₂ SO ₄	33
Figure(3-5)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.4M and 0.6M HCl	34
Figure(3-6)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.8M and 1M HCl	34
Figure(3-7)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.4M and 0.6M NaCl	35
Figure(3-8)	Weight Loss of Aluminum in 0.8M and 1M NaCl	36
Figure(3-9)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.4M and 0.6M HNO ₃	37
Figure(3-10)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.8M and 1M HNO ₃	38
Figure(3-11)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.4M and 0.6M H ₂ SO ₄	39
Figure(3-12)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.8M and 1M H ₂ SO ₄	39
Figure(3-13)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.4M and 0.6M HCl	40
Figure(3-14)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.8M and 1M HCl	41
Figure(3-15)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.4M and 0.6M NaCl	42
Figure(3-16)	Weight Loss of Iron in 0.8M and 1MNaCl	42