بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Sudan University of Science and Technology

**College of Graduates Studies** 

<u>Implementation of Quality Cost in Pharmaceutical Factories in Sudan and its</u> <u>Impact on employee's& customer's satisfaction.</u>

تتطبيق تكلفة الجودة في مصانع الادوية السودانية واثرها في رضاءالموظفين و العملاء.

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements degree of MSc in Total Quality Management

**Prepared By:** 

Khalid Abbas Mohammed Elsobai.

BSC .PHARMACY

## Supervisor:

Prof. : Hassan Abdul-Aziz Mahmoud Eisa

## M.B.B.S,PhD.Eq.PhD

Consultant professor of health quality and public health

Higher Council for Strategic Planning.

January, 2015

In the name of Allah,

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to prof. Hassan Abdul-Aziz my supervisor for his guidance and brightness, and his appreciated efforts to make this dissertation success

I would like to express my gratitude to all t managers, staff and Customers in PHARMALAND PHARMACEUTICALS for his great help and support also for being transparent in the questionnaire answering.

Also, I would like to thanks Ms. InamHamed for giving the direction,

Finally, I would like to express my special thanks for my pacemaker DR. Mohammed Alsawwaf, for encouragement and support.

### Dedication

I dedicate this dissertation to my parents, my wife, brothers and only sister wife for giving me a continuous help & support in my life and in conducting this research.

With my full of respect for my teachers, classmates and all the quality & excellence center for support and sharing the information.

Also I dedicate this efforts to my kids who always inspiring me and giving me the strength.

Finally, thank you AL-FiasalCultural Center, for helping me by providing an adequate place for doing this work.

### <u>ABSTRACT</u>

The world hasseen significant challenges, represented in the globalization of the economy, and the spread of information technology, also one apart of these challenges was the quality prospective ,who derived the organization seeking and paying attention of the quality in the products and services that are produced and presented to

customers in the competitive environment, as it requires to achieve organizations ambition to adopt quality standards for its products and services, including what distinguishes it from other competing companies.

Cost of quality system, which is one of the distinctive elements and important criteria in the development companies, and measuring the company development by monitoring all processes for providing outstanding quality products.

Unstructured observation and semi-structured interviews were used to collect data from the intended pharmaceutical factory (pharmaLandpharmaceutical).

Total samplehas been chosen because the number sample was small and data analysis using the (SPSS) statistical program.

The result of the research indicate that when organization or companies manage the

Prevention and appraisal cost, definitely the failure cost will clearly reduce in both

Sectors Internal & external as well as the satisfaction among the employees and customers will observed.

There are statistically significant relation between implementing the prevention and Appraisal cost and the quality of products.

The researcher recommendation, is to generalize implementation of cost of quality program in pharmaceutical industries in Sudan, which has direct impact in reducing waste ,and increase the production mass with excellent quality leading to the employees and customer satisfaction.

iv

### الملخص

شهد العالمتحديات كبيرة، تتمثل فيعولمة الاقتصاد، وانتشار تكنولوجيا المعلومات وهذا التطور لجعلالدولو الشركاتالتي تسعى لانتباهجودة المنتجاتو الخدماتالتي يتم إنتاجهاو تقديمهاللعملاء فيبيئةتنافسية، لأنه يتطلبلتحقيقالطمو حللمنظماتعلى تبنيمعايير الجودةلمنتجاتها وخدماتها، بما يميز ها عنالشركاتالمنافسة الأخرى. تكلفةنظامالجودة، والتيهيو احدةمنالعناصر المميزة والمعايير الهامةفيشركاتالتطوير، وقياستطور الشركةمنقبلرصد جميعالعملياتمنأجلتوفير منتجاتذاتجو دةمتميزة والمعايير الهامةفيشر كاتالتطوير، وقياستطور الشركةمنقبلرصد . الموسسات.

مصنع فار ملاند ) واستخدمتالمقابلات والملاحظةغير منظمةوشبهمنظمةلجمعالبياناتمنمصنعالأدويةالمقصود (للأدوية

استخدم البرنامج (SPSS)وقد تم اختيار العينة كاملة لضغر العينة المراد اختبارها وتحليل البيانات الإحصائي للأبحاث تشير إلدأنهفدالمؤسسة أو الشركة المتبعة نظام الوقاية وتكلفة التقييم، وتخفيضا لتكلفة بالتأكيد ينعكسبوضوح على تقليل الخسارة فيكلمنا لقطاعاتا لداخلية والخارجية وايضا ينعكس عكس الرضاء الوظيفي .ورضاء العملاء.

من توصيات الباحث ان هناكعلاقةذاتدلالة إحصائية بينتنفيذ التكلفة الوقاية وتكلفة التقييموجودة المنتج، وعليه يجب تعميمتنفيذتكلفة الجودة البرنامجيهفيا اصناعاتالدو ائية فيالسودان، التيلديهاتأثير مباشر فيالحدمنا لنفاياتوزيادةكتلة الإنتا جمعنو عية ممتاز ةمما أدىإلىرضاء العاملين والعملاء.

# Table of contents

No	Content	Page No
A	Acknowledgment	1

В	Dedication	II	
С	Abstract ( English )	III	
D	Abstract ( Arabic )	VI	
E	Table of contents	IX-IV	
F	List of Figures	X	
G	List of tables	XII-X	
	CHAPTER ONE (INTRODUCTION)		
1-1	Introduction	1	
1-2	Problem statement	2	
1-3	Aim of the research	3	
1-4	Objectives of the research	3	
1-5	The significance of the research	3-4	
1-6	Research hypotheses	4	
1-7	Research Methodology	4-5	
1-8	Data collection process	5	
1-9	Research model	5	
CHAPTER TWO ( LITERATURE REVIEW )			
2-1	Cost of Quality- standard	6-9	
2-2	Measuring cost of quality	9	
2-3	Implementing coat of quality	9-10	
2-4	Benefit of using the cost of quality	10-11	
CHAPTER THREE ( METHODOLOGY )			
3-1	Research method	12	
3-2	Introduction	12	
3-3	Research design	12-13	
3-4	Research determinates	14	

3-5	Method of study	14
3-6	Population and sampling	14-15
3-7	Data collection method	16
3-7-1	Secondary data	16
3-7-2	Primary data	16
3-7-3	Selection data collecting method	16
3-7-3-1	Questionnaire design	16
3-7-3-1-1	Employees satisfaction index	16-17
3-7-3-1-2	Job satisfaction dimensions	17
3-7-3-1-3	Customers satisfaction questionnaire	17
3-7-3-1-4	Customers satisfaction dimension	18
3-7-3-1-5	Measurement scale	18
3-7-3-1-6	Translation of the research statement	18
3-7-3-1-7	Questionnaire pilot test	18-19
3-7-3-1-8	Procedure of data collection	19
3-7-4	Data analysis	19
СНА	PTER FOUR (DATA ANALYSIS AND HYPO' TESTING& RESULATS)	THESIS
4-1	Introduction	20
4-2	Population and sampling of the study	20
4-3	Reliability and validity of the questionnaire	20 - 21
4-3-1	A parent reliability and validation	21
4-3-2	Statistical reliability and validity	21-22
4-4	Statistical instrument	22
4-5	Application of the research tool	23
4-5-1	Employee satisfaction questionnaire	23

4-5-1-1	Satisfaction of the job it self	23-25		
4-5-1-2	services presented by the company	25-27		
4-5-1-3	the satisfaction of the company work system	27-29		
4-5-1-2	work environment satisfaction	29-30		
4-5-1-3	the extent of individual needs and wants satisfaction	30-31		
4-5-1-4	The satisfaction of the material work environment k system	31-33		
4-6	Customers satisfaction questionnaire	34		
4-6-1	satisfaction of the material work environment	34-36		
4-6-2	the impact of implementation of COQ on products standards	36-38		
4-6-3	marketing benefit	38-40		
4-6-4	factory performance	40-42		
4-6-5	communication between company and customer	42-44		
4-6-6	Chi-square test results for respondents' answers about employees satisfaction in general	45		
4-6-7	Chi-square test results for respondents' answers about employees satisfaction in general	45		
4-7	Results	46		
(	CHAPTER FIVE ( DISCUSION , CONCLUSION , RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESIONS .			
5-1	Discussion	47		
5-1-1	Employees satisfaction results	47		
5-1-2	Customers satisfaction results	48		
5-2	Conclusion	49		
5-3	Recommendations	50-51		
5-4	Suggestions	51		
5-5	References	52		

Appendix	
Questionnaire	53-57

# <u>List of Figures</u>

Figure .No	Figure Title	Page No
1	Research Model	5
2	Research Design	13

# List of Tables

No	Table	Page No
1	The name of the company and the number of employees	15
2	The name of the company and the number of the customer	15
3	Job satisfaction dimensions	17
4	customer satisfaction dimensions	18
4-1	Study sample size	20
4-2	The questionnaire referees and their job and places	21
4-3	The statistical reliability and validity of the pre-test sample of the question	22
4-4	Frequency distribution for satisfaction of the job itself	23
4-5	Chi-square test results for respondents' answers about first hypothesis	24
4-6	Frequency distribution for services presented by the company	25
4-7	Chi-square test result for respondents answers about services presented by the company	25
4-8	Frequency distribution for the satisfaction of the	26

	company work system	
4-9	Chi-square test result for respondents answers about the	27
	satisfaction of the company work system	
4-10	Frequency distribution for the work environment	29
	satisfaction	
4-11	Chi-square test result for respondents answers about the	30
	the work environment satisfaction	
4-12	Frequency distribution for the satisfaction of the extent	30
	of individual needs and wants satisfaction	
4-13	Chi-square test for statistical distribution for the	31
	satisfaction of the extent of individual needs and wants	
	satisfaction	
4-14	Frequency distribution for the satisfaction of the material	32
	work environment.	
4-15	Chi – square test for respondent answer about the	32
	satisfaction of the material work environment.	
4 16	Engineer and distribution quality mathed in the factory	24
4-16	Frequency distribution quality method in the factory	34
4-17	Chi-square test for the respondents answers about quality	34-35
	method in the factory	
4-18	Frequency distribution for the impact of implementation	36-37
	of COQ on products standards	
4-19	Chi-square test result for the respondents answers about	37
	the impact of implementation of COQ on products	
	standards	
4-20	Frequency distribution for the marketing benefit	38

4-21	Chi-square test result for the respondents answers about	39
	the marketing benefit	
4-22	Frequency distribution for Factory performance	40
4-23	Chi – square test result for Factory performance	40-41
4-24	Frequency distribution for the communication between company and customer	42
4-25	Chi-square test result for the communication between company and customer	43
4-26	Chi-square for employees satisfaction in general	45
4-27	Chi-square for customers satisfaction in general	45