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Abstract

From February 2014 to November 2014 inclusive, (200) patients presented for breast imaging and of these, (100) formed the study group, All patients in the study group were imaged with breast ultrasound. There was inclusive and exclusive data due to the lack of required information.

The appearance of specific types of breast carcinoma has been studied. Although appearances vary greatly, some patterns are typical, Mucin-containing carcinomas are often circumscribed but may have irregular margins. These lesions may be either hypoechoic or isoechoic relative to subcutaneous fat.

Statistical measures of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated using 2x2 contingency tables. The ultrasound examinations were performed by Toshiba Xario (Toshiba Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and sono scape.

The ultrasound scan was performed in a radial pattern, beginning at the periphery of the breast and moving inward. Each quadrant was scanned with overlap at the 12-, 3-, 6- and 9-o’clock positions followed by three scanning in orthogonal planes to complete the assessment. Imaging of each axilla was deemed an essential part of the ultrasound.

Malignant lesions had a mean size of (4.35) mm (SD .37). most of the patients was from various Sudan states (about 90%), while 10 was from Khartoum city that mean place may considered as risk factor. Age risk factor at the range of classes (40-49).most of the patients was a housekeeper that mean the job is not considered as a risk factor.
The prevalence of cancer in the screened population was 56% of patients. The statistical measures of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated. Malignant lesions were detected in each patient group at the rate of 43%, 89%, 84%, 87% and 86% respectively.

In this study, breast ultrasound significantly increases the cancer detection rate and should be considered as an important tool in the patient with positive imaging findings. Ultrasonography has been playing an increasingly important role in the evaluation of breast cancer as well as evaluation of many abnormalities seen on mammograms. US is also useful in the guidance of biopsies and therapeutic procedures.
ملخص الدراسة

الهدف الرئيسي من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم دور الموجات فوق الصوتية في تصوير أورام الثدي الخبيثة، وفي تقييم الكتل المحسوسة التي لم تتم رؤيتها في صور الأشعه العادية للثدي، وأيضاً تقييم أمراض الثدي المتوقعة في النساء اللائي عمرها من 30 عاماً.

في الفترة من فبراير (2014) وحتى نوفمبر (2014) كانت هناك (200) حالة قد حضروا لعمل تصوير للثدي، (100) مريض شكل عيني البحث. كل المرضى قد تم عمل فحص الموجات فوق الصوتية لهم. كانت هناك بيانات معتمدة وبيانات أخرى مستبعدة نتيجة لعدم اكتمال بعض البيانات.

تمت دراسة طريقة ظهور أنواع معينة من سرطانات الثدي، وبالرغم من اختلاف طريقة ظهورها إلى حد كبير إلا أن بعض النماذج تبدو متطابقة. فلتقيي على المولودين تكون دائماً دانيه مع حواس غير منتظمة. هذه الآفات قد تكون (قليلة الصدى) أو (عديمة الصدى) مقارنة مع نسيج الدهون تحت الجلد.

القياسات الإحصائية للدقة، الحساسية، الخصوصية، التنبؤية الإيجابية والتنبؤية السلبية قد تم تجميعها. تم عمل فحوصات الموجات فوق الصوتية بجهوي (توشيبا اختصاراً: مجموعة توشيبا اليابان) وجهاز (سونو اسكيب).

فحص الثدي تم عمله بنموذج مفرق، بدأ عند أطراف الثدي ويتم بعد منتصف كل ربع تم عمله المسبح بعد أوضاع الساعة (12, 3, 6 و 9). ثم متابعة الفحص بالمسح عند الخط المتعامد ليكتمل عمل الفحص لكل (ايط).

حيث يعتبر فحص هذا الجزء جزء مهم من عملية الفحص بالموجات فوق الصوتية.


معمل أخذ العينات كان 50% (50/100), منها 50 أجهزه حيث و37 أجهزة معطية معدل اكتشاف وقدره 48.5 في العينات التي تم فحصها.

الآفات الخبيثة كان لها متوسط حجم يساوي 4.3 سم، والأنحاس معياري (037). معظم المرضى كان ربات منزل، مما يدل على عدم اعتبار المهنة كعامل خطر، والفئة العمرية من (40-49) كانت الفئة الأكثر اصابة.
التحليل الإحصائي للدقة، الحساسية، الخصوصية، التنبؤية الإيجابية والتنبؤية السلبية في كل مجموعة مرضى

كانت بمعدل 43%، 89%، 84%، 87% و86% على التوالي.

في هذه الدراسة معدل اكتشاف المرض بواسطة الموجات فوق الصوتية زاد إلى حد كبير ويتطلب هذا
جزء طبيعي في عملية التصوير للمرضى الذين تحقق لديهم وجود المرض.

أن الموجات فوق الصوتية قد لعبت دوراً متعاطماً في تقييم سرطان الثدي، وتعتبر أيضاً مفيدة في عملية (توجه
العينات) وفي الأغراض العلاجية أيضاً.
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Chapter One

Introduction

1.1. Introduction:

Breast cancer is among the most common causes of cancer deaths today, coming fifth after lung, stomach, liver and colon cancers. It is the most common cause of cancer death in women. In 2005 alone, 519,000 deaths were recorded due to breast cancer (Medknow Publications). This means that one in every 100 deaths worldwide and almost one in every 15 cancer deaths were due to breast cancer.

Common Malignant lesions discussed include invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), invasive lobular and invasive tubular carcinomas. Though breast imaging with ultrasound has been established as an adjunct to mammography in recent research, (Kolb et al. 1998; Berg, 2003B; Warner et al).

Its role has been primarily confined to the targeted confirmation of cystic lesions (Cormack & Mendelson, et al 2006). Its use in then patient with a positive imaging finding has not been as well established.

With the development of ultrasound technology, (Georgian-Smith et al. 2000; & Muradali, 2005), ultrasound is becoming accepted as a tool to differentiate benign from malignant breast lesions (Stavros et al. 1995). Since 2000, there is an emerging body of evidence that suggest the use of breast ultrasound is valid (Kaplan, (2001); Crystal et al. (2004); Wilkinson et al. (2005); & Berg, 2003A & 2008). It seems timely to investigate its role both in the management of patients with symptoms and/or positive findings from mammography and in patients with established risk factors.

For the purpose of this study, the risk factors for breast cancer were an individual’s prior history of breast cancer, an individual’s positive status for the BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene, a familial history of breast cancer, or the presence of dense breast tissue.
Women with these risk factors may be excluded from the national screening programme, Breast Screen Aotearoa (BSA). This program was established by the New Zealand government (Ministry of Health, 2007A) to screen women for the early detection of curable cancers. Imaging for these high-risk women is of a very importance of breast ultrasound could be used to achieve satisfactory breast screening.

Breast cancer is among the most common causes of cancer deaths today. It is the most common cause of cancer death in women. In 2005 alone, 519,000 deaths were recorded due to breast cancer. This means that one in every 100 deaths worldwide and almost one in every 15 cancer deaths were due to breast cancer. Refinement of high-frequency technology, particularly with 7.5–13 MHz probes, has brought out a totally new facet in ultrasound breast imaging. For example:

a. High-density probes provide better lateral resolution.

b. Harmonic imaging leads to improved resolution and reduced reverberation and near-field artifacts.

c. Real-time compound scanning results in increased tissue contrast resolution.

d. Extended or panoramic views provide a better perspective of the lesion in relation to the rest of the breast.

Harmonic imaging and real-time compounding has been shown to improve image resolution and lesion characterization. More recently, breast ultrasound seems to be quite promising. Initial results indicate that it can improve the specificity and positive predictive value of ultrasound in the characterization of breast masses.

This is exemplified in women with dense breast tissue, where breast ultrasound is useful in detecting small breast cancers that are not detected on mammography.

From January 2014 to August 2014 inclusive, 200 patients presented for breast imaging and of these, 100 formed the study group as they required a breast tissue biopsies due to imaging results. The cancer detection rate was compared among those women with a baseline risk and those who had either an increased risk of breast cancer and/or had dense breast tissue. All patients in the study group (100) were imaged with breast ultrasound. The statistical measures of accuracy, sensitivity,
specificity, positive predictive (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated using 2x2 contingency table.

These results will investigate the role of breast ultrasound in diagnosis of malignant breast tumors and establish the role of breast ultrasound in the screening of patient suspected of breast cancer as a safe modality.

1.2. Statement of the problem:

Breast cancer is among the most common causes of cancer deaths today, coming fifth after lung, stomach, liver, and colon cancers. It is the most common cause of cancer death in women. In 2005 alone, 519,000 deaths were recorded due to breast cancer. This means that one in every 100 deaths worldwide and almost one in every 15 cancer deaths were due to breast cancer. Appropriate imaging for these high-risk women is of obvious importance and perhaps the addition of breast ultrasound could be used to achieve satisfactory breast screening. Refinement of high-frequency technology, particularly with 7.5–13 MHz probes, has brought out a totally new facet in ultrasound breast imaging. For example:

a) High-density probes provide better lateral resolution.

b) Harmonic imaging leads to improved resolution and reduced reverberation and near-field artifacts.

c) Real-time compound scanning results in increased tissue contrast resolution.

d) Extended or panoramic views provide a better perspective of the lesion in relation to the rest of the breast.

Harmonic imaging and real-time compounding has been shown to improve image resolution and lesion characterization. More recently, breast ultrasound seems to be quite promising. Initial results indicate that it can improve the specificity and positive predictive value of ultrasound in the characterization of breast masses.

1.3. Objectives of the study:

The main aim of the study is to assess whether breast ultrasound could have a role in the diagnosis of malignant breast tumors or not?

Additionally, sub-aims were related to:
The role of breast ultrasound in the screening of patient suspected of breast cancer

As a safe modality, evaluate of palpable masses that are mammographically occult, and to evaluate of clinically suspected breast lesions in women younger than 30 years of age to prevent the exposure to the ionized radiation.

Mammography has long been viewed as the gold standard for breast cancer detection, however there are well documented limitations in the effectiveness of mammography because of its hazard.

Ultrasound (US) is an important adjunct to mammography in breast cancer detection as it increases the rate of detection in dense breasts. Ultrasound also does dynamic analysis of moving structures in breast thus it is used to analyze the functional behavior of breast. In the proposed method, ultrasound images are preprocessed using Gaussian smoothing to remove additive noise and anisotropic diffusion filters to remove multiplicative noise (speckle noise). Active contour method has been used to extract a closed contour of filtered image which is the boundary of the spiculated mass. Spiculations which make breast mass unstructured or irregular are marked by measuring the angle of curvature of each pixel at the boundary of mass. To classify the breast mass as malignant or benign we have used: the structure of mass in accordance with spiculations, elliptical shape of the mass and acoustic shadowing feature which is an important functional feature.
1.4. Overview of the study:

This study falls into 5 chapter, with:

**Chapter one** (general introduction): introduction, problem of the study, objective and overview of the study.

**Chapter two** include: Ultrasound characteristic of the lesion, and Literature Review: Anatomy of breast Physiology and Sonographic characteristic of the breast cancer.

**Chapter three**: Material & Method: Study area & study population, Study design & study duration, Sampling, Data collection, Data analysis and Limitation.

**Chapter four**: (The Result).

**Chapter five** include: Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendation.

1.5. Breast cancer overview:

1.5.1. Signs and symptoms of breast cancer:

![Figure (1.1) : signs & symptoms of breast ca.](image)

Figure (1.1): signs & symptoms of breast ca.
Early breast cancers may be asymptomatic, and pain and discomfort are typically not present. If a lump is discovered, the following may indicate the possible presence of breast cancer:

1. Change in breast size or shape
2. Skin dimpling or skin changes
3. Recent nipple inversion or skin change, or nipple abnormalities
4. Single-duct discharge, particularly if blood-stained
5. Axillary lump

1.5.2. Diagnosis

Breast cancer is often first detected as an abnormality on a mammogram before it is felt by the patient or health care provider.

Evaluation of breast cancer includes the following:

1. Clinical examination
2. Imaging
3. Needle biopsy
   - Physical examination

The following physical findings should raise concern:

1. Lump or contour change
2. Skin tethering
3. Nipple inversion
4. Dilated veins
5. Ulceration
6. Paget disease
7. Edema or peau d’orange

If a palpable lump is found and possesses any of the following features, breast cancer may be present:
1. Hardness
2. Irregularity
3. Focal nodularity
4. Fixation to skin or muscle

- Screening

Early detection remains the primary defense in preventing breast cancer. Screening modalities include the following:

a) Breast self-examination
b) Clinical breast examination
c) Mammography
d) Ultrasonography
e) Magnetic resonance imaging

Ultrasonography and MRI are more sensitive than mammography for invasive cancer in nonfatty breasts. Combined mammography, clinical examination, and MRI are more sensitive than any other individual test or combination of tests.

Biopsy

Core biopsy with image guidance is the recommended diagnostic approach for newly diagnosed breast cancers. This is a method for obtaining breast tissue without surgery and can eliminate the need for additional surgeries. Open excisional biopsy is the surgical removal of the entire lump.

1.5.3 Background

Worldwide, breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed life-threatening cancer in women and the leading cause of cancer death in women (Jemal A, et al 2011). In the United States, breast cancer accounts for 29% of all cancers in women and is second only to lung cancer as
Many early breast carcinomas are asymptomatic; pain or discomfort is not usually a symptom of breast cancer. Breast cancer is often first detected as an abnormality on a mammogram before it is felt by the patient or healthcare provider.

The general approach to evaluation of breast cancer has become formalized as triple assessment: clinical examination, imaging (usually mammography, ultrasonography, or both), and needle biopsy. Increased public awareness and improved screening have led to earlier diagnosis, at stages amenable to complete surgical resection and curative therapies. Improvements in therapy and screening have led to improved survival rates for women diagnosed with breast cancer.

Surgery and radiation therapy, along with adjuvant hormone or chemotherapy when indicated, are now considered primary treatment for breast cancer. For many patients with low-risk early-stage breast cancer, surgery with local radiation is curative.

Adjuvant breast cancer therapies are designed to treat micrometastatic disease or breast cancer cells that have escaped the breast and regional lymph nodes but do not yet have an established identifiable metastasis. Depending on the model of risk reduction, adjuvant therapy has been estimated to be responsible for 35-72% of the decrease in mortality.

Over the past 3 decades, extensive and advocate-driven breast cancer research has led to extraordinary progress in the understanding of the disease. This has resulted in the development of more targeted and less toxic treatments.

1.5.4 Pathophysiology

The current understanding of breast cancer etiopathogenesis is that invasive cancers arise through a series of molecular alterations at the cell level. These alterations result in breast epithelial cells with immortal features and uncontrolled growth.

Genomic profiling has demonstrated the presence of discrete breast tumor subtypes with distinct natural histories and clinical behavior. The exact number of disease subtypes and molecular alterations from which these subtypes arise remains to be fully elucidated, but these generally align with the presence or absence of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).
This view of breast cancer—not as a set of stochastic molecular events, but as a limited set of separable diseases of distinct molecular and cellular origins—has altered thinking about breast cancer etiology, type-specific risk factors, and prevention and has had a substantial impact on treatment strategies and breast cancer research.

Evidence from The Cancer Genome Atlas Network (TCGA) confirms the following 4 main breast tumor subtypes, with distinct genetic and epigenetic aberrations:

a. Luminal A
b. Luminal B
c. Basal-like
d. HER2-positive

Intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer.

It is noteworthy that the basal-like breast tumor subgroup shares a number of molecular characteristics common to serous ovarian tumors, including the types and frequencies of genomic mutations. These data support the evidence that some breast cancers share etiologic factors with ovarian cancer. Most compelling are the data showing that patients with basal-type breast cancers show treatment responsiveness similar to that of ovarian cancer patients (Recht A, et al 2001).

The various types of breast cancers are listed below by percentage of cases:

a. Infiltrating ductal carcinoma is the most commonly diagnosed breast tumor and has a tendency to metastasize via lymphatics; this lesion accounts for 75% of breast cancers
b. Over the past 25 years, the incidence of lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) has doubled, reaching a current level of 2.8 per 100,000 women; the peak incidence is in women aged 40-50 years
c. Infiltrating lobular carcinoma accounts for fewer than 15% of invasive breast cancers
d. Medullary carcinoma accounts for about 5% of cases and generally occurs in younger women
e. Mucinous (colloid) carcinoma is seen in fewer than 5% of invasive breast cancer cases
f. Tubular carcinoma of the breast accounts for 1-2% of all breast cancers
g. Papillary carcinoma is usually seen in women older than 60 years and accounts for approximately 1-2% of all breast cancers
h. Metaplastic breast cancer accounts for fewer than 1% of breast cancer cases, tends to occur in older women (average age of onset in the sixth decade), and has a higher incidence in blacks.

i. Mammary Paget disease accounts for 1-4% of all breast cancers and has a peak incidence in the sixth decade of life (mean age, 57 years).

1.5.5 Etiology

Epidemiologic studies have identified a number of risk factors that are associated with an increased risk of a woman developing breast cancer. Several risk factors have been found to be clinically useful for assessing a patient’s risk of breast cancer. Many of these factors form the basis of breast cancer risk assessment tools currently being used in the practice setting.

1.5.6 Age and gender

Increasing age and female sex are established risk factors for breast cancer. Sporadic breast cancer is relatively uncommon among women younger than 40 years but increases significantly thereafter. The effect of age on risk is illustrated in the SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results) data, where the incidence of invasive breast cancer for women younger than 50 years is 44.0 per 100,000 as compared with 345 per 100,000 for women aged 50 years or older.

The total and age-specific incidence for breast cancer is bimodal, with the first peak occurring at about 50 years and the second occurring at about 70 years (Jatoi I, et al 2008). This bimodal pattern may reflect the influence of age within the different tumor subtypes; poorly differentiated, high-grade disease tend to occur earlier, whereas hormone-sensitive, slower-growing tumors tend to occur with advancing age.

1.5.7 Family history of breast cancer

A positive family history of breast cancer is the most widely recognized risk factor for breast cancer. The lifetime risk is up to 4 times higher if a mother and sister are affected, and it is about 5 times greater in women who have 2 or more first-degree relatives with breast cancer. The risk is also greater among women with breast cancer in a single first-degree relative, particularly if the relative was diagnosed at an early
age (≤50 years). Despite a history indicating increased risk, many of these families have normal results on genetic testing.

A family history of ovarian cancer in a first-degree relative, especially if the disease occurred at an early age (< 50 years), has been associated with a doubling of breast cancer risk. This often reflects inheritance of a pathogenic mutation in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 gene.

The family history characteristics that suggest increased risk of cancer are summarized as follows:

a) Two or more relatives with breast or ovarian cancer
b) Breast cancer occurring in an affected relative younger than 50 years
c) Relatives with both breast cancer and ovarian cancer
d) One or more relatives with 2 cancers (breast and ovarian cancer or 2 independent breast cancers)
e) Male relatives with breast cancer
f) BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations
g) Ataxia telangiectasia heterozygotes (quadrupled risk)
h) Ashkenazi Jewish descent (doubled risk)

A small percentage of patients, usually with a strong family history of other cancers, have cancer syndromes. These include families with a mutation in the PTEN, TP53, MLH1, MLH2, CDH1, or STK11 gene.

To aid in the identification of mutation carriers of BRCA1/2, a number of family history–based risk assessment tools have been developed for clinical use, including the following:

a) BRCAPRO
b) Couch
c) Myriad I and II
d) Ontario Family History Assessment Tool (FHAT)

e) Manchester

All of these assessment tools are highly predictive of carrier status and aid in reducing testing costs for the majority of mutation negative families (Parmigiani G, et al 2007). BRCAPRO, the most commonly used model, identifies approximately 50% of mutation-negative families, avoiding unnecessary genetic testing, and fails to screen only about 10% of mutation carriers.

Notably, a significant portion of ovarian cancers not previously considered familial can be attributed to \textit{BRCA1} or \textit{BRCA2} mutations (Pal T, et al 2005). This finding has led to the suggestion that women with nonmucinous invasive ovarian cancers may benefit from genetic testing to determine mutation status independent of a strong history or no history of breast cancer.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) provides a Cancer Genetics Services Directory. This is a partial listing of professionals who provide services related to cancer genetics, including cancer risk assessment, genetic counseling, and genetic susceptibility testing.

1.5.8 Reproductive factors and steroid hormones

Late age at first pregnancy, nulliparity, early onset of menses, and late age of menopause have all been consistently associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (Deligeoroglou E, et al 2003). Prolonged exposure to elevated levels of sex hormones has long been postulated as a risk factor for developing breast cancer, explaining the association between breast cancer and reproductive behaviors (Hankinson SE, et al 2010).

Clinical trials of secondary prevention in women with breast cancer have demonstrated the protective effect of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) and aromatase inhibitors on recurrence and the development of contralateral breast cancers (Cuzick J, et al 2011). Use of SERMs in women at increased risk for breast cancer has prevented invasive ER-positive cancers (Vogel VG, et al 2006). These data support estradiol and its receptor as a primary target for risk reduction but do not establish that circulating hormone levels predict increase risk.
A number of epidemiologic and pooled studies support an elevated risk of breast cancer among women with high estradiol levels (Santen RJ, et al 2007). The Endogenous Hormones and Breast Cancer Collaborative Group (EHBCG) reported a relative risk of 2.58 among women in the top quintile of estradiol levels.

Upon thorough review of the collective data, the Breast Cancer Prevention Collaborative Group (BCPCG) prioritized additional factors that might be included in the validation phase of a risk prediction model and gave a high priority score to free plasma estradiol levels (Santen RJ, et al 2007). At present, routine measurement of plasma hormone levels is not recommended in the assessment of breast cancer risk.

One of the most widely studied factors in breast cancer etiology is the use of exogenous hormones in the form of oral contraceptives (OCs) and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) (Garbe E, et al 2004). The overall evidence suggests an approximately 25% greater risk of breast cancer among current users of OCs. The risk appears to decrease with age and time since OC discontinuance. For OC users, risk returns to that of the average population risk about 10 years after cessation.

Data obtained from case-control and prospective cohort settings support an increased risk of breast cancer incidence and mortality with the use of postmenopausal HRT (Reeves GK, et al 2006). Increased risk of breast cancer has been positively associated with length of exposure, with the greatest risk being observed for hormonally responsive lobular, mixed ductal-lobular, and tubular cancers. Risk is greater among women taking combination HRT than among those taking estrogen-only formulations (Schairer C, et al 2000).

In the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) trial, the incidence of invasive breast cancer was 26% higher in women randomly assigned to combination HRT than in those assigned to placebo. In contrast, the use of estrogen (conjugated equine estrogen) alone in women who had undergone hysterectomy was associated with a 23% (but not significant) decrease in breast cancer risk in comparison with placebo at initial reporting.

On extended follow-up (median, 11.8 years), estrogen-only therapy for 5-9 years in women with hysterectomy was associated with a significant 23% reduction in the annual incidence of invasive breast cancer (0.27%; placebo, 0.35%) (Anderson GL, et
al 2012). Fewer women died of breast cancer in the estrogen-only arm. These findings contrast with those reported from large observational case-control and prospective cohort studies, where estrogen alone was associated with increased risk (though the increase was consistently less than that associated with combined HRT use) (Speroff L. 2003).

To aid the medical community in the application of HRT, a number of agencies and groups have published recommendations for HRT use in the treatment of menopause and associated bone loss. At present, HRT is not recommended for prevention of cardiovascular disease or dementia or, more generally, for long-term use to prevent disease.

When prescribing HRT, the clinician should provide a discussion of the most current evidence and an assessment of the potential benefit and harm to the patient. Because of the known risk of endometrial cancer with estrogen-only formulations, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) currently advises the use of estrogen-plus-progesterone HRT for the management of menopausal symptoms in women with an intact uterus tailored to the individual patient, at the lowest effective dose for the shortest time needed to abate symptoms.

There are currently no formal guidelines for the use of HRT in women at high risk for breast cancer (ie, women with a family history of breast cancer, a personal history of breast cancer, or benign breast disease). Only a few studies have evaluated the effect of HRT after a diagnosis of breast cancer. The largest of these, the HABITS (Hormonal replacement therapy After Breast cancer—is IT Safe?) study was stopped early because unacceptable rates of breast cancer recurrence and contralateral disease with 2 years of HRT use (hazard ratio, 3.5) (Holmberg L, et al 2004).

In another randomized clinical trial, no increase in the risk of breast cancer recurrences was observed in women at a median follow up of 4.1 years (Loprinzi CL, et al 1994). Use of progesterone-containing HRT was limited by intermittent use, with continuous exposure avoided.

Combination formulations containing estrogen plus progesterone are contraindicated in women with a prior history of invasive disease, a history of ductal or lobular carcinoma in situ, or a strong family history of breast cancer. This recommendation
poses a significant challenge when confronted with a patient suffering severe menopausal symptoms.

Many new treatments for menopausal symptoms have been suggested (eg, clonidine, venlafaxine, gabapentin, and combination venlafaxine plus gabapentin). To date, no randomized clinical trials among women at increased risk of breast cancer or women with a history of breast cancer have assessed the overall efficacy or risks associated with these treatments (Bordeleau L, et al 2007). Use of these agents is controversial and should target the severity of menopausal symptoms.

Other hormone-based approaches (eg, low-dose vaginal estrogen for vaginal and urinary symptoms, including dyspareunia) are generally considered to be safer, particularly in patients receiving SERMs. However, these agents may also carry a slight increased risk, in that they are capable of raising estradiol levels, at least transiently, depending on the dose and frequency of administration. Little evidence supports the benefit of commonly used dietary isoflavones, black cohosh, or vitamin E.

1.5.9 Prior breast health history

A history of breast cancer is associated with a 3- to 4-fold increased risk of a second primary cancer in the contralateral breast (Page DL, et al 2003). The presence of any premalignant ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) or LCIS confers an 8- to 10-fold increase in the risk of developing breast cancer in women who harbor untreated preinvasive lesions (Ashbeck EL, et al 2007).

A history of breast biopsy that is positive for hyperplasia, fibroadenoma with complex features, sclerosing adenosis, and solitary papilloma have been associated with a modest (1.5- to 2-fold) increase in breast cancer risk (Ashbeck EL, et al 2007). In contrast, any diagnosis of atypical hyperplasia that is ductal or lobular in nature, especially in a woman under the age of 45 years, carries a 4- to 5-fold increased risk of breast cancer, with the increase rising to 8- to 10-fold among women with multiple foci of atypia or calcifications in the breast (Degnim AC, et al 2007).

Benign breast lesions, including fibrocystic disease such as fibrocystic change without proliferative breast disease or fibroadenoma, have not been associated with increased risk (Dupont WD, et al 1994).
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1.5.10 Lifestyle risk factors

The wide variability of breast cancer incidence around the world (eg, the nearly 5-fold difference between Eastern Africa and Western Europe) has long been attributed to differences in dietary intake and reproductive patterns (Holmes MD, et al 2004). In general, rates differ according to the level of industrial development: there are more than 80 cases per 100,000 in developed countries, compared with fewer than 40 per 100,000 in less developed countries.

As with cancers of the colon and prostate, diets that are rich in grains, fruits, and vegetables; low in saturated fats; low in energy (calories); and low in alcohol—the more common pattern in less industrialized countries—are thought to be protective against breast cancer (Holmes MD, et al 2004).

1.5.11 Obesity

Increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer has been consistently associated with the following:


b) Western dietary pattern (high energy content in the form of animal fats and refined carbohydrates)

c) Sedentary lifestyle

d) Regular, moderate consumption of alcohol (3-5 alcoholic beverages per week)

The Western lifestyle (ie, chronic excess energy intake from meat, fat, and carbohydrates and lack of exercise) strongly correlates with development of the following:

a) Obesity, particularly abdominal obesity

b) Chronic hyperinsulinemia

c) Higher production and availability of insulinlike growth factor (IGF)-1

d) Increased levels of endogenous sex hormones through suppression of sex hormone–binding globulin (Lukanova A, et al 2004).

Studies of dietary fat, total energy, and meat intake levels have largely been inconsistent in population studies of adult women with regard to risk of breast cancer. In contrast, epidemiologic studies have more consistently found a positive relation
between breast cancer risk and early-life exposures such as diet, obesity, and body size (including height) (Fuemmeler BF, et al 2009). The mechanism of this relation is unknown.

1.5.12 Environmental risk factors

A number of environmental exposures have been investigated in relation to breast cancer risk in humans, including the following (Gammon MD, et al 2004):

a) Tobacco smoke (both active and passive exposure)
b) Dietary (eg, charred and processed meats)
c) Alcohol consumption
d) Environmental carcinogens (eg, exposure to pesticides, radiation, and environmental and dietary estrogens)

Of these environmental exposures, only high doses of ionizing radiation to the chest area, particularly during puberty, have been unequivocally linked with an increased risk of breast cancer in adulthood (Carmichael A, et al 2003). Because of the strong association between ionizing radiation exposure and breast cancer risk, medical diagnostic procedures are performed in such a way as to minimize exposure to the chest area, particularly during adolescence.

Women with a history of radiation exposure to the chest area should be examined and counseled regarding their risk of breast cancer on the basis of the timing and dose of the previous exposure. A patient treated for Hodgkin lymphoma with Mantel radiation that includes the breasts in the radiation field has a 5-fold higher risk of developing breast cancer. This risk increases markedly for women treated during adolescence (Clemons M, et al 2000); evidence suggests that cumulative risk increases with age as a function of age of exposure and type of therapy (Hill DA, et al 15 Nov).

Current evidence does not support a significant and reproducible link between other environmental exposures and breast cancer risk. Thus, a number of factors remain suspect but unproven.

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluates evidence on the carcinogenic risk to humans of a number of exposures including tobacco, alcohol, infections, radiation, occupational exposures, and medications.4 The World Cancer
Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR) have a conclusions about breast cancer risk factors are shown in Table (2.1):.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increases risk ('sufficient' or 'convincing' evidence)</th>
<th>May increase risk ('limited' or 'probable' evidence)</th>
<th>Decreases risk ('sufficient' or 'convincing' evidence)</th>
<th>May decrease risk ('limited' or 'probable' evidence)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 1: IARC and WCRF/AICR Evaluations of Breast Cancer Risk Factors
Incidence over this period of time varied dramatically by histologic type. Common ductal carcinomas increased modestly from 1987 to 1999, whereas invasive lobular and mixed ductal-lobular carcinomas increased dramatically during this time period (Li CI, et al 2007). For women under the age of 50, breast cancer rates have remained stable since the middle to late 1980s. Rates of DCIS have stabilized since 2000. Whereas a decline in invasive breast cancer rates was evident as early as 1999, rates decreased dramatically in women aged 50 years or older between 2001 and 2004. During this same period, no significant change was observed in the incidence of ER-negative cancers or cancers in women younger than 50 years. The decline in rates from 2001 to 2004 was greatest between 2002 and 2003 and was limited to non-Hispanic whites (Katalinic A, et al 2008).

The reason for the decline has been extensively debated. Breast cancer rates decreased significantly after the reports from the Million Women Study (Beral V. 2003) and the Women’s Health Initiative showing higher numbers of breast cancers in women using combination HRT with estrogen and progestin for menopausal symptoms. The near-immediate decrease in the use of combination HRT for that purpose has been widely accepted as a primary explanation for the decrease in breast cancer rates (Ravdin PM, et al 2007).

However, Jemal and Li argued that the decline in breast cancer incidence started earlier than the reduction in combination HRT use and that the decline is due in part to a “saturation” in mammographic screening mammography that produced a plateau in incidence when such screening stabilized in the late 1990s (Jemal A, et al 2007). Saturation of the population would be predicted to reduce the pool of undiagnosed or prevalent cases.

For women aged 69 years or older, breast cancer rates started to decline as early as 1998, when screening first showed a plateau. This observation is consistent with the prediction that if widespread screening and earlier detection are effective, they should result in a peak incidence among women during the sixth and seventh decades of life, followed by a decline. This is exactly the pattern now being reported for screened populations (Anderson WF, et al 2007).
The second observation noted by Jemal et al was that despite evidence for a plateau effect, screening saturation alone could not explain the dramatic declines or the pattern of decline. The decline in incidence was observed only for ER-positive tumors and not for ER-negative ones; these findings support the competing hypothesis that exposure to HRT as estrogen in combination with synthetic progesterone promoted the growth of undetected tumors.

Under this scenario, withdrawal of combination HRT at the population level may have resulted in regression or a slowing of tumor growth. The latter, it has been argued, would result in a delay in detection. Overall, incidence figures from 2005-2009, for which the most recent data are currently available, suggest that overall new breast cancer case rates have remained fairly stable since the initial drop.

It is notable, however, that the annual percentage change from 2005 to 2009 increased in women aged 65-74 years by 2.7% during this period, rates that parallel 2001 incidence figures for this age group. This rise is occurring in spite of very low use of HRT by this population (Burger HG, et al 2012) and suggests that the drop in combination HRT use immediately after 2002 may not have resulted in a sustained decrease in new breast cancer cases.

At present, it is unclear whether decreased use of combination HRT has resulted in a sustained reduction in the incidence of breast cancer at the population level or has shifted the age at which preexisting disease would become detectable

1.5.13.Age-related demographics

The incidence rate of breast cancer increases with age, from 1.5 cases per 100,000 in women 20-24 years of age to a peak of 421.3 cases per 100,000 in women 75-79 years of age; 95% of new cases occur in women aged 40 years or older. The median age of women at the time of breast cancer diagnosis is 61 years.

Rates of in situ breast cancer stabilized among women 50 years and older in the late 1990s; this is consistent with the proposed effects of screening saturation. However, the incidence of in situ breast cancer continues to increase in younger women.
Breast cancers are classified by several grading systems. Each of these influences the prognosis and can affect treatment response. Description of a breast cancer optimally includes all of these factors.

1.5.14.Histopathology:

Breast cancer is usually classified primarily by its histological appearance. Most breast cancers are derived from the epithelium lining the ducts or lobules, and these cancers are classified as ductal or lobular carcinoma. Carcinoma in situ is growth of low grade cancerous or precancerous cells within a particular tissue compartment such as the mammary duct without invasion of the surrounding tissue. In contrast, invasive carcinoma does not confine itself to the initial tissue compartment.

1.5.14.Grade:

Grading compares the appearance of the breast cancer cells to the appearance of normal breast tissue. Normal cells in an organ like the breast become differentiated, meaning that they take on specific shapes and forms that reflect their function as part of that organ. Cancerous cells lose that differentiation. In cancer, the cells that would normally line up in an orderly way to make up the milk ducts become disorganized. Cell division becomes uncontrolled. Cell nuclei become less uniform. Pathologists describe cells as well differentiated (low grade), moderately differentiated (intermediate grade), and poorly differentiated (high grade) as the cells progressively lose the features seen in normal breast cells. Poorly differentiated cancers (the ones whose tissue is least like normal breast tissue) have a worse prognosis.

1.5.15.Stage:

Breast cancer staging using the TNM system is based on the size of the tumor (T), whether or not the tumor has spread to the lymph nodes (N) in the armpits, and whether the tumor has metastasized (M) (i.e. spread to a more distant part of the body). Larger size, nodal spread, and metastasis have a larger stage number and a worse prognosis.

The main stages are:

a) Stage 0 is a pre-cancerous or marker condition, either ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) or lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS).
b) Stages 1–3 are within the breast or regional lymph nodes.

c) Stage 4 is 'metastatic' cancer that has a less favorable prognosis.

Where available, imaging studies may be employed as part of the staging process in select cases to look for signs of metastatic cancer. However, in cases of breast cancer with low risk for metastasis, the risks associated with PET scans, CT scans, or bone scans outweigh the possible benefits, as these procedures expose the patient to a substantial amount of potentially dangerous ionizing radiation.

There are two types of breast cancer staging:

- Pathologic staging
- Clinical staging

- Pathologic staging:

Pathologic staging (the standard way to stage breast cancer) is based on a pathologist’s study of the lymph nodes and tumor tissue removed during surgery.

- Clinical staging:

Clinical staging includes results from a health care provider’s physical exam and tests like mammography. These may add to the pathologist’s findings, when needed.

Although there are a few ways to classify stage, the most widely used is the TNM system (which stands for tumor, nodes and metastases). TNM takes into account:

- The size of the tumor (T).
- The number and location lymph nodes (N) with cancer.
- Whether or not the cancer has spread to other areas of the body (metastasis) (M).

**1.5.16. Receptor status:**

Breast cancer cells have receptors on their surface and in their cytoplasm and nucleus. Chemical messengers such as hormones bind to receptors, and this causes changes in the cell. Breast cancer cells may or may not have three important receptors: estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2.
ER+ cancer cells (that is, cancer cells that have estrogen receptors) depend on estrogen for their growth, so they can be treated with drugs to block estrogen effects (e.g. tamoxifen), and generally have a better prognosis. Untreated, HER2+ breast cancers are generally more aggressive than HER2- breast cancers, but HER2+ cancer cells respond to drugs such as the monoclonal antibody trastuzumab (in combination with conventional chemotherapy), and this has improved the prognosis significantly (Watson M 2008). Cells that do not have any of these three receptor types (estrogen receptors, progesterone receptors, or HER2) are called triple-negative, although they frequently do express receptors for other hormones, such as androgen receptor and prolactin receptor.

1.5.17.DNA assays:

DNA testing of various types including DNA microarrays have compared normal cells to breast cancer cells. The specific changes in a particular breast cancer can be used to classify the cancer in several ways, and may assist in choosing the most effective treatment for that DNA type.

The 2003 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors of the breast which includes benign (harmless) tumors and malignant (cancerous) tumors, recommends the following pathological types:

1.5.18.Tumor Size and Staging:

-Tumor size is strongly related to prognosis. In general, the smaller the tumor, the higher the chances are for long-term survival.

-In the TNM staging system, a "T" followed by a number shows the size of the tumor.

-In some cases, the size of the tumor cannot be determined (TX) or a tumor cannot be found (TO). If the diagnosis is carcinoma in situ, this is written as Tis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor size categories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX: Tumor size cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0: No tumor can be found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tis:</strong> Carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcategories of Tis:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (DCIS): Ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (LCIS): Lobular carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis (Paget): Paget disease of the breast (Paget disease of the nipple) with no DCIS, LCIS or invasive breast cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>T1:</strong> Tumor is 2 cm or smaller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcategories of T1:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1mi: Very small tumor (0.1 cm or smaller)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a: Tumor is larger than 0.1 cm, but no larger than 0.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b: Tumor is larger than 0.5 cm, but no larger than 1 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1c: Tumor is larger than 1 cm, but no larger than 2 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>T2:</strong> Tumor is larger than 2 cm, but no larger than 5 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>T3:</strong> Tumor is larger than 5 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>T4:</strong> Tumor is any size, but has spread beyond the breast tissue to the chest wall and/or skin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcategories of T4:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a: Tumor has spread to the chest wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Histological grade

**Histologic grade (G)**

- GX: Grade cannot be assessed.

- G1: Low combined histologic grade (favorable).

- G2: Intermediate combined histologic grade (moderately favorable).

- G3: High combined histologic grade (unfavorable).

1.6. **Where in the body does breast cancer usually spread?**

Lymph nodes: The most common sites for breast cancer to spread. Other parts of the body:

- a. Muscle, fatty tissue and skin.
- b. Bones.
- c. Bone marrow.
- d. Liver.
- e. Lungs.
- f. Brain.
Axillary lymph node status is the single most important prognostic variable in the management of patients with primary breast cancer. Yet, it is not known whether metastasis to the axillary nodes is simply a time-dependent variable or also a marker for a more aggressive tumor phenotype.

cancers have a worse prognosis than node-negative cases (Dent DM, et al 1996). However, the significance of nodal metastasis is poorly understood. Late in the 19th century, Halsted (Halsted WS, et al 1898) proposed that breast cancer spreads first to the axillary lymph nodes and then to distant sites. Thus, nodal metastasis was viewed as an indicator of tumor chronology. The better prognosis of node-negative tumors was attributed to timely resection, before distant metastasis via the axillary lymphatics had occurred (Eggers C, et al 1937).

In more recent years, large randomized trials have shown that neither the extent of the mastectomy nor delay in the treatment of the axilla has any influence on the prognosis of patients with operable breast cancer (Wood WC, 1994). In addition, long-term follow-up of node-negative patients reveals that 30% eventually die of metastatic breast cancer (Bonadonna G, 1992). Thus, the axilla does not seem to serve as a nidus for further spread of the cancer, as postulated by Halsted. Yet, nodal status is still considered an indicator of tumor chronology, and the better prognosis of node-negative patients is generally attributed to lead time bias (Mitra I, et al 1993). However, an alternative possibility may account for the difference in prognosis between node-negative and node-positive patients. Nodal status is perhaps also a
marker of tumor biology, with node-positive tumors having a more aggressive phenotype.

Mueller (Mueller CB, 1988) analyzed the annual rates of death from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project 04 study and Connecticut Tumor Registry and found that they were consistently higher for node-positive patients than for node-negative patients. Therefore, he postulated that the two stages of breast cancer represented biologic variants of the same disease. However, his analysis did not account for the confounding effects of other prognostic factors on survival, and the possibility that nodal status was a surrogate for these other variables could not be excluded. Subsequently, Mittra and MacRae (Mittra I, et al 1991) undertook a meta-analysis of published correlations between various prognostic factors in breast cancer and concluded that axillary lymph node status is simply a reflection of the chronologic age of the tumor. Yet, a meta-analysis has pitfalls, many of which were acknowledged by the authors. These include publication bias (journals tend to report only positive findings) and variability in laboratory analysis criteria (for instance, different laboratories adopt different criteria as to what constitutes ER positivity and ER negativity). In order to account for the shortcomings of the two previous studies, we undertook a multivariate analysis using a single, large database. All laboratory data were generated from the same institution, thereby accounting for the possible confounding effects of other prognostic factors on survival and yet eliminating the deficiencies of the meta-analysis.

The clinical history of breast cancer is often marked by four milestones: inception, diagnosis, distant relapse, and death (Bonadonna G, 1992). The time of inception is not known, and therefore the interval between inception and diagnosis is not known and probably highly variable between patients. If the survival advantage of node-negative patients is due to lead time bias, then these patients may seem to do better only because their cancers are diagnosed earlier than in the node-positive group, resulting in a longer follow-up time to death. To reduce the uncertainty associated with lead time bias, we correlated nodal status at initial diagnosis with the interval between two known points in the clinical history of breast cancer: relapse and death. A long interval would suggest a biologically indolent tumor, while a shorter interval
would indicate a more aggressive tumor phenotype. If nodal status is simply a marker of delay in diagnosis, then it should not correlate with outcome after relapse.

The importance of nodal status in predicting outcome after relapse is controversial. Some investigators have reported that nodal status has prognostic importance after relapse (Clark GM, et al 1987). However, others have found no correlation (Williams MR, et al 1986). There were relatively few patients in most of these studies, and the relationship between the number of involved nodes and prognosis after relapse was generally not examined (patients were categorized simply as node-positive or node-negative). More recently, several authors have reviewed the literature on this subject and concluded that nodal status has no value in predicting outcome after relapse and is therefore simply an indicator of tumor chronology (Tubiana-Hulin M, et al 1995). Several years ago, a report from our institution suggested that nodal status does have prognostic importance after relapse (Clark GM, et al 1987). In the present study, we evaluate an expanded cohort of patients from that database and correlate the extent of nodal involvement with outcome after relapse.

Patients with large, hormone receptor–negative or node-positive tumors are often selected to receive adjuvant systemic therapy. Thus, it is not surprising that patients selected for adjuvant systemic therapy have a worse outcome after relapse in the univariate analysis but not the multivariate model, where the effect of these other confounding variables is taken into account. Similarly, the size of the primary tumor correlates with outcome after relapse in the univariate analysis but not the multivariate model. Therefore, one might speculate that tumor size is a surrogate for nodal status. In the multivariate model, only nodal status, site of relapse, and hormone receptor status are independent predictors of outcome after relapse. After these main effects and the interaction between number of nodes involved and DFI are accounted for, nodal status remains a significant predictor of outcome after relapse. Indeed, when compared with node-negative patients, those with four or more involved nodes have a significantly worse outcome after relapse. However, for patients with only one to three involved nodes, the outcome is not significantly different from that of the node-negative patients. Thus, the number of involved nodes (rather than simply the absence or presence of nodal involvement) is a key determinant of prognosis after relapse.
The risk of axillary lymph node metastasis increases as tumor size increases, which suggests that nodal metastasis is indicative of tumor chronology (Tabar L, et al 1985). Yet, our study suggests that nodal status has prognostic importance after relapse, indicating that it is also a marker for tumor phenotype. These findings are not necessarily inconsistent. One might speculate that there is a continuum from slow-growing tumors with late axillary involvement to more aggressive tumors with early metastasis to the axilla (Koscielny S, et al 1989). A 1-cm, node-positive tumor might be chronologically early but biologically more aggressive when compared with a 2-cm, node-negative tumor. Thus, all breast cancers may eventually metastasize to the axillary nodes, with the propensity for early or late metastasis having prognostic importance after relapse. Therefore, nodal status may indicate both tumor chronology and phenotype.
Chapter Two

Section one

(Ultrasound characteristic of the lesion)

2.1. Breast ultrasound (Theoretical Baground) :
Breast ultrasound is an important modality in breast imaging. It is the usual initial breast imaging modality in those less than 30 years of age in many countries.

In assessing for malignancy, is important to remember that one must use most suspicious feature of 3 modalities (pathology, ultrasound, and mammography) to guide management.

-Breast ultrasound is targeted to a clinical problem.
-Reasonable sensitivity but poor specificity.
-May have a place in screening women at high risk or with MMG dense breasts.

2.1.1. Use of breast ultrasound:

1. to evaluate a young (usually under 30 years of age) or pregnant patient.
2. evaluate a palpable lump with negative or equivocal mammographic findings.
3. detect lesions in lower contrast field.
4. can help to distinguish between benign vs malignant characteristics.
5. for guiding biopsy.
6. for evaluation of breast implants for rupture.

-Features that are found NOT to be useful in differentiating malignant from benign lesions:

1- heterogeneity homogeneity of texture.
2- normal enhanced through transmission (i.e. mucinous cancers)
3- being iso-mildly hypoechoic.
4- maximum diameter.
Table 2.1 is a summary of those ultrasound characteristics (Stavros et al.1995).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignant</th>
<th>Benign</th>
<th>Indeterminate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-Spiculation.</td>
<td>-Absent malignant findings.</td>
<td>-Normal sound transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Angular margins.</td>
<td>-Intense hyperechogenicity.</td>
<td>-Isoechogenicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Posterior shadowing</td>
<td>-Mild hypoechogenicity.</td>
<td>-Enhanced transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Calcification.</td>
<td>-Gentle lobulations.</td>
<td>-Heterogenous texture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Duct extension.</td>
<td>-Thin, echogenic capsule.</td>
<td>-Homogeneous texture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Branch pattern.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Microlobulations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With more accent now being placed on characterising breast density, more authors feel there is a place for screening ultrasound in the dense (> 75%) breast. In Connecticut ultrasound of the dense breast is now mandated and paid for by the state. There is good evidence that in this group of patients the yield of ultrasound in picking up cancers is almost as high as mammography itself in the range of an additional 3-4/1000 cancers found (Berg, ECR, 2013). In the context of screening for breast cancer, ultrasound in capable hands will find low grade DCIS that may not be visible on mammography. "Second look" ultrasound after breast MRI will yield a positive finding in about 56% of cases.
2.2. Malignant breast lesions:

Breast cancers can start in any part of the breast tissue and while most originate in the TDLU’s or ducts, some do occur in the breast lobules. Malignant lesions are significantly different in appearance to benign lesions, and distort the normal tissue planes and invade surrounding tissue. A marked increased in vascularity is apparent with colour Doppler and these lesions have the potential to metastasize to distant tissues through lymphatic and vascular channels. Common Malignant lesions discussed in this section include invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), invasive lobular and invasive tubular carcinomas.

2.3. Ultrasound characteristic of the lesion:

**Breast lesions at ultrasound** have a number of characteristics which allows the classification as either malignant, intermediate or benign. In 1995, A. Thomas Stavros published an important paper in Radiology (Stavros AT, et al 1995) which established the usefulness of various ultrasound features in distinguishing benign from malignant lesions.

**Malignant characteristics (with positive predictive values):**

1. **Sonographic spiculation:** 87-90% (Stavros AT, et al 1995) : alternate hypo-hyperechoic lines radiating perpendicularly from surface of nodules (If lesion is surrounded by echogenic tissue, you will see hypoechoic strands. If lesion is surrounded by fat, echogenic strands may be seen).
2. **Deeper (taller) than wide:** 74-80% (Paredes ES. Et al 2007): except in certain grade III Invasive ductal carcinomas.
3. **Microlobulations:** 75% : small lobulations 1 - 2 mm on the surface; risk of malignancy rises with increasing numbers.
4. **Thick Hyperechoic Halo:** 74%
5. **Angular Margins:** 70%
6. **Markedly Hypoechoic nodule:** 70%
7. **Sonographic Shadowing:** 50%

branching pattern: 30% : multiple projections from the nodule within or around ducts extending away from the nipple, usually seen in larger tumours.

Punctate Calcifications: 25% : which usually do not shadow.
8. **Duct Extension**: 25% is seen as projection from a nodule which extends radially within or around a duct towards the nipple.


11. **Compressibility**: in general terms, benign lesions compress with transducer pressure and malignant lesions displace the breast tissue without changing in height. This is the basis for elastography.

These ultrasound images reveal a hypoechoic, poorly defined, irregular mass in the breast. There is also evidence of acoustic shadowing posteriorly. These findings on sonography suggest malignant mass of the breast. Images courtesy of Dr. Nirmali Dutta, UAE.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure (2.1): malignant mass of the breast.**

-These ultrasound images reveal a hypoechoic, poorly defined, irregular mass in the breast. There is also evidence of acoustic shadowing posteriorly. These findings on sonography suggest malignant mass of the breast. Images courtesy of Dr. Nirmali Dutta, UAE.
The below ultrasound images show a typical proven case of cancer of the left breast. The tumor is seen as a well defined hypoechoic mass with microlobulation or fine irregularities of the margins. In addition, the mass shows multiple echogenic areas along the rim a clear sign of malignancy in breast carcinoma. Images are courtesy of Ravi Kadasne, MD, UAE:

Blew image shows a markedly hypoechoic mass of the right breast, that seems to spread vertically (taller than wide), a sign of malignant nature of the breast tumor. In addition, note the presence of fine irregularities of the margin of the lump. All these sonographic findings are suggestive of a breast carcinoma. This ultrasound image is courtesy of Dr. ravi Kadasne, MD, UAE.
Finding: Solid nodule  Positive predictive value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spiculation</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taller than wide</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular margins</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadowing</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching pattern</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoechogeticity</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcifications</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct extension</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching pattern</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microlobulations</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USG suspicious for malignancy

-Benign characteristics (with negative predictive values):

-Well circumscribed markedly hyperechoic tissue: ~ 100%

-Wider than deep: 99%

-Gently curving smooth lobulations (<3 in a wider than deep nodule, i.e. D/W ratio <1) : 99%

-**Thin** echogenic pseudocapsule in a wider than deep nodule : 99%. It is best seen on anterior / posterior margins, perpendicular to the beam. It probably represents normal compressed tissue consistent with a non infiltrative process.

2.3. Sonographic Differentiation of solid breast lesions:

Breast sonography is not universally accepted as a screening technique. Differentiating masses as cystic or solid has been accepted as the traditional role of ultrasound in workup of breast masses. Further evolution of solid masses has been advised either by FNAC, large core percutaneous technique or excisional biopsy. Though well tolerated, these techniques do have some risk, induce patient discomfort and increase overall cost of health care.
With recent advances in technology, new hardware and software improvement and availability of Dynamic high resolution, real time ultrasound attempts are being made to evaluate solid breast masses further and differentiate benign from malignant lesions.

There is an overlap in sonographic findings between benign and malignant solid breast lesions. To be able to characterize all solid breast nodules is impossible. A reasonable goal is to identify subgroup of nodules that has low risk of being malignant so that option of follow up One has to rely upon an array of findings to evaluate solid breast lesions and call it benign or malignant. No characteristics are absolutely specific and it is impossible to distinguish all benign from all solid breast nodules using Sonographic criteria. However Sonographic criteria are:

2.3.1. Shape and margin of the lesion:

The shape of a mass can be described as round, oval, lobulated or irregular. Round and oval shapes are suggestive of benign masses. As long as there are fewer than three lobulations, the chances of malignancy is very less. The presence of greater than three lobulations is an indeterminate feature. Irregular shape is suspicious of malignancy. The margin of the lesion reflects the demarcation of the mass with surrounding tissue. It can be smooth, micro lobulated, irregular or spiculated. Gentle bilobulate or Tri lobulated margins are considered smooth. Presence of 2 or 3 gentle smooth, circumscribed and well-encapsulated lobulation strongly favours a benign etiology over cancer. The presence of many small lobulations, that is microlobulation, on surface of solid breast lesion is suspicious of malignancy. Numerous lobulations give the lesion a pleomorphic shape. Microlobulation are frequently associated with angular margins. All lesions with irregular shape, ill defined margins and / or spication irrespective of their internal structure, sound transmission and orientation are suspicious of malignancy. If such a lesion is accompanied by satellite lesions, enlarged lymph nodes, ingrowth into isoechoic lesion and surrounding tissues. Obtuse or acute pointed junctions are formed between the mass and surrounding tissues. Irregular margin or presence of spiculation indicate invasion of lesion into surrounding tissue. Sonographic spiculation consists of alternating hypoechoic and relatively hyperechoic lines radiating out from the nodule.
Only hypoechoic or relativel is characterized malignant.

2.3.2.Width-AP dimension ratio:

It has been suggested that benign masses tend to grow within the plane of the breast resulting in lesions that are relatively wide in length or width relative to their AP dimension. In contrast, the infiltration of malignant masses presumably allows them to grow perpendicular to the plane of the breast. Simple measurement of the lesion dimension has not proven useful as the sole means of differentiating benign from malignant lesions, but it one of the several discriminating features. Benign lesions, like fibroadenomas, which grow horizontally within tissue planes, have a greater width than AP dimension and are compressible, partially resulting into their oval shape. Most carcinomas traverse surrounding tissue planes, resulting in a more vertical orientation, are firm and much less compressible. In consideration with other criteria, Width to AP ratio greater than 1.4 is suggestive of benign lesion where as 1.4 or less characterized the malignant ones.

2.3.3.Echogenicity: The lesions can be anechoic, hypoechoic, isoechoic and hyperechoic. An anechoic lesion is a benign cyst, but a complex cyst with thickened wall is suspicious and if there is also presence of intracystic growth it is probably a malignant lesion. To comment on echogenicity of lesion, the surrounding fat lobules should be used as reference level. Markedly hypoechoic lesion with respect to fat is probably malignant.

2.3.4.Internal Echo pattern:

A lesion can be homogeneous or heterogeneous. Homogeneity or Heterogeneity reflects the diversity of tissue components within the lesion. A heterogeneous lesion has a more chance of being malignant. However both benign as well as malignant lesions.

2.3.5.Shadowing:

Many of the malignant lesions attenuate sound and cause shadowing behind all or part of the mass. It is common with scirrous cancers and less with highly cellular tumors.

2.3.6.Calcifications:

Calcifications seen within such a nodule are more likely to be malignant than benign.
2.3.7. Duct Extension:

Malignant breast nodules sometimes have projections from the surface of the nodule, which extend radially within a duct toward the nipple (duct extension) and/or within ducts away from the nipple (branch pattern). All of these findings suggest that the nodule extends into or along the ductal system. This increases the chance that the nodule is malignant and has components of intraductal cancer.

To conclude, malignant features include an irregular shape; micro lobulated, ill-defined or spiculated margins, AP dimension greater than width; marked hypoechogeticity; attenuating distal echoes and punctate calcifications. Features typical of benignity are homogeneous hyperechogeticity; a thin echogenic capsule; ellipsoid shape and fewer than four lobulations. A lack of all malignant features plus a combination of benign features is required for the mass to be characterized as benign (Zonderland HM, et al 1999).
Section two

(Literature review)

2.4 Anatomy of the breast:

Breast shape varies among patients, but knowing and understanding the anatomy of the breast ensures safe surgical planning. (See the image below.) When the breasts are carefully examined, significant asymmetries are revealed in most patients. Any preexisting asymmetries, spinal curvature, or chest wall deformities must be recognized and demonstrated to the patient, as these may be difficult to correct and can become noticeable in the postoperative period. Preoperative photographs with multiple views are obtained on all patients and maintained as part of the office record (Thorne CH, et al 2007).

Image (2.2) Anatomy of the breast.

2.4.1 Anatomy of the breast Vascular Anatomy and Innervation of the Breast:

The blood supply to the breast skin depends on the subdermal plexus, which is in communication with deeper underlying vessels supplying the breast parenchyma. The blood supply is derived from the following:
1. -The internal mammary perforators (most notably the second to fifth perforators).
2. -The thoracoacromial artery.
3. -The vessels to serratus anterior.
4. -The lateral thoracic artery.
5. -The terminal branches of the third to eighth intercostal perforators.

The superomedial perforator supply from the internal mammary vessels is particularly robust and accounts for some 60% of the total breast blood supply. This rich blood supply allows for various reduction techniques, ensuring the viability of the skin flaps after surgery (Maxwell GP, et al 2009).

Sensory innervation of the breast is dermatomal in nature. It is mainly derived from the anterolateral and anteromedial branches of thoracic intercostal nerves T3-T5. Supraclavicular nerves from the lower fibers of the cervical plexus also provide innervation to the upper and lateral portions of the breast. Researchers believe sensation to the nipple derives largely from the lateral cutaneous branch of T4.

2.4.2. Breast Parenchyma and Support Structures:

The breast is made up of fatty tissue and glandular, milk-producing tissues. (See the image below.) The ratio of fatty tissue to glandular tissue varies among individuals. In addition, with the onset of menopause (ie, decrease in estrogen levels), the relative amount of fatty tissue increases as the glandular tissue diminishes.

figure (2.3) Female breast, anterior view.
The base of the breast overlies the pectoralis major muscle between the second and sixth ribs in the nonptotic state. The gland is anchored to the pectoralis major fascia by the suspensory ligaments first described by Astley Cooper in 1840. These ligaments run throughout the breast tissue parenchyma from the deep fascia beneath the breast and attach to the dermis of the skin. Since they are not taut, they allow for the natural motion of the breast. These ligaments relax with age and time, eventually resulting in breast ptosis. The lower pole of the breast is fuller than the upper pole. (See the image below.) The tail of Spence extends obliquely up into the medial wall of the axilla (Maxwell GP, et al 2009).

The breast overlies the pectoralis major muscle as well as the uppermost portion of the rectus abdominis muscle inferomedially. The nipple should lie above the inframammary crease and is usually level with the fourth rib and just lateral to the midclavicular line. The average nipple–to–sternal notch measurement in a youthful, well-developed breast is 21-22 cm; an equilateral triangle formed between the nipples and sternal notch measures an average of 21 cm per side (Maxwell GP, et al 2009).

2.4.3. Musculature Related to the Breast:

The breast lies over the musculature that encases the chest wall. The muscles involved include the pectoralis major, serratus anterior, external oblique, and rectus abdominis fascia. The blood supply that provides circulation to these muscles perforates through to the breast parenchyma, thus also supplying blood to the breast. By maintaining continuity with the underlying musculature, the breast tissue remains richly perfused, thus preventing complications from arising from aesthetic or reconstructive surgery that requires the placement of a breast implant.

2.4.3.1. Pectoralis major:

The pectoralis major muscle is a broad muscle that extends from its origin on the medial clavicle and lateral sternum to its insertion on the humerus. The thoracoacromial artery provides its major blood supply, while the intercostal perforators arising from the internal mammary artery provide a segmental blood supply. The medial and lateral anterior thoracic nerves provide innervation for the muscle, entering posteriorly and laterally. The action of the pectoralis major is to flex, adduct, and rotate the arm medially.
The pectoralis major is extremely important in aesthetic and reconstructive breast surgery, since it provides muscle coverage for the breast implant. In reconstructive surgery, the pectoralis major muscle covers the implant, providing a decreased risk of exposure of the implant, since the skin and underlying subcutaneous tissues are often thin following mastectomy. The muscle also provides additional tissue between implant and skin, thus decreasing palpability of the implant.

Often, placement of the implant beneath the muscle causes it to be noticeable when the pectoralis is contracted. In these instances, it may be helpful to release the pectoralis muscle from its inferior and medial attachments to decrease the incidence of noticeable contractions. In addition, with inferior release of the pectoralis muscle, lower positioning of the implant can be achieved, resulting in a more aesthetically pleasing appearance.

2.4.3.2. Seriates anterior:

The serratus anterior muscle is a broad muscle that runs along the anterolateral chest wall. Its origin is the outer surface of the upper borders of the first through eighth ribs, and its insertion is on the deep surface of the scapula. Its vascular supply is derived equally from the lateral thoracic artery and from branches of the thoracodorsal artery. The long thoracic nerve serves to innervate the serratus anterior, which acts to rotate the scapula, raising the point of the shoulder and drawing the scapula forward toward the body. Transection of the long thoracic nerve is carefully avoided during an axillary lymph node dissection, since its loss results in "winging" as the scapula is released from the chest wall and moves upward and outward.

Because the serratus anterior underlies the lateral aspect of the breast, in aesthetic surgery, blunt elevation of the pectoralis major laterally inadvertently elevates a small portion of the serratus muscle. Often the serratus anterior must be elevated sharply to obtain a sufficient muscle layer to provide coverage of the implant.

2.4.3.3. Rectus abdominis:

The rectus abdominis muscle demarcates the inferior border of the breast. It is an elongated muscle that runs from its origin at the crest of the pubis and interpubic ligament to its insertion at the xiphoid process and cartilages of the fifth through seventh ribs. It acts to compress the abdomen and flex the spine. The 7th through
12th intercostal nerves provide sensation to overlying skin and innervate the muscle. Vascularity of the muscle is maintained through a network between the superior and inferior deep epigastric arteries.

When placing an implant for breast reconstruction, in attempting to achieve complete coverage with muscle, the rectus fascia must often be elevated to place the implant sufficiently caudal. This dense, thick fascia is often intimately adherent to the ribs below it. Once the fascia is elevated and released, proper positioning and expansion of the implant can proceed.

2.4.3.4. External oblique:

The external oblique muscle is a broad muscle that runs along the anterolateral abdomen and chest wall. Its origin is from the lower 8 ribs, and its insertion is along the anterior half of the iliac crest and the aponeurosis of the linea alba from the xiphoid to the pubis. It acts to compress the abdomen, flex and laterally rotate the spine, and depress the ribs. The 7th through 12th intercostal nerves serve to innervate the external oblique. A segmental blood supply is maintained through the inferior 8 posterior intercostal arteries.

The external oblique muscle abuts the breast on the inferior lateral aspect. Elevated along with the rectus abdominis fascia to provide inferior coverage of the breast implant during reconstructive surgery, its fascia, like the fascia of the rectus abdominis muscle, must be released adequately to provide for proper placement and expansion of the implant. In aesthetic surgery, placement of the implant inferiorly is usually not below these fascial attachments. If the implant is placed behind the fascia, the implant often "rides too high" and may result in a "double bubble" effect, wherein the breast parenchyma slides over and off the implant.

The arrangement of the chest wall, shoulder bones and muscles, and the upper arm create a small hollow called the armpit. The armpit is the underside of the shoulder joint, and is among the warmest areas of the body. The armpit is also called the axilla.

2.4.4 Lymphatic drainage of the breast

Originates from breast lobules and flow into a sub-areolar plexus, called Sappey’s plexus. From this plexus, lymphatic drainage takes place through three main routes:
axillary or lateral pathway

- fed by Sappey’s Plexus, as well as by ducts satellite lymphatics and by most of parenchymal lymphatics.
- this pathway runs around the inferior edge of the pectoralis major and reach the pectoral group of axillary nodes

internal mammary pathway

- originates from both the lateral and medial halves of the breast and passes through the pectoralis major; connections may lead across the median plane and hence to the contralateral breast

retromammmary pathway

- comes from the posterior portion of the breast

Lymphatics may reach the sheath of the rectus abdominis and the subperitoneal and subhepatic plexuses.

Usually axillary lymph nodes receive more than 75% of the lymph drained from the breast.

Breast Lymph Nodes

Figure( 2.4 ): Breast Lymph Node.
The breast lymph nodes include:

1. Supraclavicular Nodes – above the Collarbone.
2. Infraclavicular (or Subclavicular) Nodes – below the collarbone.
3. Axillary Nodes – in the Armpit (Axilla).
4. Internal Mammary Nodes – inside the chest around the Breastbone (Sternum)

2.4.5. Hormones of the breast:

In order to produce milk, hormones are needed. The two main hormones are prolactin and oxytocin.

**Prolactin** is produced by the adenohypophysis (anterior pituitary) and released into the circulation. The regulation of prolactin levels in the plasma is controlled by the dopaminergic system. Prolactin acts on the human breast to produce milk. This occurs by binding to mammary epithelial cell receptors, which stimulates synthesis of mRNA of milk proteins (Lawrence, et al 2011). It takes several minutes of the infant sucking at the breast to cause prolactin secretion. Prolactin is also important in inhibiting ovulation.

**Oxytocin** is produced by the neurohypophysis (posterior pituitary). Suckling at the breast stimulates the neurohypophysis to produce and release oxytocin in an intermittent manner. Oxytocin acts on the breast to produce milk ejection or "milk let down." Oxytocin also causes uterine contractions. Opiates and endorphins released during stress can block the release of oxytocin (Lawrence, et al 2011 p76-81). Newton showed that women who received a saline injection and were distracted during breastfeeding produced less milk than women who were not distracted or women who received an injection of Pitocin (synthetic oxytocin) prior to distraction and breastfeeding (Newton M, et al 1948). Lack of release of oxytocin inhibits the "milk let down" and the milk cannot be removed from the breast (Neville, et al 2001).

Other hormones necessary for the production of breast milk include: insulin, cortisol, thyroid hormone, parathyroid hormone, parathyroid hormone-related protein, and human growth hormone.
A recently described hormone, Fil (feedback inhibitor of lactation), seems to play an important role in regulation of milk supply. Fil acts locally within each breast. Fil is secreted into breast milk. When the breast is not emptied, Fil remains in contact with the alveolar cells. Fil appears to act on an apical receptor on the alveolar cell. This inhibits secretion of milk constituents. The complete mechanism is not yet understood, however this appears to be the mechanism of decreased milk production due to not emptying the breast. (Wilde, et al 1998)

2.5 Literature studies:

Invasive ductal cancers (IDC) were assessed in a study performed from 1993 - 2002 by Rotstein & Neerhut (2005) where the characteristics of Grade 3 IDC’s were evaluated - 87% of those tumours had “a margin with an echogenic rind, microlobulation or angular margins”. Posterior shadowing or enhancement and echogenicity were all assessed but it was the tumour margin that was most telling.

Acoustic shadowing was absent in 70% of the cases. Rotstein & Neerhut comment that these lesions tend to be very cellular and show less distortion of the tissue planes in the breast. Assessment of the interface between the tumour and adjacent breast tissue is essential to determine the extent of the lesion. IDC’s of the breast account for a high percentage of breast cancers (Perlmutter, 2008) where the cancer cells form in the lining of the ducts and may penetrate through the ductal wall and invade nearby healthy tissue. Younger women tend to have more aggressive forms of IDC and these appear on the ultrasound image as solid and circumscribed, with pushing margins. They infrequently appear as benign lesions due to their cellular nature and may even enhance, appearing brighter, due to their cellularity. The slower growing IDC’s often shadow in the ultrasound image as they are less cellular, appearing as a “poorly differentiated lesion surrounded by collagenous fibre”. Watermann, Tempfer, Hefler & Stickeler (2005) comment that the ultrasound appearance of these cancers vary significantly from an indistinct margin to posterior shadowing.

Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) is described pathologically as a “malignant neoplasm of the breast that is confined to the ducts and lobules, without invasion into them surrounding breast stroma” by Boonjunwetwat, Chyutipraiwan, Sampatanukul & Chatamra (2007). Piccoli (2003) bb describes ultrasound findings of DCIS as a
“micro-lobulated, hypoechoic mass with calcifications and ductal extension”. The dilated ducts may appear as hypoechoic, well-defined, tubular structures, differing from the normal breast parenchyma. DCIS was identified mammographically in 73-98% of cases by the presence of the microcalcifications (Boonjunwetwat et al). Micro-calcifications may appear as hyperechoic foci that have reproducible positions, seen in both transverse and longitudinal images. The micro-calcifications associated with DCIS are always multifocal and have a variable appearance. Micro-calcifications are classified as being linear, granular and of a mixed form, with granular micro-calcifications most commonly associated with DCIS. Hashimoto, Kramer & Picozzi (2001), with a small cohort of patients (18), assessed the ultrasound characteristics of micro-calcifications in patients with biopsy-proven DCIS. These authors reported a 94% sonographic detection rate. Benson et al. (2004) comment that mammography was superior to ultrasound in the detection of DCIS due to the lack of soft tissue changes and the sensitivity for detection of micro-calcifications by mammography.

Invasive lobular cancer was the focus of a study by Selinko, Middleton & Dempsey (2004). Evaluation of the comparative sensitivity of ultrasound in the detection of these lesions was undertaken with a cohort of 62 patients with pathologically proven invasive lobular cancer. Invasive lobular cancer infiltrates in a diffuse manner with no apparent architectural distortion to the breast tissue – a histological analysis shows an ‘Indian file’ pattern of tumour cell arrangement (Tabar, 2007, p. D-34). On ultrasound lobular carcinomas can be seen as a hypoechoic mass without identifiable margins.

Malignant tumors other than invasive ductal or lobular were less common in this study. Infiltrating tubular carcinoma is slow-growing tumour that infrequently metastasizes. It is defined as having “at least 75% of the tumour composed of tubular structures”. Gunhan-Bilgen (2007) assessed tubular carcinomas in 2872 women, determining it to be seen on mammography as a small, speculated mass, and then on ultrasound as an irregular mass with posterior acoustic shadowing. Tabar (2007) commented that 40% of patients with tubular carcinoma report a “positive family history among first degree relatives”.

48
Not all lesions fulfill the classic criteria of a given pathology. Ultrasonically the appearance of these lesions is quite different and while much research has been performed, the seminal study by Stavros et al. (1995) is still applicable in the practice of breast imaging today. Appreciating the ultrasonic features of benign, indeterminate and malignant breast lesions is vital if areas of altered echotexture are to be detected. The next section will describe the principal features of these lesions with the characteristics that may be present in the ultrasound image.
Chapter three  
Material & method

3.1: Introduction:
The aims of this study was to evaluate and study ultrasound characteristic of the 
breast ca. the breast ultrasound procedure is commonly performed to determine if an 
abnormality detected by mammography or a palpable lump is a fluid-filled cyst or a 
solid tumor (benign or malignant). Breast ultrasound may also be used to identify 
masses in women whose breast tissue is too dense to be measured accurately by 
mammography. Breast ultrasound is generally not used as a screening tool for breast 
cancer detection because it does not always detect some early signs of cancer such as 
microcalcifications, which are tiny calcium deposits.

Ultrasound may be used in some women who should avoid radiation, such as 
pregnant women, women younger than 25 years old, women who are breastfeeding, 
and women with silicone breast implants. The procedure may also be used to guide 
interventional procedures such as needle localization during breast biopsies and cyst 
aspiration (removal of fluid from cyst).

3.2. The study design & the study duration:
The study group (100) patients was taken from a screened population (200) patients 
who presented consecutively for routine annual screening or diagnostic breast 
imaging at (Antalya medical center) & ( RICK :Radiation & Isotope Khartoum 
Center ) . The study period was from Feb. 2014 through to September 2014.

Individual patient consent was not required for this study and no exclusion criteria 
were applied to these patients. All relevant study data was accessed and retrieved 
from two sources: a medical database specific to this radiology practice and from a 
handwritten record of biopsy data and reports. The handwritten record contained the 
patient’s name, the presumed pathology based on imaging results with the final 
pathology result following analysis by Antalya medical center lap and RICK lab.
3.3. **The patient population:**

All patients who presented for examination during the study period belonged to one of following categories:

- patients who were asymptomatic and between biennial breast screening years with BSA, choosing to have more regular imaging. (screening patients).
- patients who were symptomatic and between biennial breast screening years and were now aware of a new breast symptom –(diagnostic patients) Within the practice, there was an awareness that a greater percentage of cancers would be detected in those with risk factors or those who presented for a diagnostic examination.

The range of personal patient information (unique identifier applied) stored in the database included all relevant examination, historical, genetic and pathological detail as listed below:

a. age of patient.

b. type of the malignant lesion.

c. date of examination.

d. age at time of breast tissue biopsy

e. lymph node invasive or not?

f. measurement/s of the lesion/s.

g. Metastases invasation or not?

3.4. **Ultrasound imaging method:**

The ultrasound examinations were performed either- there is metastases or not   ?

3.4.1. **Machine used:**
-er on the Toshiba Xario (Toshiba Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) or sonoscape. High resolution 12-14MHz broadband, linear array transducers were used.

3.4.2. Technique used:

The ultrasound scan was performed in a radial pattern, beginning at the periphery of the breast and moving inward. Each quadrant was scanned with overlap at the 12-, 3-, 6- and 9-o’clock positions followed by scanning in orthogonal planes to complete the assessment. Imaging of each axilla was deemed an essential part of the ultrasound examination. Images and measurements were obtained for all solid masses, complex cysts, areas of architectural distortion, acoustic shadowing and dominant simple cysts. For examinations with negative findings, representative images were obtained in each of the four quadrants bilaterally, including the axillae.

Sonographic findings were classified as normal (no simple cysts, ductal ectasia or focal lesions), benign (simple cysts or sonographically benign solid lesions) or indeterminate / suspicious for malignancy.

3.5. Statistical analysis:

The total number of breast biopsies performed and breast cancers detected were calculated for:

- the total screened population (200).
- Study group (100).
- the patients with malignant breast lesion (50).

Performance characteristics were calculated with the use of (2x2 contingency table) analysis (for each grouping of patients breast ultrasound. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy were calculated by using the true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative results.

True positive breast lesions (TP) were identified as (malignancies with ultrasound imaging), and then proven malignant through the histological analysis of a tissue sample. False positive results (FP) related to lesions that were (suspicious for malignancy on imaging, however were proven benign in histology).
Traditionally, this type of statistical assessment would attribute a true negative (TN) or false negative (FN) result as meaning either an examination where no abnormality was detected on imaging and a biopsy was not performed (TN); or an examination where imaging suggested a benign lesion, though the tissue sample was proven malignant (FN). These standard definitions do not apply to this retrospective study, for there was no possibility for follow-up of the total screened population (200) who were imaged and declared to have no abnormality detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value</th>
<th>Ultrasound No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True positive</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True negative</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False positive</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False negative</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3.1) : The contingency table :
Chapter four

Results

4.1. Introduction:
Diagnostic implies that the patient or their referrer was concerned about specific breast symptoms including nipple discharge, generalized or localized soreness / tenderness, unexplained redness, lump/s, increasing tenderness, pain, intermittent pain, a change in the size of a lump, change / roughness in the skin surface, dimpling or puckering of the skin or nipple. Screening implies that the patient was asymptomatic, having no specific concerns.

4.2. Lesions classification & types:
The patients with an ultrasound detected lesion were aged 20 – 80 of years, The lesions measured 1 – 10 cm in diameter with a mean size of (4.35 ),cm (SD 0.37).

These lesions were considered suspicious for malignancy based on the ultrasound appearance and verification with core biopsy was deemed appropriate. Three (3) lesions considered most likely benign in appearance and thought to be fibroadenomas or fibrocystic change but were proven Grade I (1) and II (2) invasive carcinomas, giving a false negative result. Two lesions were false positives; malignancy was suspected and the histology proved the lesions were a lipoma and fibroadenoma. The remaining 50 lesions were proven malignant, giving a true positive result for DCIS (4): 8%, Grade I(3):6%, Grade II(23): 46%, Grade III invasive ductal carcinomas(10):20% ,Infiltrating Lobular Carcinoma(2):4%,Invasive lobular carcinoma(1)2%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ca Types</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-DCIS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Grade I IDC</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Grade II IDC</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Grade III IDC</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Grade IV IDC</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4.1) : Show the type of breast ca & the number of cases for each type:
Figure (4.1) : depicts the relationship between cancer type & the number of cases.

4.3. Patient age:

Malignant lesions were histologically proven in 50 of the patient in this study; the patient’s age at the time of biopsy was assessed: The median age of these patients was years (SD 0.93 ), ranging from (20 to 80) years. Table (7) and Figure(9) documents the patient age & the No of patient, percentage for each range of age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient age</th>
<th>frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(20-29)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30—39)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40-49)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50-59)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(60-69)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(70-80)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (4.2) : Patient age:

Figure (4.2): a chart represents the percentage of patients age.

4.4.Lesion sizes:

Lesion sizes were measured during the ultrasound scan. Measurements for the lesions ranged from 1 – 10 cm with a mean of 4.35cm (SD 0.37), and a median of cm. Among the histologically confirmed breast cancers, 18 were < 3cm, 17 were < 5cm and 5 patients were (9-10) cm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion size</th>
<th>No of malignant lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1-2.9)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3—4.9)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5-6.9)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7-8.9)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9-10)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4.3): show the size and number of malignant lesions.

**Figure (4.3)**: represents the percentages of number of malignant lesions & the size of the lesions:

Information was recorded relative to their appearance in the breast tissue as seen in the ultrasound image. These observations were due to recognition of change that had occurred in the breast tissue relative to prior imaging.

summary of the accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and predictive values, A discussion of all these statistical results can be found in chapter 6.0.

**4.5.lymph node involvement:**
lymph node involvement in Grade II IDC (12 case) of all the sample, in Grade IDC (13 case), & in infiltrative DC was (3 case).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pathology</th>
<th>No .of lymph In. cases</th>
<th>All the cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC1S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I IDC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II IDC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III IDC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV IDC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating lobular ca.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive lubular ca.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4.4): show the breast cancer type & the number of involved lymph node.

percentages of lymph node involvede.
Figure (5.3): show the breast cancer type & the percentages of involved lymph node.

5.5. Metastases involvement:

Metastases spread to the other parts: skeletal, bone, lung, spine, ribs and brain was high rate in grade IV IDC (70%) from all cases and (30%) from the Grade III IDC, in infiltrating lobular carcinoma (50%) of all the cases have metastases spread, and all the cases of infiltrating lobular carcinoma have metastases involvement. This is result is also expected because of the high grade of the breast ca.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pathology</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>All the ca cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCIS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I IDC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II IDC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III IDC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV IDC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating lobular ca.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive lobular ca.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4.5): show the breast ca type & the number of lymph nodes involved.

A chart depicts the relationship between the ca types & numbers of mets involved.
Figure (6.3): show the breast cancer type & the number of lymph nodes involved.

Figure (7.3): show the breast cancer type & the percentages of lymph nodes involved.
Chapter five
Discussion

This chapter will discuss the results of breast ultrasound from this study and assess those results with reference to current literature.

Ultrasound uses sound waves to study the breast. Based on the same principle as radar, the sound waves are sent into the body and then reflected back to form an image on a computer screen for analysis, ultrasound is useful in diagnosing cancerous lesions. It is the best test to "rule out" the possibility of a cancer as it can distinguish whether a lump is solid (made of tissue) or a cyst (filled with water). Ultrasound can detect a simple cyst, which is noncancerous, with 100% accuracy and when this is the case, no further tests are required.

Sometimes ultrasound is used to make a highly accurate prediction that a solid lump is cancerous, however, it is generally not used in screening for cancer as it usually cannot detect tiny microcalcifications that indicate early cancer.

Diffuse, rapid spreading malignancies are often known to be subtle in presentation and a skilled eye is necessary to perceive the often subtle changes in breast tissue. These issues, along with the relevant limitations and variables applicable to this study will be addressed in the following pages.

The data for this study was obtained from two sources; a patient database and a handwritten record of biopsy information documented during the study period. The accuracy of the database information was dependent on those who input the data and contained principal data of the patient and their breast imaging history. Some of the cases in this study had incomplete dataset and there is some patients were excluded due to incomplete information.

Types of the common malignant lesions was graded into 5 types these are Grade I invasive ductal carcinomas, Grade II invasive ductal carcinomas, Grade III invasive ductal carcinomas, infiltrating lobular carcinoma and invasive lobular carcinomas. The most common types was Grade II invasive ductal carcinomas.
These lesions were considered suspicious for malignancy based on the ultrasound appearance and verification with core biopsy was deemed appropriate. Type Grade II IDC was the most common type (23 patients) with percentage of (49%) from all types of breast ca, and then the Grade III IDC (10 patients), represents (20%) this percentage is also rather high comparing with other types.

Malignant lesions were histologically proven in 50 of the patient in this study; the patient’s age at the time of biopsy was assessed, ranging from (20 to 80) years. With Median of (48 years) & (SD of 0.93) that main that the median age of breast ca in sudan is (49 years) and the age is considered a very important risk factor.

Lesion sizes were measured during the ultrasound scan. Measurements for the lesions ranged from (1 – 10) cm with a mean of 4.35, that main the size of tumor of less than 3 cm is the most frequent size (18 lesions), and the size of tumor less than 10 cm is less frequent (5 lesions).

Information was recorded relative to their appearance in the breast tissue as seen in the ultrasound image. These observations were due to recognition of change that had occurred in the breast tissue relative to prior imaging.

Lymph node involvement in our study was high in Grade II IDC about 48% of all the Grade II IDC type, and all the cases of Grade III IDC was have lymph node invasive, thus all the cases of infiltrating lobular carcinoma and invasive lobular carcinomas had a lymph node invasation. There was no cases of involvement in Grade I, and this is result is expected because of its low grade.

Lymph node involvement in Grade II IDC (12 case) of all the sample, in Grade IDC (13 case), & in infiltrative DC was (3 case), that main the involvement of lymph node is increase with the increase of the grade.

This chapter will discuss the results of breast ultrasound from this study and assess those results with reference to current literature. Consideration as to the types of breast lesions detected with breast ultrasound. Diffuse, rapid spreading malignancies are often known to be subtle in presentation and a skilled eye is necessary to perceive the often subtle changes in breast tissue. These issues, along with the relevant
limitations and variables applicable to this study will be addressed in the following pages.

The data for this study was obtained from two sources; a patient database and a handwritten record of biopsy information documented during the study period. The accuracy of the database information was dependent on those who input the data and contained principal data of the patient and their breast imaging history. Some of the cases in this study had a incomplete dataset and there is some of patients were excluded due to incomplete information.

Types of malignant lesions was full in 5 types these are Grade I invasive ductal carcinomas, Grade II invasive ductal carcinomas, Grade III invasive ductal carcinomas, infiltrating lobular carcinoma and invasive lobular carcinomas. the most common types was Grade II invasive ductal carcinomas.

These lesions were considered suspicious for malignancy based on the ultrasound appearance and verification with core biopsy was deemed appropriate. Type Grade II IDC was the most common type (23 patients) with percentage of (49%) from all types of breast ca, and then the Grade III IDC (10 patients) represents (20%), this percentage is also rather high comparing with other types.

Malignant lesions were histologically proven in 50 of the patient in this study; the patient’s age at the time of biopsy was assessed, ranging from (20 to 80) years. With Median of (48 years) & (SD of 0.93) that main that the median age of breast ca in sudan is (49 years) and the age is considered a very important risk factor.

Lesion sizes were measured during the ultrasound scan. Measurements for the lesions ranged from (1 – 10) cm with a mean of 4.35, that main the size of tumor of less than 3 cm is the most frequent size (18 lesions), and the size of tumor less than 10 cm is less frequent (5 lesions).

Information was recorded relative to their appearance in the breast tissue as seen in the ultrasound image. These observations were due to recognition of change that had occurred in the breast tissue relative to prior imaging.

lymph node involvement in our study was high in Grade II IDC about 48% of all the Grade II IDC type, and all the cases of Grade III IDC was have lymph node invasive.
thus all the cases of infiltrating lobular carcinoma and invasive lobular carcinomas had a lymph node invasation. There was no cases of involvement in Grade I, and this is result is expected because of its low grade.

lymph node involvement in Grade II IDC (12 case) of all the sample, in Grade IDC (13 case), & in infiltrative DC was (3 case), that main the involvement of lymph node is increase with the increase of the grade.

Metastases spread to the other parts: skeletal, bone, lung, spine, ribs and brain was high rate in grade IV IDC (70%) from all cases and (30%) from the Grade III IDC, in infiltrating lobular carcinoma (50%) of all the cases have metastases spread, and all the cases of infiltrating lobular carcinoma have metastases involvement. this is result is also expected because of the high grade of the breast ca.

The percentage of high risk patients in this study (49%) was greater than similar research projects: Susan Hamilton MHSc (2010) (44.9%), (Kolb, Lichy & Newhouse, (2002) 40.6%; Rosenberg et al. (2006) 21% & Berg et al. (2008) 21%) and this has contributed to the increased incidence of breast cancer.
Conclusion

Main objective of this study was to evaluate of the role of breast ultrasound in diagnosis of malignant breast tumors and in the evaluation of palpable masses that are mammographically occult, in the evaluation of clinically suspected breast lesions in women younger than 30 years of age, and in the evaluation of many abnormalities seen on mammograms. US now is considered the primary modality for the evaluation of palpable masses in women 30 years of age and older. US is also useful in the guidance of biopsies and therapeutic procedures; research is currently under way to evaluate its role in cancer screening of patient suspected of breast cancer. As a safe modality has no hazard.

The data of this study showed that The appearance of specific types of breast carcinoma has been studied. Although appearances vary greatly, some patterns are typical, Mucin-containing carcinomas are often circumscribed but may have irregular margins. These lesions may be either hypoechoic or isoechoic relative to subcutaneous fat. The most frequent type was Grade II: 46% and then Grade III invasive ductal carcinomas: 20%, the most frequent patient age ranging from (20 to 80) years. Most frequent lesion size was from (1-2.9) cm: 36%, lymph node involvement in Grade II IDC was 38% of all cases and this was the most frequent type involved by lymph node. Metastases spread was high rate in grade IVD: 70%.

In summary it is recommended that ultrasonographic screening for breast disease be reserved for special situations, such as for highly anxious patients who request it and for women who have a history of mammographically occult carcinoma and it was used primarily as a relatively inexpensive and effective method of differentiating cystic breast masses, which did not require sampling, from solid breast masses that were usually examined with biopsy; in many cases, the results of these biopsies were benign. However, it is now well established that ultrasonography also provides valuable information about the nature and extent of solid masses and other breast lesions.

The study confirmed that Ultrasonography (US) has been playing an increasingly important role in the evaluation of breast cancer.
**Recommendations:**

- Recognizing there is a need for regular scan experience to establish and maintain competence in performing breast ultrasound, the establishment of minimum yearly examination numbers is necessary so that diagnostic competence is maintained.

- Establishment of a national protocol whereby the patient with risk factors and/ or dense breast tissue could be clearly identified – for patients attending BSA this could mean additional imaging be performed at specialist imaging centre.

- Enabling the use of ultrasound in all radiology or breast imaging practices through the teaching and mentoring of sonographers.

- Follow-up research could be performed on more numbers patients in this study; gaining additional information on patient risk factors, breast density and whether the given true negative and false negative results for these patients was accurate by reviewing patient data from subsequent imaging.
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Data work sheet
Sudan university of science & technology
Study of breast malignant lesion using ultrasound

- Personal data:

1. No of questionnaire: .......
2. Name of the patients: .......
3. Age: ............................
4. Material status: Married .........., Not Married: ..............
   widowed .............. discarded ..............
5. Gender: Male ............ Female: ....................
6. No of children: ............
7. Occupation: ..................
8. Level of education illiterate:
   Primary ........., secondary ...... university: ..........
   postgraduate: .........

9. No of years since diagnosis: ........................................
10. No of years since started breast ca: ..............................
11. History of patient breast feeding: ...............................
12. Family history of breast ca: ........................................

- Histological finding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of breast ca</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>Patient age</th>
<th>Lesion sizes</th>
<th>lymph node</th>
<th>Metastases involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I IDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II IDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III IDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV IDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrating, lobular carcinoma.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive, lobular carcinoma.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Sonographic finding:**
  
  a. sonographic spiculation
  b. deeper (taller) than wide
  c. microlobulations
  d. thick hyperechoic halo:
  e. angular margins:
  f. markedly hypoechoic nodule:
  g. sonographic shadowing:
  h. duct extension:
Appendix: US Images:
Irregular hypoechoic mass with internal calcification seen.

Infiltrative Ductal Carcinoma with grade III, irregular margins & hypoechoic mass.
Axillary lymph node metastasis of a breast carcinoma with rounded hypoechoic lymph nodes

Axillary lymph node metastasis of a breast ca with hypoechoic lymph nodes
Well defined hypoechoic mass consistent with fibroadnoma
Recurrent malignant mass after mastectomy.

Irregular hypoechoic mass with micro calcification seen.
Malignant breast mass with Irregular margins

Hypoechoic mass (5.1*4.4cm) in the upper outer quadrant with microcalcification & internal vascularity.
malignant mass of the breast