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ABSTRACT

This experiment was carried out at Shambat area during the period of March 2004-March 2005. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of *Solenostemma argel* (Hargal), and *Calotropis procera* (Usher) against *Anopheles arabiensis* (Patton) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) mosquito larvae. The synthetic larvicide’s Abate (Temphos) was also used in the study as standard for comparison.

Hargal water extract was obtained from the blend of its leaves, stems and fruits and that of Usher was obtained only from its leaves. All the plants used in this research were brought from Khartoum State and mosquito larvae were delivered from Sennar (Malaria Training Center) and also from several parts of Khartoum State. The laboratory tests of the standard basic measurement were done in accordance to the recommendations of the World Health Organization. The degree of toxicity of each plant extract in this experiment was estimated through the lethal dose of 50% (LD$_{50}$) of the tested mosquito larvae by the help of the probit analysis. Analysis of variance was also used to determine the significant differences between the different concentrations of each plant and also the difference between the types of the used plants and also between the two species ofg mosquito larvae. From these values it was found that *Culex* was
more sensitive to the concentrations than *Anopheles*. The synthetic insecticide “Abate” gave best control against the larvae of both mosquitoes. Both Hargal and Usher were potent against the mosquito larvae although Hargal gave better control compared to Usher. Persistent test of Hargal water extract was also done which showed higher efficacy up to the 6th day by means of the higher concentrations and even the lowest one (0.25%) was satisfactory up to 4th day.

This study showed that the water extract of both Hargal and Usher proved their suitability for controlling mosquito larvae and so they could be a good substitute for synthetic chemicals.
الخلاصة العربية

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمنطقة شمبات خلال الفترة من مارس 2004 - مارس 2005م و كان

Calotropis Solenostemma argel والعشر Anopheles arabiensis في مكافحة بيوت نوعين من البعوض المعروف procera والكيلوكس Culex quinquefasciatus. في الدراسة تم تحديد قابلية بيوت كل من النوعين تجاه نباتي الحرج والعشر كما تم استخدام مبيد بيوت الأبيت (Temephos) في هذه الدراسة للمقارنة. مستخلص الحرج والعشر تم الحصول على من خليط من الأوراق والثمار والسيقان، وتم الحصول على مستخلص العشر المائي للأوراق فقط. تم استجابة العينات النباتية من ولاية الخرطوم، وتم الحصول على بيوت البعوض من سنار (مركز تدريب الملاريا) وكذلك من عدة مناطق من ولاية الخرطوم. وأجريت الاختبارات العملية للطرق الأساسية القياسية تبعاً لتصنيفت منظمة الصحة العالمية. قُدرت درجات السمية لكل من المستخلصات النباتية عن طريق حساب الجرعة المميتة لخمسين بالمائة (ج م) من جملة البوط المتريحة لكل تجربة عن طريق استخدام تحاليل البوت (Probit) كما تم أيضاً استخدام التحليل الإحصائي المعروف بتحليل التباين (ANOVA) لتحديد الفروقات المعنية للسماية بين التركيزات المختلفة لكل مستخلص نباتي، وبين النباتات المستخدمة، وأيضاً بين بيوت نوع البعوض.

واستنتج النتائج أن نوع الكيلوكس كان أكثر حساسية للتركيزات من نوع الأندوس، ما أزعج أن مبيد الأبيت المصنوع أعطى أعلى نسبة مكافحة لبيوت كل من نوع البعوض، أيضاً كل من نبات الحرج والعشر كان له فاعلية ضد بيوت البعوض لكن تأثير بيوت الحرج كان هو الأقوى مقارنة بالعشر. أيضاً أجرى اختبار لتحديد مدى ثبات المستخلص المائي لنبات الحرج باستخدام ثلاث تركيزات (1% و 0.5% و 0.25%) ، أوضحت النتائج أن التركيز الأعلى استمرت فاعلية حتى اليوم السادس، بينما استمرت فاعلية التركيز الأدنى حتى اليوم الرابع للاختبار.

واستنتج هذه الدراسة أن المستخلصات المائية لنباتي الحرج والعشر أثبتت فاعليتها وكفاءتها في مكافحة نبات البعوض وبالتالي يمكن استخدامها كبديل جيد للمركبات الكيميائية المصنعة.